

**BIOL5371M Flow chart marking scheme *Final mark = 2 x content + 0.5 x presentation (see grid below)***

	Content (Weighting x 2)	Presentation/organisation (Weighting x 0.5)
19-20 Excellent	Experimental strategy outstanding, reflects the actual work and the description provides additional insights, e.g. potential pitfalls. Each key step included and coherently linked together into a logical sequence that will generate the data presented in the figure. Each step accurately, concisely and clearly described. An outstandingly presented clear rationale for the experimental stages provided and the expected results clearly stated. Overall, a highly authoritative and critical description of the work to be undertaken.	Outstanding organisation and clarity of writing which fits with published guidelines for this work. Outstanding layout of the flow diagram. Excellent choice and range of references. Essentially error-free
16-18 Excellent	Experimental strategy excellent, almost fully reflects the actual work and the description provides some additional insights, e.g. potential pitfalls. Key steps included and linked together into a logical sequence. Each step accurately, concisely and clearly described. An excellent clear rationale for the experimental stages provided and the expected results clearly stated. Overall, an authoritative and critical description of the work to be undertaken.	Excellent organisation and clarity of writing. Excellent layout of the flow diagram. Excellent choice and range of references. Almost error-free
14-15.5 Very good	Experimental strategy is very good, reflects the actual work. A complete, concise and accurate description of the strategy provided with a very good clear rationale for the experimental stages provided and the expected results stated. Some critical insight.	Very good organisation and clarity of writing. Very good layout of the flow diagram. Very good choice and range of references. Largely error-free.
12-13.5 Good	Experimental strategy is largely accurate with the rationale for the steps included. A clear and accurate description of the experimental stages provided. Few omissions and errors.	Clear organisation and high level of coherence. Good layout of the flow diagram. Good range of references - correctly formatted. Some minor faults.
10-11.5 <b>Adequate</b>	Experimental strategy is largely underdeveloped. A basic description of the experimental stages. Some errors and omissions. Lack of insight.	Reasonably well organised. Intelligibly written. Adequate range and quality of references, some errors in formatting but overall meets basic requirements of referencing and presentation.
8-9.5 <b>Marginal fail</b>	Experimental strategy contains flaws. Very limited description of the experimental stages. Multiple errors and omissions and/or irrelevant detail.	Organisation lacks cohesion. Writing of poor quality but generally intelligible. Limited use of references or relevant references, errors in formatting. Poor presentation.
<8 Fail	Experimental strategy fundamentally flawed. Very little description of the experimental stages. Very many significant inaccuracies and/or irrelevant detail.	Poorly structured, containing many inaccuracies and errors. Meaning often unclear. Very poor writing quality. Unacceptable level of referencing and presentation.
Total mark/50		Subtract 5% of available marks for each day late, including those following any return to student for correction of over length limit

Student ID	
Feedback to student	