. . . . . .

## 1. (30 Points)




Figure 1: Two relation schemas suffering from update anomalies

Give one insertion anomaly, one update anomaly, and one deletion anomaly that could happen in the  $EMP\_PROJ$  and  $EMP\_DEPT$  relations of Figure 1 and Figure 2.

					Redundancy		
EMP_DEPT				1			
Ename	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Dnumber	Dname	Dmgr_ssn	
Smith, John B.	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	5	Research	333445555	
Wong, Franklin T.	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	5	Research	333445555	
Zelaya, Alicia J.	999887777	1968-07-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	4	Administration	987654321	
Wallace, Jennifer S.	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	4	Administration	987654321	
Narayan, Ramesh K.	666884444	1962-09-15	975 FireOak, Humble, TX	5	Research	333445555	
English, Joyce A.	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	5	Research	333445555	
Jabbar, Ahmad V.	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	4	Administration	987654321	
Borg, James E.	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	1	Headquarters	888665555	

			Redundancy	Redunda	ancy
EMP_PROJ					
Ssn	Pnumber	Hours	Ename	Pname	Plocation
123456789	1	32.5	Smith, John B.	ProductX	Bellaire
123456789	2	7.5	Smith, John B.	ProductY	Sugarland
666884444	3	40.0	Narayan, Ramesh K.	ProductZ	Houston
453453453	1	20.0	English, Joyce A.	ProductX	Bellaire
453453453	2	20.0	English, Joyce A.	ProductY	Sugarland
333445555	2	10.0	Wong, Franklin T.	ProductY	Sugarland
333445555	3	10.0	Wong, Franklin T.	ProductZ	Houston
333445555	10	10.0	Wong, Franklin T.	Computerization	Stafford
333445555	20	10.0	Wong, Franklin T.	Reorganization	Houston
999887777	30	30.0	Zelaya, Alicia J.	Newbenefits	Stafford
999887777	10	10.0	Zelaya, Alicia J.	Computerization	Stafford
987987987	10	35.0	Jabbar, Ahmad V.	Computerization	Stafford
987987987	30	5.0	Jabbar, Ahmad V.	Newbenefits	Stafford
987654321	30	20.0	Wallace, Jennifer S.	Newbenefits	Stafford
987654321	20	15.0	Wallace, Jennifer S.	Reorganization	Houston
888665555	20	Null	Borg, James E.	Reorganization	Houston

Figure 2: Sample states for EMP\_DEPT and EMP\_PROJ

 (30 Points) Consider the following relation for art works displayed in an art gallery: ARTWORK(Title, ArtistName, Type, ListPrice, ArtistAffil, Dealer)

ArtistAffil refers to the affiliation of the artist. Suppose the following dependencies exist:

Title -> Dealer, Type
Type -> ListPrice
ArtistName -> ArtistAffil

- (a) What is a candidate key of this relation? Explain your answer.
- (b) Apply normalization until your relations are in 3NF. State the reasons behind each decomposition.
- 3. (20 Points) Consider the following relation:

R (Doctor#, Patient#, <u>Date</u>, Diagnosis, Treat\_code, Charge)

In this relation, a tuple describes a visit of a patient to a doctor along with a treatment code and daily charge. Assume that diagnosis is determined (uniquely) for each patient by a doctor on a specific date. Assume that each treatment code has a fixed charge (regardless of patient). Is this relation in 2NF? Justify your answer and decompose if necessary. Then argue whether further normalization to 3NF is necessary, and if so, perform it.