

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

Xingjian Bai

Ph.D. Applicant

My research interests lie in the intersection of algorithms, mathematics, and deep learning – a domain where elegant theories meet practical models. With a solid educational background in these fields, I have explored this intersected area through several research projects. These include adapting classic algorithms to leverage inaccurate learned predictions [1], and enhancing machine learning models through rigorous theoretical analysis, spanning the fields of adversarial robustness [2], generative modeling [3], and reinforcement learning [4]. Integrating the strength of algorithms and deep learning, my primary research objective is to develop intelligent systems with learning and logical abilities, able to perceive, think, and explore the world beyond human-level capabilities.

Algorithms were my idea of fun in high school years. Participating in the Chinese Olympiad of Informatics, advancing to the USACO camp, and winning 1st place in the Canadian Computing Olympiad national team selection, I enjoyed learning algorithms with friends worldwide. Later, I delved deeper into algorithms with theoretical foundations, encountering techniques like the Fast Fourier Transform, the Miller-Rabin test, and Euler’s iterative method. These experiences revealed a complex world behind codes, of analytical and algebraic structures I could not yet understand. I majored in mathematics and computer science at Oxford to further explore these theoretical foundations.

As I learned more about machine learning, I found it fascinating that, without assurances of worst-case performance, ML models can deliver impressive outcomes in a wide range of real-world applications. Their success in areas like the game of Go and protein structure prediction well surpasses that of classic algorithms. This led me to a realization that is very similar to what Prof. Sanjeev Arora described in his blog, *Off the Convex Path*: beyond the idealized world of problems addressed by classic algorithms, there lies a broader set of challenges – erroneous, stochastic, and worst-case intractable by nature – yet they are prevalent in real-world scenarios. What, then, is the suitable paradigm to approach these problems? Motivated by this question, I entered the world of deep learning through an algorithmic lens, seeking to bring theoretical guarantees to the practical models.

Learning-Augmented Sorting One of my projects came about using inaccurate advice to speed up sorting, perhaps the most fundamental algorithmic task. This followed the line of work on learning-augmented algorithms, started by [5], which seeks to design algorithms with theoretical guarantees that can leverage predictions from ML models. Previous works have proposed approximated sorting algorithms with noisy signals, but no one has invented provably correct sorting algorithms to cope with erroneous advice. Working with Prof. Christian Coester at Oxford, I proposed a setting where a quick-and-dirty comparison function is available, besides the original exact (but slow) comparator. I drew insights from self-balanced data structures and randomized algorithms, and designed a novel algorithm that can precisely sort with a small number of clean comparisons, if given dirty comparisons are mostly correct. Subsequently, I proved the optimality of my algorithm and demonstrated its effectiveness in experiments.

This work [2] will appear at **NeurIPS 2023**. The proof of my algorithms is elegant enough that I was told by an attendee of a workshop that he was considering teaching it at his university. I have also discussed with researchers in applied drug discovery lab, who suggest that my results can be applied to reduce the number of comparative experiments needed to select molecular structures among thousands of candidates.

Wasserstein Distributional Adversarial Robustness of Neural Networks Unlike algorithms with provable guarantees, neural networks (NNs) are vulnerable to worst-case attacks, making them unsafe for real-world applications. Despite the extensive literature on effective adversarial attacks, theoretical tools for analyzing the worst-case behavior of NNs remain underdeveloped. Working with Prof. Jan Obloj at Oxford, we used optimal transport to lift the robustness problem into infinite-dimensional space, then leveraged Distributional Robust Optimization (DRO) sensitivity analysis to evaluate the robustness. As the only team member with an ML background, I worked on translating mathematical tools into algorithms. Specifically, I proposed a new loss function, Rectified DLR, along with an adversarial attack algorithm with certified accuracy bound. Further, to mitigate the intractability of minimax optimization in training, I employed

off-policy learning techniques from RL and proposed a robust training algorithm. This work [3] will appear at **NeurIPS 2023**.

Neuro-symbolic control on diffusion models For image generation tasks, diffusion models have all the strengths of “System 1 thinking”¹: they excel at rendering emotions, styles, and scene diversity. However, they fall short in compositionality, consistency, and generalizability, the hallmarks of a logical system. To address these limitations, I worked with Prof. Jiajun Wu at Stanford to improve the relational compositionality of diffusion models. Diverging from traditional end-to-end diffusion models, I developed a two-stage pipeline using bounding boxes as a bridge and decomposed image generation into the generation of individual objects and relations. This approach achieved state-of-the-art performance in generating multiple objects and multiple relations on synthetic datasets.

My research goal is to develop learning systems that combine the reliability of classic algorithms with the seemingly unreasonable power of machine learning. Such systems would be more interpretable and composable than traditional ML models while surpassing classic algorithms in learning abilities.

To start with, several questions immediately pique my interest:

- **How to seamlessly integrate deep learning models with provably correct algorithms?** I believe the key lies in the interface. Previous works in learning-augmented algorithms have modeled predictions with discrete structures for simplicity in complexity analysis. However, this approach overlooks the structure of networks and consequently limits the seamless integration of ML models with algorithms. I aim to design continuous, interactive, bi-directional interfaces tailored between specific network types, such as Transformers and Graph Neural Networks, and classic algorithms.
- **How to leverage algorithmic techniques to enhance ML models?** Classic algorithms offer a wealth of powerful tools – recursion, divide-and-conquer, dynamic programming, etc. Integrating these into learning systems would significantly enhance their logical reasoning capabilities. As a preliminary approach, I plan to use implicit neural networks to embed algorithmic principles within learning systems.

Stanford is an optimal place for me to pursue my research goals. I am particularly excited about working with **Professor Ellen Vitercik**. I am eager to collaborate with her on the automatic configuration, selection, and design of algorithms with machine learning. With her, I am keen to develop algorithmic pipelines that can self-adapt their hyper-parameters and structures according to the inputs, thereby leveraging hidden structures behind specific tasks. Additionally, with shared interests in neural-algorithmic reasoning, I am keen to explore and improve the algorithmic reasoning capabilities of Graph Neural Networks.

I would also like to work with **Professor Percy Liang**. By leveraging algorithmic insights, I aim to contribute to his work in understanding and improving the reasoning abilities of Foundation Models and in developing learning systems with strong guarantees of fairness and robustness. With him, I am excited about exploring the potential of leveraging large language models in classic algorithmic settings, thereby broadening the impact of foundation models to a broader range of scientific domains.

¹as described in the book *Thinking, Fast and Slow*

References

- [1] **Xingjian Bai** and Christian Coester, “Sorting with Predictions,” in *Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2023, Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.00749>.
- [2] **Xingjian Bai**, Guangyi He, Yifan Jiang, and Jan Obloj, “Wasserstein Distributional Robustness of Neural Networks,” in *Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2023. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.09844>.
- [3] **Xingjian Bai** and Luke Melas-Kyriazi, “Fixed Point Diffusion Models” Under review.
- [4] Jacek Karwowski, Oliver Hayman, **Xingjian Bai**, Klaus Kiendlhofer, Charlie Griffin, and Joar Skalse, “Goodhart’s Law in Reinforcement Learning,” Under review. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.09144>.
- [5] Thodoris Lykouris and Sergei Vassilvitskii, “Competitive Caching with Machine Learned Advice,” in *Proc. of the 35th Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML 2018)*, vol. 80, pp. 3302–3311, 2018.