Lecture 10-11: Security in libc

Xin Liu

Florida State University xl24j@fsu.edu

CIS 5370 Computer Security https://xinliulab.github.io/cis5370.html

Outline

We have already learned that an "executable file" is a data structure that describes the initial state of a process. Through the Funny Little Executable, we explored the compilation, linking, and loading processes involved in generating an executable file.

Today's Key Question:

 As the software ecosystem evolved, the need for "decomposing" software and dynamic linking emerged!

Main Topics for Today:

- Dynamic Linking and Loading: Principles and Implementation
- Security in libc



"Disassembling" an Application

Software Ecosystem Requirements

"Disassembling" Application Requirements (1)

Achieving Separation of Runtime Libraries and Application Code

- Library Sharing Between Applications
 - Every program requires glibc.
 - But the system only needs a single copy.
 - Yes, we can check this with the 1dd command.
- Decomposing Large Projects
 - Modifying code does not require relinking massive 2GB files.
 - Example: libyjm.so, libart.so, etc.
 - NEMU: "Insert the CPU into the motherboard."

Executable Files and Buffer Overflow

C Standard Library (libc)

Program Dependencies

Why Do We Need libc?

- "Bare-metal" Programming: Works (and technically enough), but not user-friendly
- Essential definitions that all programs use:
 - stddef.h Provides types like size_t
 - stdint.h Defines standard integer types like int32_t, uint64_t

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <assert.h>

int main() {
    int a;

    printf("Size_of_int_=_%ld\n", sizeof(int));
    printf("Size_of_long_=_%ld\n", sizeof(long));

    assert(sizeof(a) == 4);
}
```

Can you guarantee that this code will pass on all machines?

- Preferred way: Use fixed-width types, e.g., int32_t a; for consistency across platforms.
- **Best practice**: Refer to the <u>official C++ reference</u> for detailed information on types and usage.

Why Do We Need libc?

- "Bare-metal" Programming: Works (and technically enough), but not user-friendly
- Essential definitions that all programs use:
 - stddef.h Provides types like size_t
 - stdint.h Defines standard integer types like int32_t, uint64_t
 - inttypes.h Defines formats for printing integer types

Why Do We Need libc?

- "Bare-metal" Programming: Works (and technically enough), but not user-friendly
- Essential definitions that all programs use:
 - stddef.h Provides types like size_t
 - stdint.h Defines standard integer types like int32_t, uint64_t
 - inttypes.h Defines formats for printing integer types
 - stdbool.h
 - float.h
 - limits.h
 - stdarg.h
 - Used for handling variable arguments (essential in syscall, though custom syscall0, syscall1, etc., can be more efficient)

Executable Files and Buffer Overflow

Making System Calls Easier to Use!

System Calls: The Minimal Interface of the OS

- System calls provide the OS's smallest, most compact interface.
- Not all system calls are as straightforward as fork; some require additional setup.

Low-Level API:

```
extern char **environ;
char *argv[] = { "echo", "hello", "world", NULL, };
if (execve(argv[0], argv, environ) < 0) {
   perror("exec");
}</pre>
```

High-Level API:

```
execlp("echo", "echo", "hello", "world", NULL);
system("echo_hello_world");
```

Comparison:

- Low-level APIs like execve offer more control but require more setup.
- High-level APIs like <code>execlp</code> and <code>system</code> simplify common tasks, making code easier to read and write.

Endless Abstractions: Layers of Encapsulation

- Encapsulation (1): Pure Computations
- Encapsulation (2): File Descriptors
- Encapsulation (3): More Process / OS Functions
- Encapsulation (4): Address Space

Address Space Management: malloc and free

Specification Overview (similar to Lab1):

• Manage a set of non-overlapping intervals $M = \{[\ell_0, r_0), [\ell_1, r_1), \dots, [\ell_n, r_n)\}$ within a large interval [L, R).

Operations

- malloc(s):
 - Returns a segment of memory of size *s*.
 - May request additional memory from the OS if needed (observe this with strace).
 - Can "deny" requests if memory is insufficient.
- free (ℓ, r) :
 - Given a starting address ℓ , removes the interval $[\ell, r) \in M$.

Considerations

- Inspired by concepts from *Introduction to Algorithms*.
- **Thread Safety**: Ensuring malloc and free work correctly in a multithreaded environment.
- **Scalability**: Handling multiple allocation and deallocation requests efficiently becomes a significant challenge.

Towards Efficient malloc/free

Premature optimization is the root of all evil.

- D. E. Knuth

Optimizing without workload analysis is risky

- Workload Analysis: Analyze common memory usage patterns to guide optimization.
- Key Principle: Allocating objects of size O(n) should typically involve at least $\Omega(n)$ read/write operations. Otherwise, it's a performance bug.

Further Reading for Workload Analysis:

• Mimalloc: free list sharding in action (APLAS'19)

Towards Efficient malloc/free

Types of Memory Allocations:

- Small Objects (high frequency):
 - Strings, temporary objects (tens to hundreds of bytes), with varying lifespans.
- Medium-Sized Objects (moderate frequency):
 - Arrays, complex objects with longer lifespans.
- Large Objects (low frequency):
 - Huge containers, allocators, with very long lifespans.

Key Challenges:

- Parallelism: Allocations occur across all processors; parallel strategies are essential.
- Data Structures: Using linked lists or interval trees (e.g., first fit) is not ideal for high concurrency.



malloc: Fast and Slow Paths

Designing Two Systems for Memory Allocation:

Fast Path

- Optimized for high performance and parallelism.
- Covers most allocation cases with minimal latency.
- Has a small probability of failure, in which case it falls back to the slow path.

Slow Path

- Not focused on speed, but handles complex cases reliably.
- Manages difficult allocations that cannot be handled by the fast path.

Common Pattern in Computer Systems:

- This fast-and-slow path design is common in systems, such as cache mechanisms or futex (as we discussed earlier).
- The fast path handles frequent, simple requests, while the slow path ensures robustness for exceptional cases.



malloc: Fast Path Design

Goal: Enable all CPUs to allocate memory in parallel

- Thread-Local Allocation Buffer (TLAB):
 - Each thread has its own "territory" or buffer for allocations.
 - By default, memory is allocated from its own buffer, minimizing contention.

Efficient Locking:

- Locks are rarely contested because threads typically allocate from their own buffer.
- Only in rare cases, such as when memory is freed by another CPU, might a lock be required.

Global Pool Backup:

- When a thread's buffer runs low, it borrows memory from a global pool.
- This approach allows for minor memory waste but improves allocation speed.

Alignment to 2^k Bytes:

• Aligning allocations to 2^k bytes helps maintain efficient memory access and reduces fragmentation.

Small Memory Allocation: Segregated List (Slab)

Allocation Strategy: Segregated List (Slab)

- Each **slab** contains objects of the same size.
- Each thread has its own slab for each object size.
- **Fast Path:** Allocation is completed immediately from the thread-local slab.
- **Slow Path:** Calls pgalloc () to allocate additional memory.

Two Implementation Approaches:

- Global List: A single global list of slabs.
- **List Sharding:** A small list per page, reducing contention.

Reclaiming Memory:

- Freed objects are returned directly to their respective slab.
- If the slab belongs to another thread, a per-slab lock is needed to prevent data races.



Endless Encapsulation

Moving Beyond C: Building on libc

- C++ Compiler: Expands on libc to support the C++ Standard Library.
- OpenJDK (HotSpot): Java runtime built on layers extending from C.
- V8 (JavaScript): A JavaScript engine that relies on foundational libraries for execution.
- CPython: The C-based Python interpreter extends further from libc.
- Go: Initially compiled with C, now capable of self-compilation. ("Goodbye, C!")

The Endless Cycle of Encapsulation:

- Each language and runtime builds upon lower-level abstractions, creating a layered stack.
- Over time, these layers form a "patched-up" world of interconnected technologies, making our computing environment both powerful and complex

16/31

"Decomposing Applications" Requirements (2)

Library Dependencies are Also a Code Weakness

- The shocking xz-utils (liblzma) backdoor incident
 - JiaT75 even bypassed oss-fuzz detection
 - <u>Linux incident</u>:
 Greg Kroah-Hartman reverted all commits from umn.edu;
 S&P'21 Statement

What if the Linux Application World was Statically Linked...

- libc releases an urgent security patch all applications need to be relinked
- Semantic Versioning
 - "Compatible" has a subtle definition
 - "Dependency hell"

Decomposing Applications

Approach 1: libc.o

- Relocation is completed during loading.
 - Loading method: static linking
 - Saves disk space but consumes more memory.
 - Key drawback: **Time** (Linking requires resolving many undefined symbols).

Approach 2: libc.so (Shared Object)

- Compiled as position-independent code.
 - Loading method: mmap
 - However, function calls require an extra lookup step.
- Advantage: Multiple processes share the same libc.so, requiring only a single copy in memory.

Verifying "Only One Copy"

How to Achieve This?

- Create a very large libbloat.so
 - Our example: 100M of nop (0x90)
- Launch 1,000 processes dynamically linked to libbloat.so
- Observe the system's memory usage:
 - 100MB or 100GB?
- If it's the latter, the system will immediately crash.
 - However, the out-of-memory killer will terminate the process with the highest oom_score.

Prototypes are easy. Production is hard. (Elon Musk)



Implementation_,

The Address Space Appears as "Thousands of Contiguous Memory Segments"

- Maintained via mmap, munmap, and mprotect
- In reality, it is a "mirage" maintained by the paging mechanism

Dynamic Loading

Let's create our own dynamic loading.

dlbox: Reimplementing binutils Once Again

Compilation and Linking

- Stealing the GNU toolchain works fine
 - ld = objcopy (stolen)
 - as = GNU as (also stolen)

Parsing and Loading

- The rest needs to be done manually
 - readelf (readelf)
 - objdump
 - Similarly, we can "borrow" addr2line, nm, objcopy, ...
- The loader is simply the "INTERP" field in ELF

What Have We Implemented?

We "Discovered" the GOT (Global Offset Table)!

- Each dynamically resolved symbol has an entry in the GOT.
- ELF: Relocation section .rela.dyn.

Offset	Info		Туре
000000000003fe0	00030006	R_X86_64_GLOB_DAT	printf@GI

Examining Offset 0x3fe0 in the GOT using objdump:

- printf("%p", printf); reveals that this is not the actual printf.
- *(void **)(base + 0x3fe0) gives the real address.
- We can set a "read watchpoint" to see who accesses it.

Main Functions of Dynamic Linking

Implementing Dynamic Linking and Loading of Code

- main (.o) calls printf (.so)
- main (.o) calls foo (.o)

Challenge: How to Decide Whether to Use a Lookup Table?

```
int printf(const char *, ...);
void foo();
```

- Should it be determined within the same binary (resolved at link time)?
- Or should it be handled within the library (loaded at runtime)?

A Historical Legacy Issue: Compile First, Link Later

Compiler Option 1: Fully Table-Based Indirect Jump

```
ff 25 00 00 00 00 call *FOO_OFFSET(%rip)
```

 Each call to foo requires an additional table lookup, leading to performance inefficiency

Compiler Option 2: Fully Direct Jump

```
e8 00 00 00 00 call <reloc>
```

- %rip: 0000555982b7000
- libc.so: 00007fdcfd800000
 - The difference is 2a8356549000
- A 4-byte immediate cannot store such a large offset, making the jump impossible

What Can We Do?

For Performance, "Fully Direct Jump" is the Only Choice

```
e8 00 00 00 00 call <reloc>
```

 If a symbol is resolved at link time (e.g., printf from dynamic loading), then a small piece of code is "synthesized" in a.out:

```
printf@plt:
jmp *PRINTF_OFFSET(%rip)
```

 This leads to the invention of the PLT (Procedure Linkage Table)!

DIY

Rethinking PLT

Do We Really Need the PLT?

 If compilation and linking were done together, we would already know the target of every call instruction.

```
puts@PLT:
  endbr64
  bnd jmpq *GOT[n] // *offset(%rip)
```

- Why does the PLT use endbr64 and bind jmpq for jump resolution?
- In reality, there are many "other" possible solutions.

ELF Dynamic Linking and Loading

Implementing the Dynamic Loader (2)

Dynamic Loading and Linking of Data

- main (.o) accesses stderr (libc.so)
- libjvm (.so) accesses stderr (libc.so)
- libjvm (.so) accesses heap (libjvm.so)
- Just like code, the compiler does not know where the data is located.

Same Challenge as Code: What Exactly is a Symbol?

```
extern int x;
```

 Is it in the same binary (resolved at link time)? Or is it in another library?

PLT: The Unresolved Data Access Issue

For Data, We Cannot Use "Indirect Jump"!

• x = 1, within the same .so (or executable)

```
mov $1, offset_of_x(%rip)
```

• x = 1, in a different .so

```
mov GOT[x], %rdi
mov $1, (%rdi)
```

An Inelegant Solution

 -fPIC by default adds an extra layer of indirection for all extern data accesses.

```
__attribute__((visibility("hidden")))
```

Understanding Executable Files and Buffer Overflow

- What is an Executable File?
 - An executable file is a data structure (a sequence of bytes) that describes the initial state of a state machine.
 - The loader transfers this "initial state" into the operating system.
 - It is difficult to read because it was never designed for human readability.
- It helps us understanding the buffer overflow:
 - Why can we use gdb to compute stack offsets that helps analyze function call stack structures?
 - Observing local variables, return addresses, and how an overflow can overwrite the return address.
 - Redirecting execution to malicious code (e.g., shellcode) reveals how control flow is hijacked.
 - This process provides insight into program execution, stack management, and security vulnerabilities.