Microeconomics Group45 吴熙楠 Homework04

Contents

1	Problem	1	2
2	Problem	2	2
3	Problem	3	3
4	Problem	4	<u> </u>

Problem 1 1

Answer:

The total revenue that the monopolist can get is that $u = x_1p_1 + x_2p_2$

Because the monopolist has zero marginal cost, We have no limit on x_1 and x_2 .

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial p_1} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial p_2} = 0 \Rightarrow p_1 = \frac{a_1}{2b_1}, p_2 = \frac{a_2}{2b_2}$$

The monopolist will not choose to price discriminate, so $p_1 = p_2$.

Then we can get that $a_1b_2 = a_2b_1$ or $\frac{a_1}{b_1} = \frac{a_2}{b_2}$.

2 Problem 2

Answer:

(a) We know that b = 1000 - a, so $U(a) = \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{1000 - a}$. In order to maximize U, $\frac{dU}{da} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1000 - a}} = 0 \Rightarrow a = 500$.

Because b = 1000 - a = 500, a = b = 500, $U_{max} = 20\sqrt{5}$. It's easy to show that U is the largest in this range.

(b) We know that
$$b = 1000 - a$$
, so $U(a) = -(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{1000 - a})$.

In order to maximize U, $\frac{dU}{da} = \frac{1}{a^2} - \frac{1}{(1000 - a)^2} = 0 \Rightarrow a = 500$.

Because b = 1000 - a = 500, a = b = 500, $U_{max} = -\frac{1}{250}$. It's easy to show that U is the largest in this range.

(c) In this situation, if a = b = 500, then U = min(a, b) = 500.

If $a \neq b$, we assume that a < b. Then we can get that a < 500 and b > 500, so U = min(a, b) = a < 500.

So $U_{max} = 500$, and a = b = 500.

(d)In this situation, if a = b = 500, then U = max(a, b) = 500.

If $a \neq b$, we assume that a < b. Then we can get that a < 500 and b > 500, so $U = max(a, b) = b > 500.(0 \le a, b \le 1000)$

So b = 1000 and a = 0, or a = 1000 and b = 0, $U_{max} = 1000$. So she will give all of their money to A or all of their money to B.

(e) We know that b = 1000 - a, so $U(a) = a^2 + (1000 - a)^2 = 2a^2 - 2000a + 1000^2$.

In order to maximize U, we know that this is a parabolic function.

So b = 1000 and a = 0, or a = 1000 and b = 0, $U_{max} = 10000000$. It's easy to show that Uis the largest in this range.

3 Problem 3

Answer:

(a) We know that a = 1000 - 2b, so U(b) = 1000 - b. $(0 \le a \le 1000, 0 \le b \le 500)$

We find that the bigger b is, the smaller the utility function U is. So in order to maximize U, b = 0.

Because a = 1000 - 2b = 1000, a = 1000, b = 0, $U_{max} = 1000$. It's easy to show that U is the largest in this range.

(b) We know that a = 1000 - 2b, so U(b) = b - 1000. $(0 \le a \le 1000, 0 \le b \le 500)$

We find that the bigger b is, the bigger the utility function U is. So in order to maximize U, b = 500.

Because a = 1000 - 2b = 0, a = 0, b = 500, $U_{max} = -500$. It's easy to show that U is the largest in this range.

(c) We know that a = 1000 - 2b, so $U(b) = 1000b - 2b^2$. $(0 \le a \le 1000, 0 \le b \le 500)$

In order to maximize U, $\frac{dU}{db} = 1000 - 4b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 250$.

Because a = 1000 - 2b = 500, a = 500, b = 250, $U_{max} = 125000$. It's easy to show that Uis the largest in this range.

Problem 4 4

Answer:

(a)
$$\mathcal{L} = u^A + \lambda (u^B - \bar{u}) + \mu_1 (x_1^A + x_1^B - w_1) + \mu_2 (x_2^A + x_2^B - w_2)$$

 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1^A} = \frac{\partial u^A}{\partial x_1^A} + \mu_1 = 0$ $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_2^A} = \frac{\partial u^A}{\partial x_2^A} + \mu_2 = 0 \Rightarrow MRS_A = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}$

Also we can get that
$$MRS_B = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} = MRS_A$$

 $MRS_A = \frac{\partial u^A/\partial x_1^A}{\partial u^A/\partial x_2^A}|_{x_1^{A\star}, x_2^{A\star}} = \frac{x_2^{A\star}}{x_1^{A\star}} \qquad MRS_B = \frac{\partial u^B/\partial x_1^B}{\partial u^B/\partial x_2^B}|_{x_1^{B\star}, x_2^{B\star}} = \frac{x_2^{B\star}}{2x_1^{B\star}}$

From the Lagrangian procedure, we know that $MRS_A = MRS_B \Rightarrow \frac{x_2^{A\star}}{r_z^{A\star}} = \frac{x_2^{B\star}}{2r^{B\star}}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x_2^{A\star}}{x_1^{A\star}} = \frac{10 - x_2^{A\star}}{2(21 - x_1^{A\star})} \text{ or } \frac{x_2^{B\star}}{2x_1^{B\star}} = \frac{10 - x_2^{B\star}}{21 - x_1^{B\star}}$$
(b) We know that in order to achieve the Walrath equilibrium,

we have
$$\frac{\partial u^A/\partial x_1^A}{\partial u^A/\partial x_2^A}|_{x_1^{A^\star}, x_2^{A^\star}} = \frac{\partial u^B/\partial x_1^B}{\partial u^B/\partial x_2^B}|_{x_1^{B^\star}, x_2^{B^\star}} = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \Rightarrow \frac{x_2^{A^\star}}{x_1^{A^\star}} = \frac{x_2^{B^\star}}{2x_1^{B^\star}} = \frac{p_1}{p_2}$$
Also we have $x_1^{A^\star} + x_1^{B^\star} = 21, x_2^{A^\star} + x_2^{B^\star} = 10$.

$$p_1 x_1^{A\star} + p_2 x_2^{A\star} = p_1 w_1^A + p_2 w_2^A, p_1 x_1^{B\star} + p_2 x_2^{B\star} = p_1 w_1^B + p_2 w_2^B$$

We assume that $p_2 = 1$.

Then we can solve the equations, and we can get that:
$$x_1^{A\star} = \frac{29}{2}, x_2^{A\star} = \frac{58}{11}, x_1^{B\star} = \frac{13}{2}, x_2^{B\star} = \frac{52}{11}, p_1 = \frac{4}{11}, p_2 = 1$$