

Exploring How Gender and Enjoyment Impact Learning in a Digital Learning Game

Xinying Hou, Huy A. Nguyen, J. Elizabeth Richey, and Bruce M. McLaren

Presented by:
Xinying Hou
Huy A. Nguyen

Digital learning games are...

Instructional tools that can both engage students and promote learning through learning activities embedded in game environments

(Gee, 2003; Harp et al, 1998)



<https://apps.apple.com/us/app/math-vs-zombies-educational/id687283022>



<https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.rvappstudios.abc.spelling.toddler.spell.phonics>

However, students may be distracted from learning by the engaging game features.

■ To help students stay on track ...

However, students may be distracted from learning by the engaging game features.

To help students stay on track...

Learning-oriented mechanics:

collaborative problem-solving, instructional feedback and open learner models.

(Chen et al., 2007; Moreno & Mayer, 2004; Sung & Hwang, 2013)

However, students may be distracted from learning by the engaging game features.

To help students stay on track...

Learning-oriented mechanics

collaborative problem-solving, instructional feedback and open learner models.

(Chen et al, 2007; Moreno & Mayer, 2004; Sung & Hwang, 2013)

And more **general frameworks** about **game feature design** that **optimize learning**

(e.g., Kiili et al., 2013; 2014; Chen et al., 2014)

On the other hand, students' enjoyment in the game is also an important factor

Students' enjoyment can serve as a catalyst for their **learning motivation** and is **positively correlated with learning outcomes**.

(Anderman & Dawson, 2011; Fu et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011)

Comparing the effects of **enjoyment-focused** and **learning-focused** game environments

Some prior studies have explicitly compared the **learning and enjoyment constructs** in the same game context.

(Erhel & Jamet, 2013; Wechselberger, 2013)

Comparing the effects of **enjoyment-focused** and **learning-focused** game environments

Some prior studies have explicitly compared the **learning and enjoyment constructs** in the same game context.
(Erhel & Jamet, 2013; Wechselberger, 2013)

Consigne 1 : consigne module

Bienvenue dans ASTRA, le module d'apprentissage qui vous aide à appréhender par la simulation les troubles des personnes âgées.

Au cours de ce module, vous serez amené à répondre à des quiz. Pour chacun de ces quiz, vous pourrez cumuler des points.

Pour commencer le module, vous allez devoir cliquer sur le bouton « commencez » ci dessus.



Consigne 2 : consigne jeu

Bienvenue dans ASTRA, le jeu qui vous aide à appréhender par la simulation les troubles des personnes âgées.

Au cours de ce jeu, vous serez amené à répondre à des quiz. Pour chacun de ces quiz, vous pourrez cumuler des points.

Pour commencer le jeu, vous allez devoir cliquer sur le bouton « commencez » ci dessus.



Figure 2: Screenshots of both websites (serious condition left, playful condition right) leading to one and the same serious game.

Therefore, we focus on the double-topic in digital learning games: **Learning-Enjoyment Balance**

Some prior studies have explicitly compared the **learning and enjoyment constructs** in the same game context.

(Erhel & Jamet, 2013; Wechselberger, 2013)

We believe a more authentic comparison should

Therefore, we focus on the double-topic in digital learning games: **Learning-Enjoyment Balance**

Some prior studies have explicitly compared the **learning and enjoyment constructs** in the same game context.
(Erhel & Jamet, 2013; Wechselberger, 2013)

We believe a more authentic comparison should

→ take place **during students' actual gameplay**

Therefore, we focus on the double-topic in digital learning games: **Learning-Enjoyment Balance**

Some prior studies have explicitly compared the **learning and enjoyment constructs** in the same game context.

(Erhel & Jamet, 2013; Wechselberger, 2013)

We believe a more authentic comparison should


- take place **during students' actual gameplay**
- with **different game mechanics** designed to **emphasize either the learning or enjoyment aspect** of the game.

Decimal Point: A math digital learning game for middle-school students

Decimal numbers
and operations

Amusement park
metaphor

8 theme areas
24 mini-games



An example mini-game: **Whac-A-Gopher**



Round 1:

0, 0.7, 1.5, 1.3

Round 2:

0.6, 0, -0.5, -0.9

Round 3:

1.2, 2.11, 1.1211, 1.221

Conditions

Learning-focused

Enter If You Dare

Help the ghost into the haunted house.
Correctly place a decimal number on a number line.

Number Line

How well you have mastered each skill

Number Line

[Enter If You Dare](#)

[Night Of The Zombies](#)

[Photo Safari](#)

[Joust](#)

[Goal](#)

[Lasso Bronco](#)

Sequence

Bucket

Sorting

Addition

Recommended mini-games

Night of the
Zombies

Enter If You
Dare

Ancient
Temple

Enjoyment-focused

Control

Conditions

Learning-focused

Enter If You Dare

Help the ghost into the haunted house.
Correctly place a decimal number on a number line.

Number Line

How well you have mastered each skill

Number Line

Sequence

Bucket

Sorting

Addition

Recommended mini-games

Night of the Zombies

Enter If You Dare

Ancient Temple

Enjoyment-focused

Control

Enter If You Dare

Help the ghost into the haunted house.
Correctly place a decimal number on a number line.

Line Time

How well you have enjoyed each game type

Line Time

Enter If You Dare
Photo Safari
Goal

Pattern Perfect

Less or More

Arrange and Exchange

Mad Adder

Recommended mini-games

Night of the Zombies

Goal

Ancient Temple

Conditions

Learning-focused

Enter If You Dare

Help the ghost into the haunted house.
Correctly place a decimal number on a number line.

Number Line

How well you have mastered each skill

Number Line

Sequence

Bucket

Sorting

Addition

Recommended mini-games

Night of the Zombies

Enter If You Dare

Ancient Temple

Enjoyment-focused

Enter If You Dare

Help the ghost into the haunted house.
Correctly place a decimal number on a number line.

Line Time

How well you have enjoyed each game type

Line Time

Pattern Perfect

Less or More

Arrange and Exchange

Mad Adder

Recommended mini-games

Night of the Zombies

Goal

Ancient Temple

Control

Enter If You Dare

Help the ghost into the haunted house.
Correctly place a decimal number on a number line.

Number Line

Below are all of the mini-games in Decimal Point, organized by game type. Games you have already played are in **red font**.

Addition

☺ Add decimals

Thirsty Vampire

Peg Leg Shop

Number Line

☞ Place point on numberline

Enter If You Dare

Night Of The Zombies

Lasso Bronco

Photo Safari

Joust

Goal

Bucket

■ Compare decimals

Catch The Ghost

OK Corral

Walk The Plank

Fire The Cannon

Sorting

↑ Order decimals

Western Shooter

Rocket Science

Space Raider

Jungle Zipline

Castle Attack

Football

Balloon Pop

Whac A Gopher

Sequence

▶▶ Complete a decimal sequence

Alien Escape

Ancient Temple

Knights Oath

Ferris Wheel

Procedure: Classroom Experiment

159 fifth and sixth grade students from **3** middle schools

Before
game play

Pretest, Demographic, Game survey

Procedure: Classroom Experiment

159 fifth and sixth grade students from **3** middle schools

Before
game play

Pretest, Demographic, Game survey



Game play

Game play

Procedure: Classroom Experiment

159 fifth and sixth grade students from **3** middle schools

Before
game play

Pretest, Demographic, Game survey



Game play

Game play



Immediately after
game play

Evaluation survey, Posttest

Procedure: Classroom Experiment

159 fifth and sixth grade students from **3** middle schools

Before
game play

Pretest, Demographic, Game survey



Game play

Game play



Immediately after
game play

Evaluation survey, Posttest



One week after
game play

Delayed posttest

Key Measures

Learning:

Learning outcome: Test performance

Posttest and Delayed posttest scores

- Each test consisted of 43 items, for a total of 52 points.
- e.g., “is a longer decimal larger than a shorter decimal?”

Key Measures

Learning:

Learning outcome: Test performance

Posttest and Delayed posttest scores

- Each test consisted of 43 items, for a total of 52 points.
- e.g., “is a longer decimal larger than a shorter decimal?”

Enjoyment:

Self-reported Enjoyment in evaluation survey (1-5)

- Achievement emotion
- Game engagement
- Affective engagement
- Per-student **average Likert scores**

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?


RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

Hypothesis: Learning Condition students would achieve the highest learning outcome.
(Bodily et al., 2018; Bull & Nghiem, 2002)

RQ1 - Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?



No significant differences across conditions in pretest scores.

No significant differences across conditions in

- Posttest scores
- Delayed posttest scores

No condition effect on learning outcomes

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?


RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

Hypothesis: Enjoyment Condition students would report the highest enjoyment.


RQ2 - Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?



No significant differences
across conditions in

- Achievement emotion
- Game engagement
- Affective engagement

RQ2 - Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?



No significant differences
across conditions in

- Achievement emotion
- Game engagement
- Affective engagement

**No condition effect on
self-reported enjoyment**

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Number of mini-game rounds played

Replay rate

Mini-game rounds comparison by condition

Kruskal-Wallis test:

Significant differences across conditions ($H = 38.08$, $p < .001$)

Dunn's post hoc:

- **Control Condition > Learning Condition** ($p < .001$, $d = 0.44$)
- **Learning Condition > Enjoyment Condition** ($p = .007$, $d = 0.33$)

Control Condition > Learning Condition > Enjoyment Condition in number of mini-game rounds

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Number of mini-game rounds played

Replay rate

Replay Rate Comparison by Condition

$$\text{Replay Rate} = \frac{\# \text{ of } \textbf{reselected} \text{ a mini-game beyond the first try}}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of mini-game selections}}$$



High: play more rounds of certain mini-games

Low: play a wider variety of mini-games

Replay Rate Comparison by Condition

$$\text{Replay Rate} = \frac{\# \text{ of } \textbf{reselected} \text{ a mini-game beyond the first try}}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of mini-game selections}}$$



High: play more rounds of certain mini-games

Low: play a wider variety of mini-games

Western Shooter -> **Rocket Science**
-> **Western Shooter**

$$\text{Replay Rate (current)} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Focused comparison on the **Learning Condition** and **Enjoyment Condition**

Replay Rate Comparison by Condition

Kruskal-Wallis test:

Significant difference in replay rates between the students in Learning Condition and Enjoyment Condition ($H = 42.41, p < .001$)

Replay Rate Comparison by Condition

Kruskal-Wallis test:

Significant difference in replay rates between the students in Learning Condition and Enjoyment Condition ($H = 42.41, p < .001$)

- Students in Enjoyment Condition (25/54) and Control Condition (20/50) mentioned more about **trying out every available mini-game → interleaved practice**
e.g., “I really wanted to finish the whole map and see all the things filled in with color.”
- Students in Learning Condition (17/55) mentioned more about **re-practicing until mastery → blocked practice**
e.g., “I was trying to get all the decimal category skill bars full.”

Replay Rate Comparison by Condition

Kruskal-Wallis test:

Significant difference in replay rates between the students in Learning Condition and Enjoyment Condition ($H = 42.41, p < .001$)

Students in **Learning Condition** tended to **replay** more rounds of the mini-games they had already played than those in **Enjoyment Condition**.

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?


No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Number of mini-game rounds played

Replay rate


Hypothesis: Female students would learn more from the game across all three conditions. (Klisch et al., 2012; McLaren et al., 2017)

RQ3 - Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?



	Learning Gain (Two-way ANOVA)
Gender effect	Significant main effect of gender: Female > Male <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Learning gains• Delayed learning gains
Gender x Condition interaction effect	No significant gender x condition interaction effect <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Learning gains• Delayed learning gains

RQ3 - Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?



**Gender effect on learning gain:
Females learned more than males
across all conditions was confirmed.**

	Learning Gain (Two-way ANOVA)
Gender effect	Significant main effect of gender: Female > Male <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Learning gains• Delayed learning gains
Gender x Condition interaction effect	No significant gender x condition interaction effect <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Learning gains• Delayed learning gains

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?


No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Number of mini-game rounds played

Replay rate

Hypothesis: Females would report higher enjoyment than males across all three conditions.
(Bammel & Burrus-Bammel, 1982; Paraskeva et al, 2010; Griffiths & Hunt, 1995; Subrahmanyam & Greenfield, 1998)

RQ4 - Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?




No significant main gender effect

No significant gender x condition interaction effects

- achievement emotions
- game engagement
- affective engagement

RQ4 - Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?




No significant main gender effect

No significant gender x condition interaction effects

- achievement emotions
- game engagement
- affective engagement

Our hypothesis that females would enjoy the game more than males was not confirmed.

Results



Transfer Level Difference

We assigned a level of learning transfer to each of the 43 test items:

20 near, **8** middle, **15** far



Near transfer questions


Use **identical procedures** to those practiced in the game to complete (Novick, 1990; Barnett & Ceci, 2002)

- E.g., "Place the following list of decimals in order, smallest to largest: 0.7, 0, 1.0, 0.35"

Transfer Level Difference

We assigned a level of learning transfer to each of the 43 test items:

20 near, **8** middle, **15** far



Middle transfer questions

Rely on **practiced representations** but required **modification of**

procedures (Novick, 1990; Barnett & Ceci, 2002)

- E.g., "Which number is closest to 2.8? 2.88888, 2.91, 2.6, or 2.78"

Transfer Level Difference

We assigned a level of learning transfer to each of the 43 test items:

20 near, **8** middle, **15** far



Far transfer questions

Understand **underlying principles** of practiced problems (Novick, 1990; Barnett & Ceci, 2002)

- E.g., "Is a longer decimal number larger than a shorter decimal number?"

Transfer Level Difference

Near and Middle transfer items

Pretest: Female < Male

Learning Gain: Female > Male

Transfer Level Difference

Near and Middle transfer items

Pretest: Female < Male

Learning Gain: Female > Male

Far transfer items

No significant gender differences

Discussion 1: No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Possible Reasons:

- Students still spend **most of the game time** in the actual mini-games, which are **identical across conditions**

Discussion 1: No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Possible Reasons:

- Students still spend **most of the game time** in the actual mini-games, which are **identical across conditions**
- Students were likely **not exposed to** this “**open enjoyment model**” before and did not use it effectively.

Discussion 1: No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Possible Reasons:

- Students still spend **most of the game time** in the actual mini-games, which are **identical across conditions**
- Students were likely **not exposed to** this “**open enjoyment model**” before and did not use it effectively.
- **Real classroom environment** may have negated the playful atmosphere that the Enjoyment condition focused (**Osman & Baker, 2012; Rice, 2007**)

Discussion 2: Gender effect in learning gains but not in enjoyment

Females **outperformed males** in learning gains at the **near and middle** transfer level but not on far transfer level problems.

Possible Reason:

- Improving procedural knowledge but not necessarily for abstract knowledge or far transfer
(Richey & Nokes-Malach, 2015; Singley & Anderson, 1989)

Discussion 2: Gender effect in learning gains but not in enjoyment

Females **outperformed males** in learning gains at the **near and middle** transfer level but not on far transfer level problems.

Possible Reason:

- Improving procedural knowledge but not necessarily for abstract knowledge or far transfer
(Richey & Nokes-Malach, 2015; Singley & Anderson, 1989)

No gender differences in self-reported enjoyment

Possible Reason:

- The variety of mini-game themes and activities appeal to both genders

Future Work

Learning perspective:

Experiment with different skill mappings or model representation
(Bodily et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2019)

Enjoyment perspective:

- More in-game measures and survey questions to understand students' perception of game play in the classroom
- Optimize enjoyment in this game

General Future Direction:

- Which game features are conducive to the observed gender effects
- How to extend the game's knowledge content to better support far transfer learning

Conclusion

Two distinct gameplay patterns:

- Learning Condition: Repeated practice
- Enjoyment Condition: Exploration

Females > Males in learning from the game



- Explore the effect of emphasizing **game-based learning or enjoyment** in **a classroom environment**
- The game's potential in **bridging the gender gap** in math education

Thank you!

Carnegie
Mellon
University



Human-
Computer
Interaction
Institute



Xinying Hou



Huy Nguyen



J. Elizabeth Richey



Bruce M. McLaren

For more information: <http://tiny.cc/DecimalPoint>

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by NSF Award #DRL-1238619. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent the views of NSF. Thanks to Jodi Forlizzi, Michael Mogessie Ashenafi, Scott Herbst, Craig Ganoe, Darlan Santana Farias, Rick Henkel, Patrick B. McLaren, Grace Kihumba, Kim Lister, Kevin Dhou, John Choi, and Jimit Bhalani, all of whom made important contributions to the development of and early experimentation with the Decimal Point game.

Q1: How were enjoyment rating collected?



Enter If You Dare
Help the ghost into the haunted house.
Correctly place a decimal number on a number line.
Line Time

How well you have enjoyed each game type

Line Time	★★★★★
Enter If You Dare Photo Safari Goal	Night Of The Zombies Joust Lasso Bronco
Pattern Perfect	★★★★☆
Less or More	★★★☆☆
Arrange and Exchange	★★☆☆☆
Mad Adder	★☆☆☆☆

Recommended mini-games

Night of the Zombies	Goal	Ancient Temple
----------------------	------	----------------

Q2: Why comparing test score by condition but learning gains by gender?

Given that gender is not a randomly assigned variable and males tend to outperform females in math performance by the end of elementary school [46], we did not expect students to be equivalent across genders at pretest. For this reason, we focused our gender analyses on gain scores [18]. In contrast, because the conditions (CC, LC and EC) were randomly assigned, we expected students to perform equally well on pretest across conditions; therefore, we used analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) to assess condition effects on posttest and delayed posttest.

Q3: Why did differences in gameplay pattern not lead to differences in learning outcomes or enjoyment?

Learning:

- Interleaving vs blocking: the skills may be sufficiently distinct from one another and each was embedded in a unique interface, so interleaving and blocking, if present, were unlikely to yield differences in learning outcomes

Enjoyment:

- As we mentioned, students still spent most of their game play in the actual mini-games, which are identical across conditions
- Classroom atmosphere negated the enjoyment condition's effect

Discussion 1: The condition effect on learning efficiency

Control Condition has higher number of rounds, but similar average time per round and test scores compared with EC, which led to lower learning efficiency

Possible Reason:

- Control Condition students' higher number of rounds
- Students in Control Condition had to play two rounds per mini-game selection.

Learning Condition had significantly more game rounds and replay rate than EC

Possible Reason:

- EC students: chose to stop playing after trying most of the 24⁶¹ mini-games once

On the other hand, students' enjoyment in the game is also an important factor

Students' enjoyment can serve as a catalyst for their **learning motivation** and is **positively correlated with learning outcomes** (Anderman & Dawson, 2011; Fu et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011)

But have also been posted as a trade-off to learning (Greipl et al., 2018)

Key Measures

Learning:

Learning outcome: Test performance

Condition Analysis

Posttest and Delayed posttest scores

- Each test consisted of 43 items, for a total of 52 points.
- e.g., “is a longer decimal larger than a shorter decimal?”

Gender Analysis

Learning outcome: Learning gain during the gameplay

Pre-post: **Posttest score - Pretest score**

Pre-delayed: **Delayed posttest score - Pretest score**

Key Measures

Enjoyment:

Self-reported Enjoyment in post-intervention surveys (1-5)

- Per-student **average Likert scores**
- Achievement emotion
- Game engagement
- Affective engagement

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

Post hoc Analysis

Research Questions

RQ1: Is there a difference in **learning outcomes** among students in the **three conditions**?

Hypothesis: Learning Condition students would achieve the highest learning outcome. (Bodily et al, 2018; Bull & Nghiem, 2002)

RQ2: Is there a difference in **self-reported enjoyment** between students in the **three conditions**?

Hypothesis: the Enjoyment Condition group would report the highest enjoyment.

Research Questions

RQ3: Is there a difference in **learning outcomes** between **male and female students**?

Hypothesis: female students would have better learning outcomes than males in our game across all three conditions. (Klisch et al., 2012; McLaren et al., 2017)

RQ4: Is there a difference in **self-reported enjoyment** between **male and female students**?

Hypothesis: females would report higher enjoyment than males across all three conditions.
(Bammel & Burrus-Bammel, 1982; Paraskeva et al, 2010; Griffiths & Hunt, 1995; Subrahmanyam & Greenfield, 1998)

Results

RQ1: Is there a difference in learning outcomes among students in the three conditions?

RQ2: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment among students in the three conditions?

RQ3: Is there a difference in learning outcomes between male and female students?

RQ4: Is there a difference in self-reported enjoyment between male and female students?

No condition effect on learning or enjoyment

Post hoc Analysis

Number of mini-game rounds played

Replay rate