1 HK2

Denote X := G/B, $\tilde{X} := G/U$, $p : \tilde{G} \to G$, $q : \tilde{G} \to T$ as usual.

Let $\Delta \subset Y = (\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})/T$ the preimage of the diagnal under the quotient map $Y \to X \times X$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B} \times T$ under the map $(\overline{x}, t) \to (xt, x)$ for an arbitrary lift $x \in \tilde{X}$ of $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{B} \times T \to T$ be the projection.

Define $f: G \times \mathcal{B} \to Y$ by $(g, \overline{x}) \to (gx, x)$ where again, x is an arbitrary lift. Then the observations are $f(\tilde{G}) = \Delta$ and $\pi \circ f = g$. We have commutative diagram

$$G \times T \xrightarrow{p \times q} \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{f} \Delta$$

$$\downarrow p \times f = i \times q \qquad \qquad \downarrow diag$$

$$G \times X \times T \xrightarrow{F} Y \times_{G/B} \Delta =: Z$$

where $F = f \times id$. Notice that $Z \cong Y \times T$

$$(p \times q)_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} = pr_{\dagger} F^{\dagger} \mathcal{B}|_{\Delta|Z}$$

Denote $r: \tilde{X} \to X$, $\delta: X \to Y$. Then from the diagram (by Z/T we mean modulo diagnal action of T)

$$\Delta \longrightarrow X$$

$$\downarrow diag$$

$$Z \xrightarrow{a} Z/T \cong Y$$

we have

$$\mathcal{B}|_{\Delta|Z} = a^{\dagger}(\delta.r.(D_{\tilde{X}})^T \otimes \Omega_X^{-1})$$

Following [HK2], we have computations:

$$pr_{\dagger}F^{\dagger}M = Rpr.(D_{G\times T} \otimes_{D_{G\times X\times T}} D_{G\times X\times T\to Z} \otimes_{D_{Z}}^{L} M)$$

and from the diagram

$$G \times X \times T \xrightarrow{\iota} G \times T \times Z \xrightarrow{pr} G \times T$$

$$\downarrow \phi \qquad \qquad \downarrow pr_2 \qquad \qquad \downarrow pr_2$$

$$Rpr.(D_{G\times T} \otimes_{D_{G\times X\times T}} D_{G\times X\times T\to Z})$$

$$=Rpr.(\mathcal{B}_{\iota(G\times X\times T)|G\times T\times Z} \otimes \Omega_{Z})$$

$$=R(pr_{2}).\mathcal{O}_{G\times T} \otimes B_{X|Z} \otimes \Omega_{Z}$$

$$=\mathcal{O}_{G\times T} \otimes R\Gamma(X, (r.D_{\tilde{X}})^{T} \otimes \Omega_{X})$$

Denote $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{B} \times T, D_{\mathcal{B} \times T})$. Compute (hope to have a description of HC D-module by comparing it with (3.5) of your paper)

$$p_{+}q^{\dagger}M = p_{+}f^{\dagger}\pi^{\dagger}M$$

$$= Rp_{*}(D_{G\leftarrow\tilde{G}}\otimes_{D_{\tilde{G}}}D_{\tilde{G}\to\Delta}\otimes_{D_{\Delta}}D_{\Delta}\otimes_{R}\Gamma(\Delta,\pi^{\dagger}M))$$

$$= Rp_{*}(D_{G\leftarrow\tilde{G}}\otimes_{D_{\tilde{G}}}D_{\tilde{G}\to\Delta})\otimes_{R}\Gamma(\Delta,\pi^{\dagger}M)$$

To compute $Rp_*(D_{G\leftarrow \tilde{G}}\otimes_{D_{\tilde{G}}}D_{\tilde{G}\rightarrow \Delta})$, first we use lemma 1 of [HK2] and get

$$D_{G\leftarrow \tilde{G}}\otimes_{D_{\tilde{G}}}D_{\tilde{G}\to \Delta}=B_{\tilde{G}|G\times \Delta}\otimes \Omega_{\Delta}.$$

And then we similarly draw the diagram $(\tilde{G} \times T \subset G \times \Delta \cong G \times G/B \times T)$

$$\tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{G} \times T \xrightarrow{p} G$$

$$\delta \qquad \downarrow \phi \qquad p_2$$

$$\tilde{G} \times T$$

where $\iota = p \times f (= i \times q)$ and $\phi : (g, x, y) \mapsto (g, g^{-1}x, y)$. Hence δ is the restriction of $G \times \mathcal{B} \to G \times Y$, $(g, \overline{x}) \to (g, x, x)$, i.e the imbedding $id \times pt : \tilde{G} \to \tilde{G} \times T$.

Then we have

$$R(p)_*(B_{\iota \tilde{G}|\tilde{G}\times T}\otimes\Omega_{\Delta})=R(p_2)_*(B_{\delta \tilde{G}|\tilde{G}\times T}\otimes\Omega_{\Delta})$$

$$B_{\delta \tilde{G} | \tilde{G} \times T} = \delta_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} = R \delta_{*} (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} [\partial_{t_{i}}] \otimes \Omega_{T}^{-1}) = D_{\tilde{G} \times T} \delta(t - e)$$

where t_i are coordinates of T.

Actually, we have $B_{\tilde{G}|G \times \Delta} = D_{\tilde{G} \times T} \delta(t - q(\tilde{x}))$

Hence $(pt : \tilde{G} \to T \text{ the trivial map.})$

$$Rp_*(D_{G \leftarrow \tilde{G}} \otimes_{D_{\tilde{G}}} D_{\tilde{G} \to \Delta})$$

$$= R(p_2)_* R\delta_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}[\partial_{t_i}] \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{B}})$$

$$= Rp_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}[\partial_{t_i}] \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

1.1 semismall

Theorem 1.1. (Dimension formula) Let $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{N}$ be the Springer resolution and \mathcal{B}_x be the Springer fiber at $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{N}$, dim $Z_G(x) = rk(G) + 2\mathcal{B}_x$.

From the dimension formula, one can immediately deduce that the Springer resolution is semismall. Indeed, dim $G = \dim \mathcal{N} + rk(G)$ hence

$$2 \dim \mathcal{B}_x + \dim G \cdot x = 2 \dim \mathcal{B}_x + \dim \mathcal{N} + rk(G) - \dim Z_G(x) = \dim \mathcal{N}.$$

Moreover, there are only finitely many unipotent orbits.

Proposition 1.2. The Grothendieck-Springer resolution $p: \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is small.

Proof. First of all, for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have Jordan decomposition $x = x_s + x_n$ with x_s semisimple and x_n nilpotent with x_n lying inside the nilpotent radical of the lie algebra of H, the centralizer of x_s . Let $\mathcal{B}_{x_n}^H$ be the springer fiber at x_n and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x$ the Grothendieck-Springer fiber at x.

Claim: $\dim \mathcal{B}_{x_n}^H = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x$.

Now we are ready to construct the stratification of $\mathfrak g$ under which p is small. We first stratify the universal cartan $\mathfrak S$ by $\{\mathfrak S_{\underline{\alpha}}\}_{\underline{\alpha}\subset\Delta^+}$ where $\mathfrak S_{\underline{\alpha}}=\{\underline{\alpha}=0\}\setminus(\cup_{\underline{\alpha}\subseteq\underline{\beta}}\{\underline{\beta}=0\})$. Then $x_s\in G\cdot\mathfrak S_{\underline{\alpha}}$ for some $\underline{\alpha}\subset\Delta^+$.

Let $X_{\underline{\alpha}}$ be the nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{h} containing x_n . Then $\{G \cdot (\mathfrak{H}_{\underline{\alpha}} \times X_{\underline{\alpha}})\}_{\underline{\alpha} \subset \Delta^+}$ gives the stratification of \mathfrak{g} we are looking for:

$$\dim G \cdot (\mathfrak{H}_{\underline{\alpha}} \times X_{\underline{\alpha}}) = \dim \mathfrak{H}_{\underline{\alpha}} + \dim G - \dim Z_G(x) = \dim \mathfrak{H}_{\underline{\alpha}} + \dim G - \dim Z_H(x_n).$$

Therefore by dim $Z_H(x_n) = rk(H) + 2\mathcal{B}_{x_n}^H$ (dimension formula), rk(H) = rk(G) and dim $\mathcal{B}_{x_n}^H = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x$ we can conclude that

$$\dim G \cdot (\mathfrak{H}_{\underline{\alpha}} \times X_{\underline{\alpha}}) + 2\mathcal{B}_{x} = \dim G + \dim \mathfrak{H}_{\underline{\alpha}} - rk(G) \leq \dim G$$

where the equality holds iff $\underline{\alpha} = \emptyset$.

2 HK1

$$\rho: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}. \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_x = \dim \mathcal{B} - 1/2 \dim G \cdot x.$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ P(x) = 0, P \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]_+^G \}.$$

 $\mathcal{H}^{j}\mathcal{M}=0$ for $j\neq 0$, $\mathcal{H}^{0}\mathcal{M}=0$ is holonomic regular.

$$Ch(\mathcal{M}) = \{(x, y, t, s) \in \mathfrak{g}^2 \times \mathfrak{h}^2, [x, y] = 0, gx \equiv t, hy \equiv -s \bmod \mathfrak{n} \text{ for some } g, h \in G\}$$

 $\mathscr{H}^0\mathcal{M}$ is simple and isomorphic to $j_{!*}(B_{p\times q(\mathfrak{g})_{rs}|\mathfrak{g}_{rs}\times\mathfrak{h}})$ where $j:\mathfrak{g}_{rs}\times\mathfrak{h}\hookrightarrow\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{h}$.

2.0.1 Build the map We have maps

$$\mathfrak{h}^r \stackrel{q^r}{\leftarrow} G/T \times \mathfrak{h}^r (\cong G \times^B \mathfrak{b}^r \cong \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{rs}) \stackrel{p^r}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{g}^{rs} (|W| \text{-cover map}).$$

$$T^r \stackrel{q^r}{\leftarrow} G/T \times T^r (\cong G \times^B B^r \cong \widetilde{G}^{rs}) \xrightarrow{p^r} G^{rs} (|W| \text{-cover map}).$$

Notice that
$$D^G(G/T \times T^r) = D^G(G/T) \times D(T^r) = (U(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{h}U(\mathfrak{g}))^T \times D(T^r)$$
.

Define: $\delta = c_{\sigma}q_{\dagger}^{r}(p^{r})^{\dagger}: D(G)^{G} \to D(T^{r})^{W}$, where c_{σ} is defined by $c_{\sigma}(D)f = \sigma D\sigma^{-1}f$ for $D \in D(T^{r})^{W}$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{T^{r}}$.

3 Computation on SL_2 solving some confusion

First of all, we have $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by $H^2 + 2EF + 2FE$. $k(\mathfrak{g})^G$ is generated by $h^2 + ef$. $D(\mathfrak{g})^G$ is generated by $h^2 + ef$ and $\partial_h^2 + 4\partial_e\partial_f$, which is the image of $H^2 + 2EF + 2FE$ after taking associated grading.

To show that $h^2 + ef$ is in $k(\mathfrak{g})^G$: we need to compute, for example, $(\mathrm{Ad}e^{tE})^*(h^2 + ef) = h^2 + ef$. Notice that, $\mathrm{Ad}E^*h = f$, $\mathrm{Ad}E^*f = 0$, $\mathrm{Ad}E^*e = -2h$, 1/2 $\mathrm{Ad}E^*e = -f$. Therefore we have $(\mathrm{Ad}e^E)^*(h^2 + ef) = (h+f)^2 + (e-2h-f)f = h^2 + ef$. Actually just determinant of the matrix.....

Chevalley restriction theorem $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})^G \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h})^W$ is simply by restriction functions to the subset, which looks like just projection: $h^2 + ef \mapsto h^2$.

Harish-Chandra isomorphism $Z(\mathfrak{g})^G \cong S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ is given by projection and then translation by $\rho: H^2 + 2EF + 2FE \to H^2 \pm 2H \to H^2 - 1$.

The restriction $\partial_h^2 + 4\partial_e\partial_f$ to \mathfrak{h} is $\partial_h^2 + 2\frac{1}{h}\partial_h$ and $m_h(\partial_h^2 + \frac{2}{h}\partial_h)m_h^{-1} = \partial_h^2$, which actually coincides with restriction theorem. It makes sense because these principal symbols commute

with each other, i.e, we are actually looking at $S(\mathfrak{g})^G = S(\mathfrak{h})^W$.m

3.1 Group case

We give SL_2 coordinates $\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} | ad - bc = 1 \}$ and $k[T] = k[t, t^{-1}]$. Then $k(G)^G$ is generated by tr = a + d, which is mapped to $t + t^{-1}$ under the isomorphism $k(G)^G = k[T]^W$.

Computation gives $\partial_H tr = -a + d$, $\partial_E tr = -c$, $\partial_F tr = -b$, $\partial_E b = -d$, $\partial_F c = -a$, where we differential the action of left translation (multiplication by inverse). As a result, $(\partial_H = -t\partial_t)$

$$(\partial_{H}^{2} + 2\partial_{E}\partial_{F} + 2\partial_{F}\partial_{E})\phi(tr) = \phi''(tr)(tr^{2} - 4) + 3\phi'(tr)tr = (\partial_{H}^{2} - 2\frac{t + t^{-1}}{t - t^{-1}}\partial_{H})\phi(t + t^{-1}).$$

Claim:
$$m_{t-t^{-1}}(\partial_H^2 - 2\frac{t+t^{-1}}{t-t^{-1}}\partial_H)m_{t-t^{-1}}^{-1} = \partial_H^2 - 1.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} &(\partial_{H}^{2}-2\frac{t+t^{-1}}{t-t^{-1}}\partial_{H})(t-t^{-1})^{-1}f\\ =&\frac{t(f''(t^{6}-2t^{4}+t^{2})+f'(t^{5}-2t^{3}+t)+f(-t^{4}+2t^{2}-1))}{(t-1)^{3}(t+1)^{3}}\\ =&\frac{t}{t^{2}-1}(t^{2}f''+tf'-f)\\ =&(t-t^{-1})^{-1}(\partial_{H}^{2}-1)f \end{split}$$

From here one sees that in group case, the restriction to $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \subset D(G)^G$ gives exactly the famous Harish-Chandra homomorphism $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{h})^W$, which is the composition of projection and translation by ρ . It can't be seen in Lie algebra case, where $D(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \ltimes S(\mathfrak{g})$ and we only have $S(\mathfrak{g})^G = S(\mathfrak{h})^W$.

$$\widetilde{G} \xrightarrow{p} G \\
\downarrow q \qquad \qquad \downarrow \chi \\
T \xrightarrow{\pi} T/W$$

Proposition 3.1. (HC)For any $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)^G$ and $u \in D(G)^G$,

$$(uf)|_T = \Delta^{-1}\delta(u)(\Delta f)|_T.$$

Proposition 3.2. $\mathcal{M} := D_{G \times T} / (D_{G \times T}(\operatorname{adg} \otimes 1 + D_{G \times T}\{u \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \delta(u), u \in \mathcal{D}(G)^G\})) \cong f_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}.$

Proof. Decompose f by $\tilde{G} \xrightarrow{id_{\tilde{G}} \times q} \tilde{G} \times T \xrightarrow{p \times id_T} G \times T$. $(id_{\tilde{G}} \times q)_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} \cong D_{\tilde{G} \times T} \delta(t - q(\tilde{x}))$. Therefore

$$((p \times q)_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}})_{an} = (p \times id_T)_{\dagger} (D_{\tilde{G} \times T} \delta(t - q(\tilde{x})))_{an} \cong (\mathcal{O}_{G \times T} \delta(q'(x) - t))_{an}^{|W|}$$

on a neighborhood of $(t_0, t_1) \in G_{rs} \times T$, where $p' = qp^{-1}(x)$, clearly well-defined. This isomorphism is given by

$$(dg)^{-1} \otimes \omega \otimes \delta(t - q'(x)) \mapsto \phi(x, wt).$$

where $\Phi(x,t)$ is a G invariant distribution defined on a neighborhood of $t_0 \times T_{rs}$ given by $\Phi(x,t)|_{T\times T} = \Delta(p'(x))^{-1}\delta(q'(x)-t)$.

Using proposition 3.1, for any $u \in D(G)^G$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)^G \times \mathcal{O}(T)^W$ we have (restricted to $T_r \times T_r$)

$$\langle f, u(\Delta^{-1}\delta(t'-t)) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Delta^{-1}u(f), \delta(t'-t) \rangle$$

$$(\star) = \langle u(\Delta^{-1}f), \delta(t'-t) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{t'=t} u(\Delta^{-1}f)(t', t)dt$$

$$= \int_{t'=t} \Delta^{-1}\delta(u)(f(t', t))dt$$

$$= \Delta^{-1}\delta(u)(f), \delta(t'-t) \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, \delta(u)(\Delta^{-1}\delta(t'-t)) \rangle$$

To see (\star) , by Leibniz rule, we have

$$u(\Delta^{-1}f) = fu(\Delta^{-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_i}f)u_{\partial_{x_i}}(\Delta^{-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_{i_1}}\partial_{x_{i_2}}f)u_{\partial_{x_{i_1}}\partial_{x_{i_2}}}(\Delta^{-1}) + \cdots =: v(\Delta^{-1})$$

where notations like $u_{\partial_{x_{i_1}}\partial_{x_{i_2}}}$ stand for partial derivatives of the principal symbol of u along $\partial_{x_{i_1}}$ and then $\partial_{x_{i_2}}$. Notice that v is still in $D(G)^G$. Hence we can apply proposition 3.1 and have

$$v(\Delta^{-1}) = \Delta^{-1}v(0) = \Delta^{-1}\sum_{i_1}(\partial_{x_{i_1}}\partial_{x_{i_2}}\dots\partial_{x_{i_j}}f)u_{\partial_{x_{i_1}}\partial_{x_{i_2}}\dots\partial_{x_{i_i}}}(0)$$

where we plug 0 into ∂_x . This is exactly the Taylor expansion of $\Delta^{-1}u(f)$.

Hence $(u - \delta(u))\Phi = 0$ holds on $T_r \times T_r$. Since it is *G*-invariant, it also holds on $G_{rs} \times T_r$. Hence we have $(u - \delta(u))s = 0$ on $G_{rs} \times T_r$. Since $(p \times q)_{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}$ is simple, it is true

on the whole $G \times T$.