

# Liouville theorem of D-solutions to the stationary magnetohydrodynamics system in a slab

Cite as: J. Math. Phys. 62, 071503 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0031564

Submitted: 1 October 2020 • Accepted: 8 June 2021 •

Published Online: 1 July 2021



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

Xinghong Pan<sup>a)</sup> 

## AFFILIATIONS

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China and Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modelling and High Performance Computing of Air Vehicles (NUAA), MIIT, Nanjing 210016, China

<sup>a)</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: [xinghong\\_87@nuaa.edu.cn](mailto:xinghong_87@nuaa.edu.cn)

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study Liouville theorems of D-solutions to the stationary magnetohydrodynamic system in a slab. We will prove trivialness of the velocity and the magnetic field with various boundary conditions. In some boundary conditions, the additional assumption that the horizontal angular component(s) of the velocity or (and) the magnetic field is (are) axially symmetric is needed. More precisely, five types of boundary conditions will be considered: the vertical periodic boundary condition for the velocity and the magnetic field, the Navier-slip boundary condition for the velocity, the perfectly conducting or insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field, the non-slip boundary condition for the velocity, and the perfectly conducting or insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field. One of our innovations is that we do not impose finite Dirichlet integral assumption on the magnetic field compared with previous works.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0031564>

## I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the stationary magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) system

$$\begin{cases} u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - b \cdot \nabla b - \Delta u = 0, \\ u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u - \Delta b = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times I$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$  is a one-dimensional interval.  $u(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  represent the velocity vector, the magnetic field, and the scalar pressure, respectively. The MHD system, which describes the state of the fluid flows of plasma, is a fundamental partial differential equation in nature. For the background of the MHD system, we refer the reader to Ref. 1 for more details. We note that if  $b \equiv 0$ , the MHD system is reduced to the Navier-Stokes system.

The main aim of our paper is to study the Liouville-type theorem of D-solutions of the stationary MHD system (1.1). This study is partly motivated by the related Liouville problem of the stationary Navier-Stokes equation, which has attracted much attention in recent years and is still far from being fully understood. See, for example, Refs. 2–11 and references therein.

For a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p(\Omega)$  denotes the usual Lebesgue integrable space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ , and a function  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$  means that  $f \in L^p(\Omega')$  for any bounded domain  $\Omega'$  with  $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$ . The symbol  $\partial_i$  stands for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ .

We say that  $(u, b)$  is a D-solution of (1.1), which means that  $(u, b) \in D_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times I)$  is a weak solution of (1.1), satisfying the following finite Dirichlet integral condition:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times I} |Du|^2 dx < +\infty,$$

where the functional space  $D_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times I)$  is defined by

$$D_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times I) := \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times I) \mid \partial_i f \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times I), i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}.$$

*Remark 1.1.* Here, our D-solutions are weaker than those considered in the previous works, such as Refs. 12 and 13, where the finite Dirichlet integral assumption on the magnetic field is imposed.

In the following, sometimes, we will carry out some of the proofs in the cylindrical coordinates  $(r, \theta, x_3)$ . That is, for  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$  and  $\theta = \arctan \frac{x_2}{x_1}$ . The solution of the incompressible stationary magnetohydrodynamic system is given as

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u^r(r, \theta, x_3)e_r + u^\theta(r, \theta, x_3)e_\theta + u^3(r, \theta, x_3)e_3, \\ b &= b^r(r, \theta, x_3)e_r + b^\theta(r, \theta, x_3)e_\theta + b^3(r, \theta, z)e_3, \end{aligned}$$

where the basis vectors  $e_r, e_\theta$ , and  $e_3$  are

$$e_r = \left( \frac{x_1}{r}, \frac{x_2}{r}, 0 \right), \quad e_\theta = \left( -\frac{x_2}{r}, \frac{x_1}{r}, 0 \right), \quad e_3 = (0, 0, 1).$$

Denote the one-dimensional periodic domain  $[0, 1]$  by  $S$  and  $x_h = (x_1, x_2)$ . Our first result is given as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(u, h)$  be a bounded weak solution to the problem*

$$\begin{cases} u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - b \cdot \nabla b - \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times S, \\ u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u - \Delta b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times S, \\ \nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times S, \\ (u, b)(x_h, x_3) = (u, b)(x_h, x_3 + 1) & \text{for } x_h \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

with the finite Dirichlet integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx < +\infty$ .

If  $u^\theta, b^\theta$  are axially symmetric (independent of  $\theta$ ) and  $\lim_{|x_h| \rightarrow +\infty} b = 0$ , then we have  $u = (0, 0, c)$  and  $b = (0, 0, 0)$  for some constant  $c$ .

*Remark 1.3.* If  $b \equiv 0$ , our result in Theorem 1.2 is an improvement of Ref. 3, Theorem 1.3 where vanishing of D-solutions for the Navier–Stokes equations is proved with the additional assumption that all the components of the velocity are axially symmetric and vanishing at far field  $|x_h| \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition, our result improves that in Ref. 13, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1, where many more assumptions on the velocity and the magnetic field are imposed.

Despite  $u$  and  $b$  being periodic in the vertical direction, our method is also valid for D-solutions of certain boundary value problems of the magnetohydrodynamic system (1.1) in the slab  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$ . Below is a corollary that deals with the Navier-slip boundary condition for the velocity and the perfectly conducting or insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $(u, h)$  be a bounded weak solution to the magnetohydrodynamic system*

$$\begin{cases} u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - b \cdot \nabla b - \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u - \Delta b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ \nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ u \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times u \times n = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \\ b \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times b \times n = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad b \times n = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \end{cases}$$

with the finite Dirichlet integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1]} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx < \infty$ . Here,  $n$  is the unit outward normal vector on the boundary. Then, the following holds:

- (i) Under the boundary condition that  $u \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times u \times n = 0$  and  $b \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times b \times n = 0$ , we have  $(u, b) = 0$ , provided that  $u^\theta, b^\theta$  are axially symmetric and  $\lim_{|x_h| \rightarrow +\infty} b = 0$ .
- (ii) Under the boundary condition that  $u \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times u \times n = 0$  and  $b \times n = 0$ , we have  $(u, b) = 0$ , provided that  $u^\theta$  are axially symmetric and  $\lim_{|x_h| \rightarrow +\infty} b = 0$ .

*Remark 1.5.* The well-posedness problems of Corollary 1.4 can be found in Refs. 14 and 15 and references therein. Our results in Corollary 1.4 are an improvement of Ref. 13, Corollary 1.2. Note that under the insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field, the axially symmetric assumption on  $b^\theta$  is not needed.

Indeed, it is a subtle problem to give a suitable boundary condition for the magnetic field mathematically due to the fact that the magnetic field satisfies a system of elliptic equations up to leading order with the additional divergence-free constraint, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta b = \nabla \times (u \times b) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times I, \\ \nabla \cdot b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times I. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the standard elliptic boundary condition, such as the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, may lead to an overdetermined problem. The perfectly conducting and insulating boundary conditions in Corollary 1.4 for the magnetic field are characterized as those with these boundary conditions for  $b$ , and the corresponding Stokes and Laplacian operators are identical, which is not true, in general, for the Dirichlet boundary condition; see, for example, Refs. 16 and 17. Hence, usually, we do not impose the Dirichlet boundary condition  $b = 0$  on the boundary.

In addition, the well-posedness problem for the stationary MHD system in the case that the velocity satisfies the (non-slip) boundary condition and the magnetic field satisfies the perfectly conducting or insulating boundary condition has been established. See Refs. 18 and 19 and references therein. We have the following theorem concerning the Liouville theorem of the MHD system with the same boundary conditions.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $(u, h)$  be a bounded weak solution to the magnetohydrodynamic system*

$$\begin{cases} u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - b \cdot \nabla b - \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u - \Delta b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ \nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \\ b \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times b \times n = 0, \text{ or } b \times n = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

with the finite Dirichlet integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1]} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx < \infty$ . Here,  $n$  is the unit outward normal vector on the boundary. Then, the following holds:

- (i)  $u \equiv 0$  and  $b \equiv (c_1, c_2, 0)$  when  $u \equiv 0$  and  $b \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times b \times n = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})$ .
- (ii)  $u \equiv 0$  and  $b \equiv (0, 0, c_3)$  when  $u \equiv 0$  and  $b \times n = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})$ .

Here,  $c_1, c_2$ , and  $c_3$  are three constants.

*Remark 1.7.* In Theorem 1.6, if  $b \equiv 0$ , our results go back to the Liouville theorem for the Navier–Stokes equations in Ref. 3, Theorem 1.1.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. I, we give a useful proposition concerning gradient estimates of  $\nabla V$  for the divergence equation  $\nabla \cdot V = f$  in a cylinder, which will be used to show that the  $L^2$  mean oscillation of the pressure  $p$  is bounded. Section I is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.4. Using a similar but different argument as that in the Proof of Theorem 1.2, especially when dealing with the oscillation estimate of the pressure  $p$ , Theorem 1.6 will be proven in Sec. III D.

Throughout this paper, we use  $C$  to denote a generic constant, which may be different from line to line. We also apply  $A \lesssim B$  to denote  $A \leq CB$ . For  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we write  $x = (x_h, x_3)$  for simplicity. We denote that  $B(x_h^0, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_h - x_h^0| < r\}$ . We simply denote that  $B_r := B(0_h, r)$ . For a vector function  $f = (f^1, f^2, f^3)$ ,  $f^h$  denotes  $(f^1, f^2)$ .  $\nabla_h$  or  $\partial_h$  denotes  $(\partial_1, \partial_2)$  with a little abuse of notation if no confusion is caused.

## II. PRELIMINARY

For  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , define the domain  $\Omega_{R,\alpha} = \{x_h \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x_h| \leq R\} \times [0, R^\alpha]$ , where  $R \geq 1$ . We consider the following problem:  
Given

$$f \in L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha}), \quad \text{with} \quad \int_{\Omega_{R,\alpha}} f = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

find a vector field  $V : \Omega_{R,\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  such that

$$\nabla \cdot V = f, \quad V \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{R,\alpha}), \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L^2} \leq c_0 \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

with  $c_0 = c_0(\Omega_{R,\alpha})$ . For our purpose, we need an explicit estimate of the  $c_0$  constant depending on the radius  $R$  and  $\alpha$ .

The first solution of this problem is given by Bogovskii.<sup>20,21</sup> See also Lemma III.3.1 of Ref. 22.

*Lemma 2.8.* Let  $\Omega = \{\tilde{x}_h \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\tilde{x}_h| \leq 1\} \times [0, 1]$ . Then, for any  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\Omega)$ , satisfying

$$\tilde{f} \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \text{with} \quad \int_{\Omega} \tilde{f} = 0,$$

there exists a constant  $C$  and a vector function  $\tilde{V} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  such that

$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{V} = \tilde{f}, \quad \tilde{V} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad \|\nabla \tilde{V}\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $C$  is an absolute constant.

Next, we use the above lemma and a scaling argument to deduce the following proposition. We mention that the constant on the right-hand side is also an absolutely constant  $c$ , which is independent of the diameter of the domain  $\Omega_{R,\alpha}$ .

*Proposition 2.9.* Let  $\Omega_{R,\alpha}$  be as mentioned above. Then, for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})$ , satisfying (2.1), problem (2.2) has one solution  $V$  such that for the constant  $c_0$  in (2.2), we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h V^h\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})} + \|\partial_3 V^3\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})}, \\ \|\partial_3 V^h\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})} &\leq CR^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})}, \quad \|\nabla_h V^3\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})} \leq CR^{\alpha-1} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\Omega_{R,\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3) \in \Omega$ , define

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3) := f(R\tilde{x}_1, R\tilde{x}_2, R^\alpha \tilde{x}_3) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

It is easy to see that  $\tilde{f}$  satisfies the assumption in Lemma 2.8. Therefore, by Lemma 2.8, there exists a vector function  $\tilde{V} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , satisfying (2.3). Then, for  $x \in \Omega_{R,\alpha}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} V(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= (V^1(x_1, x_2, x_3), V^2(x_1, x_2, x_3), V^3(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \\ &= \left( R\tilde{V}^1\left(\frac{x_1}{R}, \frac{x_2}{R}, \frac{x_3}{R^\alpha}\right), R\tilde{V}^2\left(\frac{x_1}{R}, \frac{x_2}{R}, \frac{x_3}{R^\alpha}\right), R^\alpha \tilde{V}^3\left(\frac{x_1}{R}, \frac{x_2}{R}, \frac{x_3}{R^\alpha}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$\nabla \cdot V = f, \quad V \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{R,\alpha}) \quad \text{in } x \text{ variables.}$$

Now, we estimate the  $L^2$  norm of  $\nabla V$ . First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla_h V^h\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})}^2 + \|\partial_3 V^3\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_0^{R^\alpha} \int_{|\tilde{x}_h| \leq R} \left| \frac{\partial V^j}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx_h dx_3 + \int_0^{R^\alpha} \int_{|\tilde{x}_h| \leq R} \left| \frac{\partial V^3}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 dx_h dx_3 \\ &= \int_0^{R^\alpha} \int_{|\tilde{x}_h| \leq R} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^j}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} \right|^2 \left( \frac{x_h}{R}, \frac{x_3}{R^\alpha} \right) + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^3}{\partial \tilde{x}_3} \right|^2 \left( \frac{x_h}{R}, \frac{x_3}{R^\alpha} \right) \right) dx_h dx_3 \\ &= R^{2+\alpha} \int_0^1 \int_{|\tilde{x}_h| \leq 1} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^j}{\partial \tilde{x}_i} \right|^2 (\tilde{x}_h, \tilde{x}_3) + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^3}{\partial \tilde{x}_3} \right|^2 (\tilde{x}_h, \tilde{x}_3) \right) d\tilde{x}_h d\tilde{x}_3 \\ &\leq CR^{2+\alpha} \|\nabla \tilde{V}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_h V^3\|_{L^2(\Omega_{r,\alpha})}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^{R^\alpha} \int_{|x_h| \leq R} \left| \frac{\partial V^3}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx_h dx_3 \\ &= R^{2(\alpha-1)} \int_0^{R^\alpha} \int_{|x_h| \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^3}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \right|^2 \left( \frac{x_h}{R}, \frac{x_3}{R^\alpha} \right) dx_h dx_3 \\ &= R^{3\alpha} \int_0^1 \int_{|\tilde{x}_h| \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^3}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \right|^2 (\tilde{x}_h, \tilde{x}_3) d\tilde{x}_h d\tilde{x}_3 \\ &\leq CR^{3\alpha} \|\nabla \tilde{V}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

At last,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_3 V^h\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^{R^\alpha} \int_{|x_h| \leq r} \left| \frac{\partial V^i}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 dx_h dx_3 \\ &= R^{2(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{R^\alpha} \int_{|x_h| \leq R} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^3} \right|^2 \left( \frac{x_h}{R}, \frac{x_3}{R^\alpha} \right) dx_h dx_3 \\ &= R^{4-\alpha} \int_0^1 \int_{|\tilde{x}_h| \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^3} \right|^2 (\tilde{x}_h, \tilde{x}_3) d\tilde{x}_h d\tilde{x}_3 \\ &\leq CR^{4-\alpha} \|\nabla \tilde{V}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

In addition, it is easy to see that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_{R,\alpha})}^2 = R^{2+\alpha} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Combining the above estimates and (2.3), we can get (2.4), which finishes the Proof of Proposition 2.9.

### III. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof is divided into three parts. First, we will show that the horizontal radial components of the velocity and the magnetic field  $u^r$  and  $b^r$  actually belong to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S)$ . Second, using Proposition 2.9, we give an  $L^2$  mean oscillation estimate for the pressure  $p$  in a cylinder. At last, we prove the trivialness of  $u$  and  $b$ .

#### A. $L^2$ estimates for $u^r$ and $b^r$

*Lemma 3.10.* Under assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\|(u^r, b^r)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S)} + \|\nabla b^r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S)} < C^*.$$

Here,  $C^*$  is a constant depending on  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S)}$  and  $\|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S)}$ .

*Proof.* In cylindrical coordinates, the divergence-free condition (1.2)<sub>3</sub> is translated as

$$\nabla \cdot u = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r(ru^r) + \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta u^\theta + \partial_3 u^3 = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot h = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r(rb^r) + \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta b^\theta + \partial_3 b^3 = 0.$$

Since  $u^\theta, b^\theta$  are independent of  $\theta$ , we have

$$\nabla \cdot u = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r(ru^r) + \partial_3 u^3 = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot h = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r(rb^r) + \partial_3 b^3 = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Now, we integrate the first equation of (3.1) in  $S$  about  $x_3$ , and thus, we get

$$\partial_r \int_0^1 (ru^r) dx_3 = -r \int_0^1 \partial_3 u^3 dx_3 = -r[u^3(x_h, 1) - u^3(x_h, 0)] = 0,$$

where at the last line, we have used the periodic boundary condition. Hence, we have

$$r \int_0^1 u^r dx_3 = f(\theta).$$

By setting  $r = 0$ , we can actually get  $f(\theta) \equiv 0$ , which means that

$$\int_0^1 u^r dx_3 = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

In addition, we can obtain

$$\int_0^1 b^r dx_3 = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

### 1. $L^2$ estimate of $u^r$

By using (3.2), the one-dimensional Poincaré inequality indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |u^r|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} \left| u^r - \frac{1}{|S|} \int u^r dx_3 \right|^2 dx_3 dx_h \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 |\partial_3 u^r|^2 dx_3 dx_h \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

### 2. $L^2$ estimate of $\nabla b^r$

By a direct computation, we can see that  $b^r$  satisfies

$$u \cdot \nabla b^r - b \cdot \nabla u^r = (\Delta - \frac{1}{r^2}) b^r. \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $\phi(s)$  be a smooth cut-off function satisfying

$$\phi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & s \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

with the usual property that  $\phi$ ,  $\phi'$ , and  $\phi''$  are bounded. Set  $\phi_R(y_h) = \phi(\frac{|y_h|}{R})$ , where  $R$  is a large positive number. Now, testing (3.4) with  $b^r \phi_R$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} -(\Delta - \frac{1}{r^2}) b^r (b^r \phi_R) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} -(u \cdot \nabla b^r - b \cdot \nabla u^r) (b^r \phi_R) dx.$$

Integration by parts indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla b^r|^2 \phi_R dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |b^r|^2 \Delta \phi_R dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} \frac{|b^r|^2}{r^2} \phi_R dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} u \cdot \nabla |b^r|^2 \phi_R dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (u^r b^r) b \cdot \nabla \phi_R dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (b \cdot \nabla b^r) u^r \phi_R dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |b^r|^2 u \cdot \nabla \phi_R dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (u^r b^r) b \cdot \nabla \phi_R dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (b \cdot \nabla b^r) u^r \phi_R dx. \end{aligned}$$

By remembering that  $\phi_R$  is a function of  $r$ , independent of  $\theta$ , we have

$$u \cdot \nabla \phi_R = u^r \partial_r \phi_R, \quad b \cdot \nabla \phi_R = b^r \partial_r \phi_R.$$

Then, using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times I} |\nabla b^r|^2 \phi_R dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} \frac{|b^r|^2}{r^2} \phi_R dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R} \times S} |b^r|^2 dx + \frac{\|b^r\|_{L^\infty}^2}{2R} \int_{B_{2R} \times S} |u^r| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla b^r|^2 \phi_R dx + \frac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}^2}{2} \int_{B_{2R} \times S} |u^r|^2 \phi_R dx \\ &\leq \frac{\|b^r\|_{L^\infty}^2}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R} \times S} dx + \frac{\|b^r\|_{L^\infty}^2}{2R} \left( \int_{B_{2R}} |u^r|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{B_{2R} \times S} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla b^r|^2 \phi_R dx + \frac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}^2}{2} \int_{B_{2R} \times S} |u^r|^2 \phi_R dx \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{L^\infty}^2 (1 + \|u^r\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla b^r|^2 \phi_R dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{S}} |\nabla b^r|^2 \phi_R dx \lesssim \|b\|_{L^\infty}^2 (1 + \|u^r\|_{L^2}^2).$$

By letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\|\nabla b^r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{S})} \lesssim \|b\|_{L^\infty} (1 + \|u^r\|_{L^2}) < +\infty. \quad (3.6)$$

### 3. $L^2$ estimate of $b^r$

By using (3.3), the one-dimensional Poincaré inequality indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{S}} |b^r|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{S}} \left| b^r - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{S}|} \int_{\mathbf{S}} b^r dx_3 \right|^2 dx_3 dx_h \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 |\partial_3 b^r|^2 dx_3 dx_h \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{S}} |\nabla b^r|^2 dx < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

## B. $L^2$ mean oscillation estimate of the pressure $p$

*Lemma 3.11.* Let  $(u, b)$  be the solution of (1.2), and then, we have, for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\|p - p_n\|_{L^2(B_n \times \mathbf{S})} \leq C_0 n, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $C_0 = C_0(\|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})$  and  $p_n := \frac{1}{|B_n \times \mathbf{S}|} \int_{B_n \times \mathbf{S}} p dx$  is the average of  $p$  on  $B_n \times \mathbf{S}$ .

Now, we consider system (1.2) in  $B_n \times [0, n]$ . In Proposition 2.9, set  $\alpha = 1$  and  $R = n$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , and choose  $f = p - p_n$ . Then, there exists  $V$  in  $B_n \times [0, n]$  satisfying

$$\nabla \cdot V = p - p_n, \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])} \leq C \|p - p_n\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])},$$

where  $C$  is an absolute constant, independent of  $n$ . Now, multiplying (1.2)<sub>1</sub> with  $V$  and integrating  $B_n \times [0, n]$ , we get

$$\int_{B_n \times [0, n]} \nabla(p - p_n) \cdot V dx = \int_{B_n \times [0, n]} (\Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u + b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot V dx.$$

Integration by parts indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_n \times [0, n]} (p - p_n)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{B_n \times [0, n]} (p - p_n) \nabla \cdot V dx \\ &= - \int_{B_n \times [0, n]} (\Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u + b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot V dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{B_n \times [0, n]} \partial_i u^j \partial_i V^j + \partial_i (u^i u^j - b^i b^j) V^j dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{B_n \times [0, n]} (\partial_i u^j - u^i u^j + b^i b^j) \partial_i V^j dx \\ &\leq \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])} + \|u\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])}^2) \\ &\leq \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])} + \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|1\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0, n])}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_n \times [0,n]} (p - p_n)^2 dx \\ & \leq \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])} \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])} + n^{3/2} \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_n \times [0,n])}^2 \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])}^2 + C_\varepsilon \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])}^2 + \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_n \times [0,n])}^4 n^3 \right) \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \|p - p_n\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])}^2 + C_\varepsilon \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])}^2 + \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_n \times [0,n])}^4 n^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we can obtain

$$\|p - p_n\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])} \leq C \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_n \times [0,n])} + \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_n \times [0,n])}^2 n^{3/2} \right).$$

Remembering that  $(u, p)$  is periodic in the  $x_3$  direction, the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$n^{1/2} \|p - p_n\|_{L^2(B_n \times S)} \leq C \left( n^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_n \times S)} + \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_n \times S)}^2 n^{3/2} \right).$$

This implies (3.7).

### C. Trivialness of $u$ and $b$

Let  $\phi(s)$  be the test function in (3.5), and set  $\phi_n(y_h) = \phi(\frac{|y_h|}{n})$ . Testing the first two equations of (1.2) with  $u\phi_n$  and  $b\phi_n$ , respectively, we achieve that

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} u\phi_n \Delta u dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} u\phi_n (u \cdot \nabla u - b \cdot \nabla b + \nabla(p - p_n)) dx, \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} b\phi_n \Delta b dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} b\phi_n (u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Direct integration by parts implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla u|^2 \phi_n dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} u^i \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \phi_n dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla \phi_n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (p - p_n) u \cdot \nabla \phi_n dx \\ & - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} b_i b_j \partial_i u_j \phi_n dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} b_i b_j u_j \partial_i \phi_n dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |\nabla b|^2 \phi_n dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |b|^2 \Delta \phi_n dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |b|^2 u \cdot \nabla \phi_n dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} b_i b_j \partial_i u_j \phi_n dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, the following equation is achieved by adding (3.8) and (3.9) together:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla b|^2) \phi_n dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} |b|^2 \Delta \phi_n dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} u^i \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \phi_n dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} \left( \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |b|^2 + (p - p_n) \right) u \cdot \nabla \phi_n dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (b \cdot u)(b \cdot \nabla \phi_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $B_{2n/n} := \{x_h : n \leq |x_h| \leq 2n\}$  as the dyadic annulus. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla b|^2) \phi_n dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |b|^2 |\Delta \phi_n| dx + \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |u| \|\nabla u\| |\nabla \phi_n| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |u \cdot \nabla \phi_n| \cdot (|u|^2 + |b|^2) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |p - p_n| |u \cdot \nabla \phi_n| dx + \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |b| |u| |b \cdot \nabla \phi_n| dx \\ & := I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

First,  $I_1$  satisfies

$$I_1 \lesssim \frac{\|b\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)}^2}{n^2} \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} dx \lesssim \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using Hölder's inequality,  $I_2$  follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)}}{n} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2((B_{2n/n} \times S))} \|1\|_{L^2((B_{2n/n} \times S))} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2((B_{2n/n} \times S))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for  $I_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 & \lesssim \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)}^2 \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |u^r \partial_r \phi_n| dx \lesssim \frac{\|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)}^2}{n} \|u^r\|_{L^2(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|1\|_{L^2((B_{2n/n} \times S))} \\ & \lesssim \|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)}^2 \|u^r\|_{L^2(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 & \lesssim \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |p - p_n| |u^r \partial_r \phi_n| dx \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \|p - p_n\|_{L^2(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|u^r\|_{L^2((B_{2n/n} \times S))} \\ & \lesssim C_0 \|u^r\|_{L^2(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have applied Hölder's inequality, the boundedness of  $L^2$  mean oscillation of  $p$  in dyadic annulus, which is achieved in Subsection III B, and  $u^r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S)$ . Finally, the following estimate is satisfied by  $I_5$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 & \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \int_{B_{2n/n} \times S} |b^r \partial_r \phi_n| dx \\ & \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)}}{n} \|b^r\|_{L^2(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|1\|_{L^2((B_{2n/n} \times S))} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \|b^r\|_{L^2(B_{2n/n} \times S)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates of  $I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4$ , and  $I_5$ , (3.10) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla b|^2) dx = 0$$

by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which means that  $u$  and  $b$  are both constant vectors.

Moreover, since  $\lim_{|x_h| \rightarrow +\infty} b = 0$ , we have  $b = 0$ . In addition, we have

$$0 = \int_S u^r dx_3 = \int_S (u^1 \cos \theta + u^2 \sin \theta) dx_3 = u^1 \cos \theta + u^2 \sin \theta.$$

By setting  $\theta = 0$  and  $\pi$ , we have  $u^1 = u^2 = 0$ . Hence, we actually have

$$u = (0, 0, c) \quad \text{and} \quad b = (0, 0, 0)$$

for some constant  $c$ . This finishes the Proof of Theorem 1.2.

#### D. Proof of corollary 1.4

*Proof.* For the boundary condition in Corollary 1.4, we translate it as follows:

- (i) The perfectly conducting boundary condition for the magnetic field ( $b \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times b \times n = 0$ ) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_3 u^1 = \partial_3 u^2 = u^3 = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \\ \partial_3 b^1 = \partial_3 b^2 = b^3 = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}). \end{cases}$$

- (ii) The insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field ( $b \times n = 0$ ) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_3 u^1 = \partial_3 u^2 = u^3 = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \\ b^1 = b^2 = \partial_3 b^3 = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}). \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

We can extend the solution to be a periodic solution in the  $x_3$  direction.

In case (i), we make even extensions for  $u^h, b^h, p$  and odd extensions for  $u^3, b^3$  in the  $x_3$  direction. More precisely, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-1, 1]$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(x_h, x_3) &:= \begin{cases} p(x_h, x_3) & (x_h, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ p(x_h, -x_3) & (x_h, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-1, 0], \end{cases} \\ \tilde{u}(x_h, x_3) &:= \begin{cases} (u^h(x_h, x_3), u^3(x_h, x_3)) & (x_h, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ (u^h(x_h, -x_3), -u^3(x_h, -x_3)) & (x_h, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-1, 0], \end{cases} \\ \tilde{b}(x_h, x_3) &:= \begin{cases} (b^h(x_h, x_3), b^3(x_h, x_3)) & (x_h, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1], \\ (b^h(x_h, -x_3), -b^3(x_h, -x_3)) & (x_h, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-1, 0]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{p})$  is a weak solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [-1, 1]$  and on the boundary,

$$\tilde{u}|_{x_3=-1} = \tilde{u}|_{x_3=1}, \quad \tilde{b}|_{x_3=-1} = \tilde{b}|_{x_3=1}, \quad \tilde{p}|_{x_3=-1} = \tilde{p}|_{x_3=1}.$$

Then, we extend the solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{p})$  to be a periodic solution in the  $x_3$  direction. By applying Theorem 1.2, we can get that

$$u = (0, 0, c) \quad \text{and} \quad b = (0, 0, 0).$$

Since  $u^3|_{x_3=0,1} = 0$ , we have  $c = 0$ .

In case (ii), we make even extensions for  $u^h, b^3, p$  and odd extensions for  $u^3, b^h$  in the  $x_3$  direction. The same as case (i), we can show that  $(u, b) \equiv 0$ . Here, we want to emphasize that why in case (ii) the assumption  $b^\theta$  is axially symmetric is not needed. In this situation, Eq. (3.3) is not guaranteed any more. However, we still have  $\nabla b^r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times I)$ . Then, the boundary condition (3.11) for  $b$  implies that  $b^r|_{x_3=0,1} = 0$ , which, by using one-dimensional Poincaré's inequality, can also validate that  $b^r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times I)$ .  $\square$

#### IV. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

The same as Corollary 1.4, for the boundary condition in Theorem 1.6, we have the following:

- (i) The perfectly conducting boundary condition for the magnetic field ( $b \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times b \times n = 0$ ) is given by

$$\begin{cases} u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \\ \partial_3 b^1 = \partial_3 b^2 = b^3 = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

- (ii) The insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field ( $b \times n = 0$ ) is given by

$$\begin{cases} u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}), \\ b^1 = b^2 = \partial_3 b^3 = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}). \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

*Remark 4.12.* Since now  $u$  satisfies the non-slip boundary condition, there is no any extension as that in Corollary 1.4.

The idea of proving Theorem 1.6 is similar to but different from that in the Proof of Theorem 1.2, especially when we use Proposition 2.9 to estimate the oscillation of the pressure  $p$ .

*Lemma 4.13.* *Under assumptions of Theorem 1.6, we have the following:*

- (i) *The perfectly conducting boundary condition for the magnetic field is given by*

$$\|(u, b^3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])} < C^*.$$

- (ii) *The insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field is given by*

$$\|(u, b^h)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])} < C^*.$$

Here,  $C^*$  is a constant depending on  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])}$  and  $\|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])}$ .

*Proof.* The  $L^2$  estimate for  $u$  is a simple combination of  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])} < +\infty$ ,  $u|_{x_3=0,1} = 0$ , and one-dimensional Poincaré inequality. Here, we omit the details.

Considering the equation for  $b$ ,

$$u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u = \Delta b.$$

Using the same procedure as obtaining (3.6), we can get that

$$\|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])} \lesssim \|b\|_{L^\infty}(1 + \|u\|_{L^2}) < +\infty.$$

In case (i) with  $b^3|_{x_3=0,1} = 0$  and case (ii) with  $b^h|_{x_3=0,1} = 0$ , the one-dimensional Poincaré inequality and the above boundedness of  $\|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])}$  guarantee that  $b^3 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])$  and  $b^h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])$ , respectively.  $\square$

*Lemma 4.14.* *Let  $(u, b)$  be the solution of (1.3); then, we have, for  $R \geq 1$ ,*

$$\|p - p_R\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \leq C_0 R,$$

where  $C_0 = C_0(\|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})$  and  $p_R := \frac{1}{|B_R \times [0,1]|} \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} p dx$  is the average of  $p$  in  $B_R \times [0,1]$ .

*Proof.* Since now our solution is not a periodic solution as that in Theorem 1.2, we cannot proceed with our proof as the same as that in Lemma 3.11 in the cylinder  $B_n \times [0, n]$ . Conversely, we can only consider it in a thin cylinder  $B_R \times [0, 1]$  for large  $R$ .

In Proposition 2.9, set  $\alpha = 0$  and choose  $f = p - p_R$ . Then, there exists  $V$  in  $B_R \times [0, 1]$ , satisfying  $\nabla \cdot V = p - p_R$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h V^h\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} + \|\partial_3 V^3\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} &\leq C\|p - p_R\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}, \\ \|\partial_3 V^h\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} &\leq CR\|f\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}, \\ \|\nabla_h V^3\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} &\leq CR^{-1}\|p - p_R\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where  $C$  is an absolute constant, independent of  $R$ . Now, multiplying (1.3)<sub>1</sub> with  $V$  and integrating  $B_R \times [0, 1]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \nabla(p - p_R) \cdot V dx &= \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} (\Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u + b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot V dx \\ &= \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} (\Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u + b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot V dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts indicates that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} (p - p_R)^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} (p - p_R) \nabla \cdot V dx \\
 &= - \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} (\Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u + b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot V dx \\
 &= \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_i u^j \partial_i V^j + \partial_i (u^i u^j - b^i b^j) V^j dx \\
 &= \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\partial_i u^j - u^i u^j + b^i b^j) \partial_i V^j dx \\
 &\leq \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_R \times [0,1])} \|u\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}) \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{\int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (b^i b^j) \partial_i V^j dx}_{\mathcal{L}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We need to deal with the term  $\mathcal{L}$  more carefully.

Case (i). The perfectly conducting boundary condition for the magnetic field:

By using (4.3) and Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} &= \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (b^i b^j) \partial_i V^j dx + \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \sum_{i=3 \text{ or } j=3} (b^i b^j) \partial_i V^j dx \\
 &\leq \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_R \times [0,1])} \|\nabla_h V^h\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \|1\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \\
 &\quad + \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_R \times [0,1])} \|b^3\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \|p - p_R\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}^2 + C_\varepsilon R^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_\varepsilon$  depends on  $\|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty}$ ,  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$ , and  $\varepsilon$ .

Case (ii). The insulating boundary condition for the magnetic field:

By using (4.3) and Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} &= \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (b^3)^2 \partial_3 V^3 dx + \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} \sum_{i=3 \text{ or } j=3} (b^i b^j) \partial_i V^j dx \\
 &\leq \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_R \times [0,1])} \|\partial_3 V^3\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \|1\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \\
 &\quad + \|b\|_{L^\infty(B_R \times [0,1])} \|b^h\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \|p - p_R\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}^2 + C_\varepsilon R^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above two inequalities into (4.4) and using Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_R \times [0,1]} (p - p_n)^2 dx \\
 &\leq \varepsilon R^{-2} \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}^2 + C_\varepsilon R^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_R \times [0,1])}^2 \|u\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}^2) \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon \|p - p_R\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}^2 + C_\varepsilon R^2 \\
 &\leq C_\varepsilon \|p - p_n\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])}^2 + C_\varepsilon R^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_\varepsilon$  depends on  $\|(u, b)\|_{L^\infty}$ ,  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$ , and  $\varepsilon$ . By choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we can obtain

$$\|p - p_R\|_{L^2(B_R \times [0,1])} \leq C_0 R.$$

This finishes the Proof of Lemma 4.14.

**A. Trivialness of  $u$  and  $b$** 

*Proof.* The proof of trivialness of  $u$  and  $b$  will be almost the same as that in Subsection III C. Let  $\phi(s)$  be the test function in (3.5), and set  $\phi_R(y_h) = \phi\left(\frac{|y_h|}{R}\right)$ . Testing the first two equations of (1.3) with  $u\phi_R$  and  $b\phi_R$ , we can get the same estimate as (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1]} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla b|^2) \phi_R dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{B_{2R/R} \times [0,1]} |b| |\nabla b| |\nabla \phi_R| dx + \int_{B_{2R/R} \times [0,1]} |u| |\nabla u| |\nabla \phi_R| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_{2R/R} \times [0,1]} |u \cdot \nabla \phi_R| \cdot (|u|^2 + |b|^2) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_{2R/R} \times [0,1]} |p - p_R| |u \cdot \nabla \phi_R| dx + \int_{B_{2R/R} \times [0,1]} |b| |u| |b \cdot \nabla \phi_R| dx \\ & := J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The proof of the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^4 J_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  is completely the same as that of  $I_i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq 4$ ) in Subsection III C. The only difference comes from  $J_5$  since now we do not have  $b^r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])$  in the case that the magnetic field satisfies the perfectly conducting boundary condition. However, since in both boundary conditions we have  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1])$ , we can estimate it as follows:

$$J_5 \lesssim \frac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}{R} \|u\|_{L^2(B_{2R/R} \times [0,1])} \|1\|_{L^2(B_{2R/R} \times [0,1])} \lesssim C \|u\|_{L^2(B_{2R/R} \times [0,1])} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, at last, from (4.5), by letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , we can get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1]} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla b|^2) dx = 0,$$

which implies that  $u$  and  $b$  are constant vectors. In boundary condition (4.1), we have  $u = 0$  and  $b = (c_1, c_2, 0)$  for constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . In boundary condition (4.2), we have  $u = 0$  and  $b = (0, 0, c_3)$  for a constant  $c_3$ . This finishes the Proof of Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The author would like to thank Professor Qi S. Zhang of the UC, Riverside, and Dr. Zijin Li of the Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology for helpful discussions on this topic. X.P. was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK20180414) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11801268).

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**REFERENCES**

- <sup>1</sup>L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, 2nd ed. (Pergamon, New York, 1984).
- <sup>2</sup>B. Carrillo, X. Pan, and Q. S. Zhang, “Decay and vanishing of some axially symmetric D-solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **279**(1), 108504 (2020).
- <sup>3</sup>B. Carrillo, X. Pan, Q. S. Zhang, and N. Zhao, “Decay and vanishing of some D-solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations,” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **237**(3), 1383–1419 (2020).
- <sup>4</sup>D. Chae, “Liouville-type theorem for the forced Euler equations and the Navier-Stokes equations,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **326**, 37–48 (2014).
- <sup>5</sup>D. Chae and J. Wolf, “On the Liouville type theorems for the steady Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,” *J. Differ. Equations* **261**, 5541–5560 (2016).
- <sup>6</sup>M. Korobkov, K. Pileckas, and R. Russo, “The Liouville-type theorems for the stationary Navier-Stokes problem for axially symmetric 3D solutions in absence of swirl,” *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **17**(2), 287–293 (2015).
- <sup>7</sup>H. Kozono, Y. Terasawa, and Y. Wakasugi, “A remark on Liouville-type theorems for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations in three space dimensions,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **272**, 804–818 (2017).
- <sup>8</sup>X. Pan and Z. Li, “Liouville theorem of axially symmetric Navier-Stokes equations with growing velocity at infinity,” *Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl.* **56**, 103159 (2020).
- <sup>9</sup>X. Pan, “A Liouville theorem of Navier-Stokes equations with two periodic variables,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **485**(2), 123854 (2020).
- <sup>10</sup>G. Seregin, “Liouville type theorem for stationary Navier-Stokes equations,” *Nonlinearity* **29**, 2191–2195 (2016).
- <sup>11</sup>G. Seregin, “Remarks on Liouville type theorems for steady-state Navier-Stokes equations,” *Algebra Anal.* **30**(2), 238–248 (2018).
- <sup>12</sup>D. Chae and S. Weng, “Liouville type theorems for the steady axially symmetric Navier-Stokes and magnetohydrodynamic equations,” *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **36**(10), 5267–5285 (2016).
- <sup>13</sup>Z. Li and X. Pan, “On the vanishing of some D-solutions to the stationary magnetohydrodynamics system,” *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **21**(4), 52 (2019).

- <sup>14</sup>Q. Duan, Y. Xiao, and Z. Xin, “On the vanishing dissipation limit for the incompressible MHD equations on bounded domains,” arXiv:2007.02607.
- <sup>15</sup>Y. Xiao, Z. Xin, and J. Wu, “Vanishing viscosity limit for the 3D magnetohydrodynamic system with a slip boundary condition,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **257**(11), 3375–3394 (2009).
- <sup>16</sup>P. Constantin and C. Foias, *Navier-Stokes Equations*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1988).
- <sup>17</sup>P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics: Volume 1: Incompressible Models*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications Vol. 3 (Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996).
- <sup>18</sup>J. F. Gerbeau, C. Le Bris, and T. Lelièvre, *Mathematical Methods for the Magnetohydrodynamics of Liquid Metals*, Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006).
- <sup>19</sup>M. D. Gunzburger, A. J. Meir, and J. S. Peterson, “On the existence, uniqueness, and finite element approximation of solutions of the equations of stationary, incompressible magnetohydrodynamics,” *Math. Comput.* **56**(194), 523–563 (1991).
- <sup>20</sup>M. E. Bogovskii, “Solution of the first boundary value problem for an equation of continuity of an incompressible medium,” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **248**(5), 1037–1040 (1979) (in Russian).
- <sup>21</sup>M. E. Bogovskii, “Solutions of some problems of vector analysis, associated with the operators div and grad,” in *Theory of Cubature Formulas and the Application of Functional Analysis to Problems of Mathematical Physics*, Trudy Sem. S. L. Soboleva (Akademiya Nauk SSSR Sibirskoe Otdelenie, Institut Matematiki, Novosibirsk, 1980), Vol. 149, Issue 1, pp. 5–40 (in Russian).
- <sup>22</sup>G. P. Galdi, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations* (Springer, 2011).