

# **Homework 2: A simulation study investigating the bootstrap**

BIOS 731 – Advanced Statistical Computing

## **Context and learning objectives**

This assignment reinforces ideas in Module 2: **Simulations and Resampling Methods**. We focus specifically on implementing a **large-scale simulation study**, and the assignment also includes components involving the bootstrap, parallelization, Git/GitHub, and project organization.

## **Due Date and Submission**

Submit (via Canvas) a PDF knitted from a `.Rmd` or `.qmd` file. Your PDF should include the web address of the GitHub repository containing your work for this assignment. **Commits after the due date will cause the assignment to be considered late.**

## **Point distribution**

Problem	Points
Problem 0	20
Problem 1.1	10
Problem 1.2	5
Problem 1.3	20
Problem 1.4	30
Problem 1.5	15

## Problem 0

This “problem” focuses on the structure of your submission, especially the **use of git and GitHub** for reproducibility, **R Projects** to organize your work, **Quarto/R Markdown** to write reproducible reports, **relative paths** to load local files, and reasonable naming conventions for your files.

To that end:

- Create a public GitHub repository and a local R Project. I suggest naming the repo/directory `bios731_hw2_YourLastName` (e.g., `bios731_hw2_wrobel`).
- Push your **entire project folder** to GitHub.
- Submit a PDF knitted from your `.Rmd/.qmd` file to Canvas.
  - Your solutions should be implemented in your `.Rmd/.qmd` file.
  - Your git commit history should reflect your workflow (i.e., multiple meaningful commits; avoid a single “final” commit with all work).

## Problem 1

**Simulation study.** Your goal in this homework is to plan and execute a well-organized simulation study for multiple linear regression and confidence intervals constructed via both Wald and bootstrap methods.

### Model

Consider the multiple linear regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{treatment} X_{i1} + \mathbf{Z}_i^T \gamma + \epsilon_i$$

where we are primarily interested in the treatment effect  $\beta_{treatment}$ . It is fine to simulate data with no confounders, i.e.  $\gamma = 0$ .

### Notation

Notation is defined below:

- $Y_i$ : continuous outcome
- $X_{i1}$ : treatment group indicator;  $X_{i1} = 1$  for treated
- $\mathbf{Z}_i$ : vector of potential confounders
- $\beta_{treatment}$ : average treatment effect, adjusting for  $\mathbf{Z}_i$
- $\gamma$ : vector of regression coefficient values for confounders

- $\epsilon_i$ : errors, we will vary how these are defined

## Simulation goals

In our simulation, we want to

- Estimate  $\beta_{treatment}$  and  $se(\hat{\beta}_{treatment})$ 
  - Evaluate  $\beta_{treatment}$  through bias and coverage

You will compare **three** methods for constructing a 95% confidence interval for  $\hat{\beta}_{treatment}$ :

1. Wald confidence intervals (standard model-based approach)
2. Nonparametric bootstrap **percentile** intervals
3. Nonparametric bootstrap *t* intervals

You will also evaluate **computation time** for each method.

## Simulation design (**full factorial**)

Evaluate performance across the following factors:

- Sample size:  $n \in \{10, 50, 500\}$
- True treatment effect:  $\beta_{treatment} \in \{0, 0.5, 2\}$
- Error distribution:
  - Normal errors:  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, 2)$
  - Heavy-tailed errors:  $\epsilon_i \sim t_\nu$  with  $\nu = 3$ , scaled to have variance 2

**Implementation hint (heavy tails).** If  $u \sim t_{nu}$  with  $\nu > 2$ , then  $\text{Var}(u) = \nu/(\nu - 2)$ . To match the normal-error condition variance, set

$$\epsilon_i = u \cdot \sqrt{2 \frac{\nu - 2}{\nu}}.$$

### **Problem 1.1 ADEMP Structure**

Answer the following questions. Use the ADEMP framework explicitly:

- **A (Aim):** What is the goal of the simulation study?
- **D (Data-generating mechanism):** What model and distributions generate the data? What factors vary across scenarios?
- **E (Estimand):** What quantity(ies) are you trying to learn about?
- **M (Methods):** What methods are being evaluated/compared?
- **P (Performance measures):** What metrics summarize performance?

Also answer:

- How many simulation scenarios will you be running (i.e., how many unique combinations in the full factorial design)?

### **Problem 1.2 nSim**

Based on desired coverage of 95% with Monte Carlo error of no more than 1%, how many simulations ( $n_{sim}$ ) should you perform for **each** simulation scenario? Implement this value of  $n_{sim}$  throughout your simulation study.

### **Problem 1.3 Implementation**

For bootstrap  $t$ , goal is to estimate a  $t$  distribution given by

$$t^* = \frac{\hat{\theta}^* - \hat{\theta}}{s_{\hat{\theta}^*}}$$

where

- $\hat{\theta}^*$ : estimated parameter value from each bootstrap iteration
- $\hat{\theta}$ : parameter estimate from the original sample
- $s_{\hat{\theta}^*}$  standard error estimate from a given bootstrap sample; requires a second level of bootstrapping to construct.

## Parameter choices

- Use  $\mathbf{B} = 500$  outer bootstrap resamples for the percentile and bootstrap- $t$  intervals.
- For bootstrap- $t$ , use  $\mathbf{B\_inner} = 100$  inner bootstrap resamples.
- Construct **95%** confidence intervals for all methods.

(If you choose different values, justify your choice and discuss the computation/accuracy trade-off.)

## Computing + reproducibility requirements

Execute the full simulation study. For full credit, implement the following:

- Well-structured scripts and subfolders following guidance from the `project_organization` lecture
- Use relative file paths to access intermediate scripts and data objects
- Use readable code practices (clear function boundaries, meaningful names, minimal duplication)
- **Parallelize across simulation scenarios**
- Save results from each simulation scenario to an intermediate `.Rds` or `.Rda` file in a `data/` subfolder
  - Add these files to `.gitignore` so they are not pushed to GitHub
- Include a `README.md` explaining your workflow
  - Include what files to run, in what order, and how outputs are produced
- Ensure end-to-end reproducibility:
  - I should be able to clone your GitHub repo, open your `.Rproj`, and run the simulation study to regenerate results

## Problem 1.4 Results summary

Create a plot or table summarizing simulation results across scenarios and methods for each of the following:

- Bias of  $\hat{\beta}$
- Coverage of the **95% CI** for  $\hat{\beta}$
- Distribution of  $se(\hat{\beta})$
- Computation time across methods

## Presentation guidance

- If creating plots, I encourage faceting by at least one design factor (e.g.,  $n$ , error distribution, or true treatment value).
- Include informative captions for each plot/table.
- For coverage plots, consider adding a reference line at 0.95.

## Problem 1.5 Discussion

Interpret the results summarized in Problem 1.4.

1. Write **one paragraph** summarizing the main findings of your simulation study.
2. Then answer the questions below:
  - How do the different methods for constructing confidence intervals compare in terms of computation time?
  - Which method(s) provide the best coverage when  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, 2)$ ?
  - Which method(s) provide the best coverage for the heavy-tailed errors?

Finally, briefly comment on any notable interactions (e.g., how performance changes with  $n$  or error type) and any practical recommendations you would make based on your results.