

# STA 104 Applied Nonparametric Statistics

## Chapter 5: Two-Way Layout Problems: Nonparametric Two-Way Analysis of Variance

---

Xiner Zhou

Department of Statistics, University of California, Davis

# Table of contents

---

1. Friedman test for General Alternatives in a Randomized Complete Block Design
2. Two-Sided All-Treatments Multiple Comparisons for General Alternative in a Randomized Complete Block Design
3. Mack-Skillings test for General Alternatives in a Randomized Block Design with Equal Number of Replications Per treatment-Block Combination
4. Two-Sided All-Treatments Multiple Comparisons for General Alternative in a Randomized Block Design with Equal Number of Replications Per treatment-Block Combination

**Two-Sided All-Treatments Multiple  
Comparisons for General Alternative  
in a Randomized Block Design with  
Equal Number of Replications Per  
treatment-Block Combination**

---

After rejection of

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0 : & \quad \underbrace{\tau_1 = \dots = \tau_k}_{\substack{F_{i1}, \dots, F_{ik} \text{ within block } i \text{ are the same; that is, } F_{i1} \equiv F_{i2} \equiv \dots \equiv F_{ik} \equiv F_i, \text{ for each fixed } i=1, \dots, n}} \\
 H_1 : & \quad \underbrace{\tau_1 \dots \tau_k \text{ not all equal}}_{\text{at least two of the treatment effects are not equal}}
 \end{aligned}$$

with Mack-Skillings test, it is important to reach conclusions about all

$\binom{k}{2} = k(k-1)/2$  pairs of treatment effects and these conclusions are naturally two-sided.

# Hypothesis

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} H_0 : \tau_1 = \tau_2 & H_1 : \tau_1 \neq \tau_2 \\ H_0 : \tau_1 = \tau_3 & H_1 : \tau_1 \neq \tau_3 \\ \dots & \\ H_0 : \tau_{k-1} = \tau_k & H_1 : \tau_{k-1} \neq \tau_k \end{array} \right\}$$

$\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$  simultaneous tests/multiple comparisons

# Motivation

Optional:

$R_1 \dots R_k$

$\Rightarrow S_1, \dots, S_k$ : the treatment sums of cellwise averages of within-blocks ranks

$\Rightarrow |R_u - R_v|$  tend to be small when null  $\tau_u = \tau_v$  is true, and tend to be large when alternative  $\tau_u \neq \tau_v$  is true

$\Rightarrow$  So we use absolute difference in within block rank sums as the test statistics

$\Rightarrow (R_1, \dots, R_k)$  as  $N$  tends to infinity, an asymptotic multivariate normal distribution  $(Z_1 \dots Z_k)$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\max_{1 \leq u < v \leq k} |R_u - R_v| \sim \text{range}(Z_1 \dots Z_k) \times \sqrt{\frac{k(N+n)}{12}}$$

$\Rightarrow$  To get the null distribution for the simultaneous tests, it is equivalent to know the null distribution of  $\max_{1 \leq u < v \leq k} |R_u - R_v|$

$\Rightarrow$  It is then equivalent to the distribution of the range when we draw  $k$  independent  $N(0, 1)$

# Procedure

For each pair of treatments  $(u, v)$ , for  $1 \leq u < v \leq k$ ,

Decide  $\tau_u \neq \tau_v$  if  $|S_u - S_v| \geq \boxed{[k(N + n)/12]^{1/2} q_\alpha}$  *critical value*  
otherwise decide  $\tau_u = \tau_v$

- $q_\alpha$  is the upper  $\alpha$  quantile of the range of  $k$  normal variates.

## Example: Determination of Niacin in Bran Flakes

We have found rather strong evidence that the studied process for assessing niacin content in bran flakes does not produce consistent results across a variety of laboratories.

To determine which of the laboratories differ in median detected niacin content in the bran flakes.

Laboratory	Amount of niacin enrichment (milligrams per 100 g bran flakes)		
	0	4	8
1	7.58(3)	11.63(7)	15.00(2)
	7.87(8)	11.87(11)	15.92(9)
	7.71(6)	11.40(3)	15.58(4)
2	7.95(9)	12.20(12)	16.60(12)
	8.27(12)	11.6(8)	16.40(11)
	8.05(10)	11.80(10)	15.90(7)
3	7.60(4)	11.04(2)	15.87(6)
	7.30(1)	11.45(5)	15.91(8)
	7.82(7)	11.49(4)	16.28(10)
4	8.03(11)	11.50(6)	15.10(3)
	7.35(2)	10.10(1)	14.80(1)
	7.66(5)	11.70(9)	15.70(5)

$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2$      $H_1: \tau_1 \neq \tau_2$



```
> library(NSM3)
> cRangeNor(0.05,k=4)
[1] 3.634
```

critical value

Decide  $\tau_U \neq \tau_V$  if  $|S_U - S_V| \geq [4(36 + 3)/12]^{1/2}(3.634) = 13.1$

These numbers  
may not right  
.....

$|S_2 - S_1| = 12.83 < 13.1 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \tau_2 = \tau_1,$   
 $|S_3 - S_1| = 1.84 < 13.1 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \tau_3 = \tau_1,$   
 $|S_4 - S_1| = 3.67 < 13.1 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \tau_4 = \tau_1,$   
 $|S_3 - S_2| = 14.67 > 13.1 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \tau_3 \neq \tau_2,$   
 $|S_4 - S_2| = 16.5 > 13.1 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \tau_4 \neq \tau_2,$   
 $|S_4 - S_3| = 1.83 < 13.1 \Rightarrow \text{decide } \tau_4 = \tau_3.$

Thus, at an approximate experimentwise error rate of .05, we see that Laboratory 2 yielded significantly different median detected niacin content than either Laboratory 3 or Laboratory 4.

These multiple comparison decisions help to focus the rationale for the original rejection of the Mack-Skillings test, as it now seems reasonable to question the reliability of Laboratory 2 in conducting this niacin content process.