

The Yamabe Problem

Xinran Yu

April 9th, 2021

Outline

1. The Yamabe Problem
2. Main Results
3. The model case: sphere
4. The subcritical solution
5. The test function estimate
6. Reference

Motivation

In 2D case

Uniformization Theorem

Every simply connected Riemann surface S is conformally equivalent to

- *the unit disk*
- *the complex plane*
- *or the Riemann sphere*

Motivation

In 2D case

Uniformization Theorem

Every simply connected Riemann surface S is conformally equivalent to

- *the unit disk*
- *the complex plane*
- *or the Riemann sphere*

The theorem is consequence of the fact that every Riemann surface has a conformal metric with constant Gaussian curvature.

Motivation

In 2D case

Uniformization Theorem

Every simply connected Riemann surface S is conformally equivalent to

- *the unit disk*
- *the complex plane*
- *or the Riemann sphere*

The theorem is consequence of the fact that every Riemann surface has a conformal metric with constant Gaussian curvature.

Definition

Two Riemannian metrics g and h are **conformal** if there exists positive function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $h = e^{2f}g$.

Question: Does this holds for higher dimension?

Question: Does this holds for higher dimension?

For a general Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\dim M \geq 3$, there are several choices of curvatures:

- Riemannian curvature tensor
- Ricci curvature
- scalar curvature

Question: Which curvature to choose?

Question: Does this holds for higher dimension?

For a general Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\dim M \geq 3$, there are several choices of curvatures:

- Riemannian curvature tensor, n^4 components
- Ricci curvature, n^2 components
- scalar curvature, 1 component

Question: Which curvature to choose?

Question: Does this holds for higher dimension?

For a general Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\dim M \geq 3$, there are several choices of curvatures:

- Riemannian curvature tensor, n^4 components
- Ricci curvature, n^2 components
- scalar curvature, 1 component

Question: Which curvature to choose?

The Yamabe Problem

Given a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $n = \dim M \geq 3$, find a metric conformal to g with constant scalar curvature.

Yamabe's Approach to the Problem

Yamabe's Approach to the Problem

Given two metrics g and \tilde{g} , the transformation law between the scalar curvatures S and \tilde{S} ,

$$\tilde{S} = \varphi^{1-p}(a\Delta\varphi + S\varphi).$$

Here φ satisfies $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{p-2}g$ and $a = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}$, $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ are constants.

Yamabe's Approach to the Problem

Given two metrics g and \tilde{g} , the transformation law between the scalar curvatures S and \tilde{S} ,

$$\tilde{S} = \varphi^{1-p}(a\Delta\varphi + S\varphi).$$

Here φ satisfies $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{p-2}g$ and $a = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}$, $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ are constants.

Define $\square = a\Delta + S$ and call it the **conformal Laplacian**. Let $\tilde{S} = \lambda = \text{const}$. Then

$$\square\varphi = \lambda\varphi^{p-1}. \quad (\star)$$

Equation (★) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the **Yamabe functional**

$$Q_g(\varphi) = \frac{\int_M a|\nabla\varphi|^2 + S\varphi^2 \, dV_g}{\left(\int_M |\varphi|^p \, dV_g\right)^{2/p}} = \frac{E(\varphi)}{\|\varphi\|_p^2}.$$

Equation (★) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the **Yamabe functional**

$$Q_g(\varphi) = \frac{\int_M a|\nabla\varphi|^2 + S\varphi^2 dV_g}{\left(\int_M |\varphi|^p dV_g\right)^{2/p}} = \frac{E(\varphi)}{\|\varphi\|_p^2}.$$

By Hölder's inequality $Q_g(\varphi)$ is bounded below so we can take the infimum

Definition

The **Yamabe invariant** is the constant

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(M) &= \inf\{Q_g(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in C^\infty(M) \text{ and positive}\} \\ &= \inf\{Q_g(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in L_1^2(M)\}.\end{aligned}$$

$\lambda(M)$ is an invariant of the conformal class of (M, g) .

Main Results

Theorem A (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin)

For any compact manifold M with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$, the Yamabe problem is solvable.

Main Results

Theorem A (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin)

For any compact manifold M with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$, the Yamabe problem is solvable.

Theorem B (Aubin)

If M has dimension $n \geq 6$ and M is not locally conformally flat, then $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$.

Theorem C (Schoen)

If M has dimension $n = 3, 4, 5$ or M is locally conformally flat, then either $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$ or M is conformal to the n -sphere.

Definition

A map $F : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ is **conformal** if the induced metric F^*h is conformal to the original metric g on M . If F is a diffeomorphism, then we call F a **conformal diffeomorphism**.

Definition

A map $F : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ is **conformal** if the induced metric F^*h is conformal to the original metric g on M . If F is a diffeomorphism, then we call F a **conformal diffeomorphism**.

Example

The stereographic map $\sigma : S^n - \{N\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a conformal diffeomorphism.

We can use this to construct the group of conformal diffeomorphisms of S^n . It is the group generated by rotations, $\sigma^{-1}\tau_v\sigma$ and $\sigma^{-1}\delta_\alpha\sigma$.

The Yamabe Problem on the Sphere

Let (S^n, \bar{g}) be the n -sphere with standard metric. The scalar curvature of \bar{g} is constant.

The Yamabe Problem on the Sphere

Let (S^n, \bar{g}) be the n -sphere with standard metric. The scalar curvature of \bar{g} is constant. So the Yamabe problem is solvable on the sphere. Moreover, one can prove the following.

Theorem

The Yamabe functional $Q_g(\varphi)$ on (S^n, \bar{g}) is minimized by constant multiples of \bar{g} and its images under conformal diffeomorphisms. These are the only metrics conformal to \bar{g} with constant scalar curvature.

An Upper Bound for $\lambda(M)$

Lemma (Aubin)

For any compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, $\lambda(M) \leq \lambda(S^n) = \Lambda$.

An Upper Bound for $\lambda(M)$

Lemma (Aubin)

For any compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, $\lambda(M) \leq \lambda(S^n) = \Lambda$.

Proof.

Step 1. Consider the function $\varphi = \eta \cdot u_\alpha(x)$ where

- η is a radical cut off function, such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, and $\text{supp } \eta = B_{2\epsilon}$;
- $u_\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{|x|^2 + \alpha^2}{\alpha} \right)^{(n-2)/2}$, u_α satisfies $a \|\nabla u_\alpha\|_2^2 = \Lambda \|u_\alpha\|_p^2$.

We can find an upper bound for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx$. Note that φ and η are radial, $\nabla = \partial_r$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx &= \int_{B_{2\epsilon}} (a \eta^2 |\nabla u_\alpha| + 2\alpha \eta u_\alpha \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla u_\alpha \rangle + a u_\alpha^2 |\nabla \eta|^2) dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a |\partial_r u_\alpha|^2 dx + C \int_{B_{2\epsilon} - B_\epsilon} (u_\alpha |\partial_r u_\alpha| + u_\alpha^2) dx \\
 &\leq \Lambda \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}} \varphi^p dx \right)^{2/p} + O(\alpha^{n-2})
 \end{aligned}$$

The last step is because $u_\alpha \leq \alpha^{(n-2)/2} \cdot r^{2-n}$ and $|\partial_r u_\alpha| \leq (n-2) \alpha^{(n-2)/2} \cdot r^{1-n}$.

Step 2. On a compact manifold M , choose normal coordinates $\{x^i\}$ in a neighbourhood of $P \in M$. Let $\varphi = \eta \cdot u_\alpha$ as before. For normal coordinates on M , $dV_g = (1 + O(r)) dx$. So

$$\int_{B_{2\epsilon}} a |\nabla \varphi|^2 dV_g \leq (1 + C\epsilon)(\Lambda \|\varphi\|_p^2 + C\alpha^{n-2}),$$

and

$$Q_g(\varphi) = \frac{\int_M a |\nabla \varphi|^2 + S\varphi^2 dV_g}{\|\varphi\|_p^2} \leq (1 + C\epsilon)(\Lambda + C\alpha).$$

Choosing ϵ and α small, then $\lambda(M) \leq \Lambda$.

Proof of Theorem A

Theorem A (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin)

For any compact manifold M with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$, the Yamabe problem is solvable.

Proof of Theorem A

Theorem A (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin)

For any compact manifold M with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$, the Yamabe problem is solvable.

- Direct approach: construct a minimizing sequence u_i , with $\|u_i\|_p = 1$ such that $Q_g(u_i) \rightarrow \lambda(M)$.

Proof of Theorem A

Theorem A (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin)

For any compact manifold M with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$, the Yamabe problem is solvable.

- Direct approach: construct a minimizing sequence u_i , with $\|u_i\|_p = 1$ such that $Q_g(u_i) \rightarrow \lambda(M)$. This does not work: Although $\varphi = \lim u_i \in L_1^2(M)$, there is no guarantee for $\|\varphi\|_p \neq 0$, because the inclusion $L_1^2 \subset L^p$ is not compact.

Proof of Theorem A

Theorem A (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin)

For any compact manifold M with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$, the Yamabe problem is solvable.

- Direct approach: construct a minimizing sequence u_i , with $\|u_i\|_p = 1$ such that $Q_g(u_i) \rightarrow \lambda(M)$. This does not work: Although $\varphi = \lim u_i \in L_1^2(M)$, there is no guarantee for $\|\varphi\|_p \neq 0$, because the inclusion $L_1^2 \subset L^p$ is not compact.
- Instead we seek for a subcritical solution.

Proof of Theorem A

Theorem A (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin)

For any compact manifold M with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$, the Yamabe problem is solvable.

- Direct approach: construct a minimizing sequence u_i , with $\|u_i\|_p = 1$ such that $Q_g(u_i) \rightarrow \lambda(M)$. This does not work: Although $\varphi = \lim u_i \in L^2_1(M)$, there is no guarantee for $\|\varphi\|_p \neq 0$, because the inclusion $L^2_1 \subset L^p$ is not compact.
- Instead we seek for a subcritical solution. The following equation is call **subcritical equation**

$$\square \varphi = \lambda_s \varphi^{s-1}. \quad (\star')$$



$$Q^s(\varphi) = \frac{E(\varphi)}{\|\varphi\|_s^2}, \quad \lambda_s = \inf \{Q^s(\varphi) : \varphi \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

Proof of Thm A.

Step 1. For $2 \leq s < p$, there exists a smooth positive solution φ_s to the subcritical equation, with $Q^s(\varphi_s) = \lambda_s$ and $\|\varphi_s\|_s = 1$.

Proof of Thm A.

Step 1. For $2 \leq s < p$, there exists a smooth positive solution φ_s to the subcritical equation, with $Q^s(\varphi_s) = \lambda_s$ and $\|\varphi_s\|_s = 1$.

Similar as the direct approach before, we pick a minimizing sequence. This time the inclusion $L_1^2 \subset L^s$ is compact.

Proof of Thm A.

Step 1. For $2 \leq s < p$, there exists a smooth positive solution φ_s to the subcritical equation, with $Q^s(\varphi_s) = \lambda_s$ and $\|\varphi_s\|_s = 1$.

Similar as the direct approach before, we pick a minimizing sequence. This time the inclusion $L_1^2 \subset L^s$ is compact.

Step 2. If $\int_M dV_g = 1$, then for $2 \leq s \leq p$, $|\lambda_s|$ is non-increasing and if $\lambda(M) \geq 0$, λ_s is continuous from the left.

Proof of Thm A.

Step 1. For $2 \leq s < p$, there exists a smooth positive solution φ_s to the subcritical equation, with $Q^s(\varphi_s) = \lambda_s$ and $\|\varphi_s\|_s = 1$.

Similar as the direct approach before, we pick a minimizing sequence. This time the inclusion $L_1^2 \subset L^s$ is compact.

Step 2. If $\int_M dV_g = 1$, then for $2 \leq s \leq p$, $|\lambda_s|$ is non-increasing and if $\lambda(M) \geq 0$, λ_s is continuous from the left.

We can multiply the metric g by a constant, so that the volume is always 1. Since $E(u) = \int_M a|\nabla u|^2 + Su^2 dV_g$ does not depend on s ,

$$Q^s(u)\|u\|_s^2 = Q^{s'}(u)\|u\|_{s'}^2.$$

If $s \leq s'$, then $\|u\|_s \leq \|u\|_{s'} \implies Q^{s'}(u) \leq Q^s(u)$ and thus $|\lambda_s|$ is non-increasing.

If $\lambda_s < 0$ for some s then we can choose a smooth function u , such that $Q^s(u) < 0$, then $Q^{s'}(u) < 0$ for all s' , and thus $\lambda_{s'} < 0$.

If $\lambda_s < 0$ for some s then we can choose a smooth function u , such that $Q^s(u) < 0$, then $Q^{s'}(u) < 0$ for all s' , and thus $\lambda_{s'} < 0$.

If we assume $\lambda(M) \geq 0$, then $\lambda_s \geq 0$ for $2 \leq s \leq p$.

The definition of λ_s gives, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $Q^s(u) < \lambda_s + \epsilon$. Since $\|u\|_s$ is continuous as a function of s , when $s' \leq s$ and s' close to s ,

$$\lambda_{s'} \leq Q^{s'}(u) < \lambda_s + 2\epsilon.$$

So λ_s is continuous from the left.

Step 3. Suppose $\lambda(M) < \Lambda$, and let φ_s be the subcritical solution. Then there exists $C > 0$, s_0 and r with $s_0 < p < r$ such that $\|\varphi_s\|_r \leq C$ for all $s \geq s_0$.

Step 3. Suppose $\lambda(M) < \Lambda$, and let φ_s be the subcritical solution. Then there exists $C > 0$, s_0 and r with $s_0 < p < r$ such that $\|\varphi_s\|_r \leq C$ for all $s \geq s_0$.

For $\delta > 0$ and $w = \varphi_s^{1+\delta}$, the sharp Sobolev inequality and Hölder's inequality imply

$$\|w\|_p^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{(1 + \delta)^2}{1 + 2\delta} \cdot \frac{\lambda_s}{\Lambda} \cdot \|\varphi_s\|_{(s-2)n/2}^{s-2} \cdot \|w\|_p^2 + C'_\epsilon \cdot \|w\|_2^2.$$

We need $\lambda(M) < \Lambda$ to choose δ and ϵ , so that $\|w\|_p^2 \leq C\|w\|_2^2$.
Then

$$\|w\|_2 = 1 \implies \|w\|_p = \|\varphi_s^{1+\delta}\|_{p(1+\delta)}^{1+\delta} \leq \tilde{C}.$$

Step 4. As $s \rightarrow p$, there is a subsequence of subcritical solutions that converges uniformly. So the limiting function φ is the solution of $\square\varphi = \lambda(M)\varphi^{p-1}$.

Step 4. As $s \rightarrow p$, there is a subsequence of subcritical solutions that converges uniformly. So the limiting function φ is the solution of $\square\varphi = \lambda(M)\varphi^{p-1}$.

The functions $\{\varphi_s\}$ are uniformly bounded in $L^r(M)$, and thus in $C^{2,\alpha}(M)$. Apply Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, to obtain a subsequence in C^2 that converges to $\varphi \in C^2(M)$.

One can check that φ solves the equation above (needs Step 2), and $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ (elliptic regularity).

In Step 3, we assumed $\lambda \geq 0$. The fact that $\Lambda = \lambda(S^n) > 0$ completes the proof.

Remarks on Theorem B and C

Theorem B (Aubin)

If M has dimension $n \geq 6$ and M is not locally conformally flat, then $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$.

Theorem C (Schoen)

If M has dimension $n = 3, 4, 5$ or M is locally conformally flat, then either $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$ or M is conformal to the n -sphere.

Theorem B (Aubin)

If M has dimension $n \geq 6$ and M is not locally conformally flat, then $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$.

In this case the estimation of $E(\varphi)$ gives:

$$E(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \Lambda \|\varphi\|_p^2 - C|W(P)|^2 \alpha^4 + o(\alpha^{-k-1}) & n > 6 \\ \Lambda \|\varphi\|_p^2 - C|W(P)|^2 \alpha^4 \ln(1/\alpha) + O(\alpha^{-4}) & n = 6 \end{cases}$$

If M is not locally conformally flat, then there exists $P \in M$ with $|W(P)|^2 > 0$, so $\lambda(M) < \Lambda$.

Theorem C (Schoen)

If M has dimension $n = 3, 4, 5$ or M is locally conformally flat, then either $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$ or M is conformal to the n -sphere.

In this case the estimation of $E(\varphi)$ gives:

$$E(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \Lambda \|\varphi\|_p^2 - C\mu\alpha^{-k} + O(\alpha^{-k-1}) & n \neq 6 \text{ or } M \text{ conformally flat} \\ \Lambda \|\varphi\|_p^2 - C\mu\alpha^{-4} \ln \alpha + O(\alpha^{-4}) & n = 6 \end{cases}$$

If the distortion $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda(M) > 0$, then $\lambda(M) < \Lambda$.

Reference

Lee, J. M. and Parker, T. H. (1987) 'The Yamabe Problem',
Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 17(1), pp.
37–91. doi: 10.1090/S0273-0979-1987-15514-5.