# Committor function-report 1

September 17, 2020

# 1 Thread

Let us consider a Markov process  $\{x_t\}$  with transition density  $p(x,y) = \mathbb{P}\left(x_{t+\tau} = y \mid x_t = x\right)$ . For two sets A, B, the committor function q(x) is defined as the probability of hitting region B before region A with the process starting at x. By considering the timescale  $\tau, q(x)$  satisfies

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in A \\ 1, & x \in B \\ \tilde{q}(x), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\tilde{q}(x) = \int p(x, y)q(y)dy$ 

$$A, B \subset \Omega, A \cap B = \emptyset$$

$$\tau_A(x) = \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t \in A, X_0 = x\}$$

$$\tau_B(x) = \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t \in B, X_0 = x\}$$

$$q(x) = \mathbb{P}\{\tau_B(x) < \tau_A(x)\}, \ \Omega \to [0, 1]$$

$$q(x) = 0, x \in \partial A \cup A, \ q(x) = 1, x \in \partial B \cup B$$

Aim: Find an algorithm of Computing q(x). Consider

$$q(x) = \int p(x,y)q(y)dy, \ x \in \Omega \ (A \cup B), y \in \Omega \ (A \cup B)$$
$$\rho_0(x)q(x) = \int \rho_0(x)p(x,y)q(y)dy$$
$$\rho_0(x) > 0, x \in \Omega, x \sim \rho_0(x)$$

## 1.1 Sampling

$$\rho_0(x)q(x) = \int \rho_0(x)p(x,y)q(y)dy \tag{1}$$

Assume that there are N transition pairs  $\{(x_n,y_n)\}_{n=1}^N$  drawn from  $\rho_0(x)p(x,y)$  and  $\rho_0(x)=0$  for  $x\in A\cup B$  without lack of generality <sup>1</sup>

#### 1.2 WGAN

Here we introduce an instrumental variable  $z \in [0, 1]$ , and define

$$\mathbb{Q}(x,z) = 2\rho_0(x)(z \cdot q(x) + (1-z) \cdot (1-q(x)))$$

$$\mathbb{Q}(x,z) = 2\rho_0(x)(z \cdot \tilde{q}(x) + (1-z) \cdot (1-\tilde{q}(x)))$$

It can be seen that  $\mathbb{Q}(x,z)=\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(x,z)$  if q(x) is exactly the committor function and

$$\iint \mathbb{Q}(x,z) dxdz = \iint \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}(x,z) dxdz = 1$$

Hence, we can optimize the parametric model of q by minimizing the Wasserstein1 distance

$$W(\mathbb{Q}, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}) = \sup_{\|f\|_{L} \le 1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(x, z)] - \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}[f(x, z)]$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{where} \\ & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(x,z)] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \rho_0(x), z \sim \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}}[2(z \cdot q(x) + (1-z) \cdot (1-q(x)))f(x,z)] \\ & \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_n \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}}\left[2\left(z \cdot q\left(x_n\right) + (1-z) \cdot (1-q\left(x_n\right))\right)f\left(x_n,z\right)\right] \\ & \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}[f(x,z)] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \rho_0(x), z \sim \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}}[2(z \cdot \tilde{q}(x) + (1-z) \cdot (1-\tilde{q}(x)))f(x,z)] \\ & = \iiint 2\rho_0(x)p(x,y)(z \cdot q(y) + (1-z) \cdot (1-q(y)))f(x,z)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}z \\ & \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_n \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}}\left[2\left(z \cdot q\left(y_n\right) + (1-z) \cdot (1-q\left(y_n\right))\right)f\left(x_n,z\right)\right] \end{split}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In applications, the latter assumption can be satisfied by simply removing all transition pairs  $(x_n, y_n)$  with  $x_n \in A \cup B$  from the data set.

#### 1.3 WGAN-GP

Consider  $\min_{q} \max_{\|f\|_{Lip} \le 1} \mathbb{E}_{x,z}[2(z \cdot q(x) + (1-z)\dot{(}1-q(x)))f(x,z)] - \mathbb{E}_{x,z}[2(z \cdot \tilde{q}(x) + (1-z)\dot{(}1-\tilde{q}(x)))f(x,z)] + \lambda \mathbb{E}_{x,z} \left[ (\|\nabla_x f(x,z)\|_2 - 1\|)^2 \right]$   $\operatorname{sample}\{x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\}_1^N \sim p(x,y)$   $\min_{q} \max_{\|f\|_{Lip} \le 1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim U[0,1]}[2(z \cdot q(x_n) + (1-z)\dot{(}1-q(x_n)))f(x_n,z)] - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim U[0,1]}[2(z \cdot q(y_n) + (1-z)\dot{(}1-q(y_n)))f(x_n,z)] + \lambda \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim U[0,1]} \left[ (\|\nabla_{x_n} f(x_n,z)\|_2 - 1\|)^2 \right]$   $\operatorname{sample}\{z^{(m)}\}_1^M \sim U[0,1]$   $\min_{q} \max_{\|f\|_{Lip} \le 1} \frac{2}{MN} \sum_{N} \sum_{M} \left[ (2z_m - 1)(q(x_n) - q(y_n))f(x_n,z_m) \right]$   $\lambda \left[ (\|\nabla_x f(x_n,z_m)\|_2 - 1\|)^2 \right]$ 

# 1.4 Algorithm

Aim:  $\theta: q_{\theta}, w: f_{w}$ 

## Algorithm 1 Committor Function

```
1: Input: gradient penalty coefficient \lambda; Number of critic iterations per gener-
     ator iteration n_{critic}; batch size N; Adam hyperparameters \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2; initial
     critic parameters \omega_0; initial generator parameters \theta_0
 2: while \theta has not converged do
        for t = 1, \dots, n_{critic} do
 3:
            for n=1,\cdots,N do
 4:
               Sample real date (x_n, y_n) \sim \rho_0(x) * p(x, y)
 5:
               for m=1,\cdots,M do
 6:
                  Sample z_m \sim U[0,1]
 7:
                  L^{(n,m)} \leftarrow (2z_m-1)(q(x_n)-q(y_n))f(x_n,z_m)+\lambda(\|\nabla_x f(x,z)\|_2-1\|)^2
 8:
 9:
10:
           \omega \leftarrow Adam(\nabla_{\omega} \frac{2}{N*M} \sum_{n} \sum_{m} L^{(n,m)}, \omega, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)
11:
12:
        end for
        Sample (x_n, y_n) \sim \rho_0(x) * p(x, y), z_m \sim U[0, 1]

\theta \leftarrow Adam(\nabla_{\theta} \frac{2}{NM} \sum_n \sum_m (2z_m - 1)(q(x_n) - q(y_n))f(x_n, z_m), \omega, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)
13:
15: end while
```