Copy Multiple Features in INLA / InlaBru

September 12, 2023

1 The package for preferential sampling

We are currently working to develop an R package for the preferential sampling model proposed by Watson et al. (2019) which fits an observational model and a site selection model that shares latent factors with the observation model. The purpose of this package to facilitate the spatial prediction using the proposed preferential sampling model.

Given the specific form of the model (one observation model and one site-selection model), we would like to restrict the input of the user to simplify the API. In particular, we want the user to specify only formulas of the two models and provide the dataset. Given that the two models are both mixed effects models, we would like to use the syntax analogous to the that of the **lme4** package.

Internally, we want to convert the input of the user to proper models of **inlabru** and fit the model using **inlabru**. For example, one thing we might need to do is to introduce q_2 auxiliary factors and q_2 new models if the user-specified model wants to copy q_2 factor combinations from the observation model **??** to the site selection model **??**.

2 A preferential sampling model for black smoke data in British

Watson et al. (2019) introduced a specific model in the family to model the black smoke data in British. Let $t_j^\star$ denote the jth time-scaled observations that lie in the interval $[0, 1]$.

The model for the observation process is

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i,j} \mid R_{i,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{i,j}, \sigma_\epsilon^2) \\
\mu_{i,j} &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 t_j^\star + \gamma_2 (t_j^\star)^2 + b_{0,i} + b_{1,i} t_j^\star + \beta_0(s_i) + \beta_1(s_i) t_j^\star + \beta_2(s_i)(t_j^\star)^2 \\
[\beta_k(s_1), \ldots, \beta_k(s_m)]^T &\overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma(\zeta_k)) \quad \text{for } k \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \quad \Sigma(\zeta_k) = \text{Matern}(\zeta_k) \\
[b_{0,i}, b_{1,i}] &\overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_b), \quad \Sigma_b = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{b,1}^2 & \rho_b \\ \rho_b & \sigma_{b,2}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\theta &= (\sigma_\epsilon^2, \gamma, \zeta_k, \sigma_{b,1}^2, \rho_b) \sim \text{Priors}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

The model for site-selection process is

$$R_{i,j} \sim \mathsf{Bern}(p_{i,j})$$

$$\mathrm{logit}\, p_{i,1} = \alpha_{0,0} + \alpha_1 t_1^\star + \alpha_2 (t_1^\star) + \beta_1^\star(t_1)$$
$$+ \alpha_{rep} I_{i,2} + \beta_0^\star(s_i)$$
$$+ d_b[b_{0,i} + b_{1,i}(t_1^\star)]$$
$$+ d_\beta[\beta_0(s_i) + \beta_1(s_i)t_{j-1}^\star + \beta_2(s_i)(t_{j-1}^\star)^2],$$

$$\mathrm{for}\, j \neq 1 \quad \mathrm{logit}\, p_{i,j} = \alpha_{0,1} + \alpha_1 t_j^\star + \alpha_2 (t_j^\star)^2 + \beta_1^\star t_j$$
$$+ \alpha_{ret} r_{i,(j-1)} + \alpha_{rep} I_{i,2} + \beta_0^\star(s_i)$$
$$+ d_b[b_{0,i} + b_{1,i}(t_1^\star)]$$
$$+ d_\beta[\beta_0(s_i) + \beta_1(s_i)t_{j-1}^\star + \beta_2(s_i)(t_{j-1}^\star)^2], \tag{2}$$

$$I_{i,j} = \mathbb{1}\left[\left(\sum_{\ell \neq i} r_{\ell, j-1} \mathbb{1}(\|s_i - s_\ell\| < c)\right) > 0\right],$$

$$[\beta_0^\star(s_1), \ldots, \beta_0^\star(s_m)]^T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma(\zeta_R)), \Sigma(\zeta_R) = \mathrm{Matern}(\zeta_R),$$
$$[\beta_1^\star(t_1), \ldots, \beta_1^\star(t_T)]^T \sim \mathrm{AR1}(\rho_a, \sigma_a^2),$$
$$\theta_R = [\alpha, d_b, d_\beta, \rho_a, \sigma_a^2, \zeta_R] \sim \mathrm{Priors}$$

3   The implementation in INLA / inlabru

To implement the preferential sampling model defined by **??** and **??** in INLA, or **inlabru**, we are supposed to specify two models. One for the observation process in the Gaussian family and one for the site selection process in the Bernoulli family. In particular, we want to share some linear combinations of latent factors between the observation model and the site selection model:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{q_2} d_\ell \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} w_{i,j,\ell,k} \beta_k(s_i, \phi_{i,\ell,k}(t_j)), \tag{3}$$

where we have q_2 set of factors to share, each being a linear combination of latent factors from the observation model. While both INLA and **inlabru** allow copying factors between models, each factor (component in **inlabru**) must be copied separately and therefore introduce one new scale parameter for each copied factor (by setting $fixed = FALSE$).

In our model, however, we only want one scale parameter for each combination of factors, i.e., we only want q_2 scale parameters when copying factors combinations in Eq. (3). For example in the specific model Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) for the black smoke data, the two copied factor combinations in the site selection process Eq. (2)are

$$d_b[b_{0,i} + b_{1,i}(t_1^\star)] \quad \mathrm{and} \quad d_\beta[\beta_0(s_i) + \beta_1(s_i)t_{j-1}^\star + \beta_2(s_i)(t_{j-1}^\star)^2],$$

where d_b and d_β are two scale parameters. However, this is not directly achievable using the *copy* feature in INLA or **inlabru**. If we use the *copy* feature to copy each latent factor separately, there will be five (instead of two) new scale parameters introduced at each site and time point.

To copy the whole set of factors in implementing the model for black smoke data, Watson's code introduced two auxiliary factors and two auxiliary Gaussian models in addition to the original observation model and the site selection model:

$$0 = -C_b + [b_{0,i} + b_{1,i}(t_1^\star)]$$
$$0 = -C_\beta + [\beta_0(s_i) + \beta_1(s_i)t_{j-1}^\star + \beta_2(s_i)(t_{j-1}^\star)^2]$$

where C_b and C_β are auxiliary factors. The latent factors C_b and C_β work as duplicates of the two factor combinations:

$$C_b = b_{0,i} + b_{1,i}(t_1^\star) \quad \mathrm{and} \quad C_\beta = \beta_0(s_i) + \beta_1(s_i)t_{j-1}^\star + \beta_2(s_i)(t_{j-1}^\star)^2$$

To achieve this, the precision parameter of the two factors C_b and C_β are set to be ≈ 0 and the precision parameter of the two Gaussian auxiliary models are set to be $\approx \infty$. Also, these factors $b_{0,i}, b_{1,i}(t_1^\star), \beta_0(s_i), \beta_1(s_i)t_{j-1}^\star, \beta_2(s_i)(t_{j-1}^\star)^2$ are copied from the observation model Eq. (1) to the two auxiliary models with $fixed = TRUE$. The choice of the precision parameters make sure that C_b and C_β are precise copies of the factor combinations.

Now we need to fit four, instead of two models in INLA or **inlabru**. In general, the total number of models is $2 + q_2$. With the auxiliary factor C_b and C_β, instead of copying these two linear combinations of factors in the site selection model Eq. (2), now we copy C_b and C_β. By setting $fixed = FALSE$, two new scale parameters, d_b and d_β are added to C_b and C_β respectively.

References

Watson, J., Zidek, J. V., and Shaddick, G. (2019). A general theory for preferential sampling in environmental networks. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 13(4):2662 – 2700.