

Apr 18

Barrier function

Let $(M, g(t)), t \in [0, T]$ with unif. bounded curvature

Lemma 7.44 (existence of barrier function)

barrier function

For $a > 0, A > 0$ Lemma 7.44 constructs a ϕ s.t.

①
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \phi \geq A \phi \text{ on } M \times [0, T]$$

and
$$e^{a \cdot d_{g(t)}(0, x)} \leq \phi(x) \leq e^{b \cdot (d_{g(t)}(0, x) + 1)}$$

for some $b > 0$

with control on the derivatives of $\log \phi$

$$|\nabla^{g(t)} \log \phi|_{g(t)} \leq C,$$

$$|\nabla^{g(t)} \nabla^{g(t)} \log \phi|_{g(t)} \leq C \quad \text{for some } C < \infty$$

Next: barrier function ϕ +

subsolution φ with at most exponential growth

→ max principle.

Apply the barrier function we have

Cor 7.45.

- $(M^n, g(t)) \quad t \in [0, T]$
 \nwarrow complete sol. of RF with
 bdd $R_m \quad |R_m(g(t))| < \infty$.
- φ smooth satisfies IC: $\varphi(x, 0) \leq 0$
- wherever $\varphi(x, t) > 0$, φ is a subsolution

②
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi \leq \Delta \varphi + C \varphi,$$

- growth control
 $\exists \varphi(x, t) \leq e^{A_1(d_{g(t)}(x, p) + 1)} \quad \forall (x, t)$ $p \in M$

$\triangleright \varphi(x, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in M, t \in [0, T].$

pf. This is a special case of Cor 7.42,

A generalization of Thm 7.39

Corollary 7.43. Let $(M^n, g(t)), t \in [0, T]$, be a complete solution of the Ricci flow with uniformly bounded curvature. If u is a weak subsolution of the heat equation on $M^n \times [0, T]$ with $u(\cdot, 0) \leq 0$ and if

$$\int_0^T \int_{M^n} \exp\left(-\alpha d_{g(0)}^2(x, O)\right) u_+^2(x, t) d\mu_{g(t)}(x) dt < \infty$$

for some $\alpha > 0$, then $u \leq 0$ on $M^n \times [0, T]$.

③

- Use Lemma 7.44 with $a > A$, $A > C$, $p = 0$ to construct ϕ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \phi \geq A\phi \\ e^{a \cdot d_{g(t)}(x, p)} \leq \phi(x, t) \leq e^{b \cdot (d_{g(t)}(x, p) + 1)}, \text{ for some } b > 0 \end{cases}$$

- if $\frac{\varphi}{\phi}(x, t) \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \left(\frac{\varphi}{\phi}\right) \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \varphi}{\phi} - \frac{\varphi}{\phi^2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \phi}_{\geq A\phi, A > 0 \text{ by ①}} + 2 \nabla \log \phi \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{\phi}\right) \\ &\leq \underbrace{\frac{C\varphi}{\phi} \text{ by ②}}_{\leq -\frac{\varphi}{\phi} \cdot A} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (C - A) \frac{\varphi}{\phi} + 2 \nabla \log \phi \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{\phi}\right)$$

$$(\star) \quad \stackrel{\text{by ③}}{\leq} 2 \nabla \log \phi \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{\phi}\right)$$

- Use the proof of maximum principle on closed M

$$u_\varepsilon := \frac{\varphi}{\phi} - \varepsilon(1+t) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

$$u_\varepsilon(x, 0) < 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varphi(x, 0) \leq 0$$

mimic the proof of Prop 2.9 on p.100.

Claim 1 : $u_\varepsilon(x, t) < 0$ for small t .

note that

$$\frac{\varphi}{\phi}(x, t) \leq \exp \{ A_1 + (A_1 - a) d_{g(t)}(x, p) \}.$$

Since $A_1 - a < 0$ and $d_{g(t)}(x, p) \geq c d_{g(0)}(x, p)$ for all $x \in M$ and $t \in [0, T]$ (since R_c is uniformly bounded), we have

$$\frac{\varphi}{\phi}(x, t) \leq e^{-A_1}$$

for all $x \in M$ with $d_{g(0)}(x, p) \geq \frac{2A_1}{c(a-A_1)}$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Thus

$$(7.35) \quad u_\varepsilon < 0 \text{ in } \left(M - B_{g(0)} \left(p, \frac{2A_1}{c(a-A_1)} \right) \right) \times [0, T].$$

On the other hand, since u_ε is continuous and $\overline{B_{g(0)} \left(p, \frac{2A_1}{c(a-A_1)} \right)}$ is compact, for $\tau > 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$(7.36) \quad u_\varepsilon < 0 \text{ in } \overline{B_{g(0)} \left(p, \frac{2A_1}{c(a-A_1)} \right)} \times [0, \tau].$$

This proves the claim.

$$(7.35) + (7.36)$$

$$\Rightarrow u_\varepsilon(x, t) < 0$$

$$\text{on } M \times [0, \tau]$$

Claim 2 : $u_\varepsilon(x, t) \leq 0$ for all $x \in M$, $t \in [0, T]$

pf by contradiction

$$\frac{\varphi}{\phi} > 0 \Rightarrow \exists \text{ smallest } t_1 \text{ and } x_1 \in M \text{ s.t. } u_\varepsilon = 0.$$

$$0 = \nabla u_\varepsilon(x_1, t_1) = \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{\phi} \right)(x_1, t_1),$$

$$0 \geq \Delta u_\varepsilon(x_1, t_1) = \Delta \left(\frac{\varphi}{\phi} \right)(x_1, t_1),$$

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x_1, t_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\varphi}{\phi} \right)(x_1, t_1) - \varepsilon.$$

substitute into (★) $\Rightarrow \varepsilon < 0$

Claim 1+2 \Rightarrow Cor 7.45.

$$\bullet \quad u_\varepsilon \leq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\varphi}{\phi} \leq 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

$$\bullet \quad \phi \text{ is the barrier function} \Rightarrow \phi > 0 \Rightarrow \varphi \leq 0$$

compact case

Exercise 2.15. Formulate the minimum principle for supersolutions of the equation

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \geq \Delta_{g(t)} u + \langle X(t), \nabla u \rangle + F(u).$$

Let $(M^n, g(t))$, $t \in [0, T]$, be a solution to the Ricci flow on a closed manifold (or any solution where we can apply the maximum principle to the evolution equation for the scalar curvature). Since $|\text{Rc}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} R^2$ (see Exercise 1.50), equation (2.2) implies

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R \geq \Delta R + \frac{2}{n} R^2. \quad \leftarrow \text{valid}$$

Since the solutions to the ODE $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{2}{n} \rho^2$ are $\rho(t) = \frac{n}{n\rho(0)^{-1} - 2t}$, by the maximum principle one has

$$(2.9) \quad R(x, t) \geq \frac{n}{n(\inf_{t=0} R)^{-1} - 2t}$$

for all $x \in M^n$ and $t \geq 0$. If $\rho(0) > 0$, then $\rho(t)$ tends to infinity in finite time. When M is closed, let $R_{\min}(0) \doteq \inf_{t=0} R$. Hence

Eqn (2.9) holds for noncompact manifolds.

Exercise 7.47. Show that if we have a complete solution to the Ricci flow with bounded curvature (on compact time intervals) on a noncompact manifold, then inequality (2.9), i.e.,

$$R(x, t) \geq \frac{n}{n(\inf_{t=0} R)^{-1} - 2t},$$

still holds.

uniform bound on curvature gives growth control

compute $\frac{d}{dt} \rho = \frac{2}{n} \rho^2 \Rightarrow \rho = \frac{n}{n\rho(0)^{-1} - 2t}$
and apply maximum principle to $R - \rho$.

In general, we have the result for a (p, q) -tensor

Lemma 7.48. Let $(M^n, g(t))$, $t \in [0, T)$, be a complete solution to the Ricci flow with bounded curvature and let α_0 be a (p, q) -tensor with

$$|\alpha_0(x)|_{g(0)} \leq e^{A(d(x,p)+1)}$$

for some $A < \infty$. Let $E^{p,q} \doteq (\otimes^p T^*M) \otimes (\otimes^q TM)$ and suppose that

$$F_t : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p,q}$$

is a fiberwise linear bundle map with

$$\|F_t\|_\infty \doteq \sup_{\substack{\beta(x) \in E^{p,q} \\ x \in M}} \frac{|F(\beta(x))|_{g(t)}}{|\beta(x)|_{g(t)}} < \infty.$$

Then there exists $B < \infty$ and a solution $\alpha(t)$, $t \in [0, T)$, of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \alpha = \Delta_{g(t)} \alpha + F_t(\alpha)$$

with $\alpha(0) = \alpha_0$ and $|\alpha|_{g(t)} \leq e^{B(d(x,p)+1)}$. This solution is unique among all solutions with $|\alpha|_{g(t)} \leq e^{C(d(x,p)+1)}$ for some $C < \infty$.

§ 7.5.

closed + Ricci-DeTurck flow

→ short time existence

noncompact + Ricci-DeTurck + Dirichlet BVP.

a family of diffeos (require some work)
pullback the solution of Ricci-DeTurck

Ricci-DeTurck flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2Ric_{ij} + \nabla_i W_j + \nabla_j W_i \\ g(0) = g_0 \end{cases}$$

where $W_j = g_{ik} g^{pq} (\Gamma_{pq}^k - \tilde{\Gamma}_{pq}^k)$ time depdt.

\tilde{g} auxiliary

Uniqueness Prop 7.51

If $|\tilde{R}_m| \leq A < \infty$, solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow is unique in the class of solution with

$$\frac{1}{A} \tilde{g} \leq g(t) \leq A \tilde{g}$$

$$|\tilde{\nabla} g(t)| + \sqrt{t} |\tilde{\nabla}^2 g(t)| \leq A$$

pf. write RDT in local coordinates

Suppose g, \tilde{g} are two solutions

Check $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - g^{j\ell} \tilde{\nabla}_j \tilde{\nabla}_\ell\right) |g - \tilde{g}|^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - g^{j\ell} \tilde{\nabla}_j \tilde{\nabla}_\ell\right) |g - \tilde{g}|^2 &\leq -2g^{pq} \tilde{g}^{ij} \tilde{g}^{j\ell} (\tilde{\nabla}_p g_{ij} - \tilde{\nabla}_p \tilde{g}_{ij})(\tilde{\nabla}_q g_{j\ell} - \tilde{\nabla}_q \tilde{g}_{j\ell}) \\ &\quad + \frac{C_1 A}{\sqrt{t}} |g - \tilde{g}|^2 + C_1 A |\tilde{\nabla}(g - \tilde{g})| |g - \tilde{g}| \\ &\leq -g^{pq} \tilde{g}^{ij} \tilde{g}^{j\ell} (\tilde{\nabla}_p g_{ij} - \tilde{\nabla}_p \tilde{g}_{ij})(\tilde{\nabla}_q g_{j\ell} - \tilde{\nabla}_q \tilde{g}_{j\ell}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{C_1 A}{\sqrt{t}} + \left(\frac{C_1 A}{2}\right)^2\right) |g - \tilde{g}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

+ maximum principle

Existence

Ω_k precompact exhaustion

$$(D_k) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2R_{ij} + \nabla_i W_j + \nabla_j W_i & \text{in } \Omega_k \times [0, T], \\ g(x, t) = g_0(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega_k \times [0, T], \\ g(x, 0) = g_0(x) & \text{on } \Omega_k \times \{0\}. \end{array}$$

$\exists!$ $g_k(t)$ sol. on $\Omega_k \times [0, \eta_k]$ (short time \exists)

We want to show $\eta_k \rightarrow \eta \neq 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Prop. 7.52. (C^0 -estimate)

- $g(t)$ solution to (D_k)

- $\tilde{R}_m(g_0)$ unif. bounded on M

$\triangleright \forall \delta > 0, \exists T = T(\delta, n, \sup_M |\tilde{R}_m|)$ const.
s.t. $\forall (x, t) \in \Omega_k \times [0, T]$.

$$(1-\delta)g_0(t) \leq g(x, t) \leq (1+\delta)g_0(t)$$

pf. Consider

$$\varphi(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-m}(x, t)$$

\uparrow value of g w.r.t. $\tilde{g} = g_0$

long computation gives

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - g^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_\beta \varphi \leq 2m\varphi \left(\sum_g \lambda_g^{-1} \right) \underbrace{A_0}_{= \sup_M |\tilde{R}_m|}$$

$$\leq 2mA_0 n^{-\frac{1}{m}} \varphi^{1+\frac{1}{m}}$$

maximum principle gives

$\forall \delta > 0, \exists T > 0$ s.t. $g(x, t) \geq (1-\delta)\tilde{g}(x)$ on $\Omega_k \times [0, T]$.

The other half uses $\psi = \lambda_i^m$.

Prop 7.53 (global estimate for $|\tilde{\nabla} g|$)

$(M, g(t)) \quad t \in [0, T)$

\uparrow sol of RDT. on $B_{\tilde{g}}(x_0, r+\delta) \times [0, T)$.

$\exists C = C(n, m, r, \delta, T, \tilde{g}) < \infty$ s.t.

if

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{256000n^{10}}\right) \tilde{g} \leq g(t) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{256000n^{10}}\right) \tilde{g},$$

then $|\tilde{\nabla}^m g|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$ on $B_{\tilde{g}}(x_0, r+\delta) \times [0, T)$.