

4) 4. How do you rate the quality of learning materials provided by Raghu Rau on the module?



Statistic	Value
Min Value	3
Max Value	5
Mean	4.33
Variance	0.54
Standard Deviation	0.73
Total Responses	51


With regards to the teaching assistant/course co-ordinator Xinyu Hou:

5) 5. How do you rate the quality of the teaching provided by Xinyu Hou on the module (if applicable)?




Statistic	Value
Min Value	2
Max Value	5
Mean	3.58
Variance	1
Standard Deviation	1
Total Responses	50

6) 6. How do you rate the quality of Xinyu Hou's face-to-face interaction with students on the module?



Statistic	Value
Min Value	2
Max Value	5
Mean	3.55
Variance	0.98
Standard Deviation	0.99
Total Responses	49


7) 7. How do you rate the quality of Xinyu Hou's interaction with students in online platforms, email, and other lines of communication?



Statistic	Value
Min Value	2
Max Value	5
Mean	3.79
Variance	0.96
Standard Deviation	0.98
Total Responses	48


With regards to your contribution and the module overall:

9) 8. How do you rate your own contribution to the achievement of the module aims?



Statistic	Value
Min Value	2
Max Value	5
Mean	3.78
Variance	0.56
Standard Deviation	0.75
Total Responses	51

10) 9. How do you rate the difficulty of the module?



Statistic	Value
Min Value	1
Max Value	4
Mean	3.41
Variance	0.36
Standard Deviation	0.6
Total Responses	51

## 8) Please type any comments that you would like to make about the teaching assistance/course co-ordination provided by Xinyu Hou in the text box below:

- 1) I liked the interactions that he installed. Thank you delivering concepts in the most practical way we can.
- 2) Professor Raghavendra Rau is very charmful, energetic and profession who could guide students through experience and prepared lecture videos and slides.
- 3) Could be more interactive/speak louder and write bigger on the board.
- 4) No engagement with students.
- 5) Should be more practical in terms of case application
- 6) She has a tendency to go too fast.
- 7) Format was closer to a presentation rather than a dynamic interaction
- 8) Maybe go over the materials a bit slower because not everyone is familiar with valuation
- 9) No incredibly helpful.
- 10) Clearer agendas and delivery objectives, alignment with the exam and learning outcomes should be clear.
- 11) Posting stuff the same day/night before sessions which excludes time to prep.
- 12) Very helpful having these sessions as well
- 13) Communication issue
- 14) Provide more materials and support
- 15) I think Xinyu was engaged and committed to do well. That being said the sessions didn't focus help to uncover the difficult concepts that well so that could be improved.
- 16) good
- 17) TA hardly understands and answers the doubts and questions clearly. Generally it is a reading of the PPT or the material present. Would have been helpful if she actually solves the problem in the class.
- 18) To structure the tutorial sessions better and come up with a learning objective, and ensure that the learning objectives are achieved.
- 19) best professor
- 20) Xinyu explained the materials very well. She is very patient and always helpful.
- 21) I think she needs to mention upfront that whatever she's teaching is related to the exam. Some of the MFin students do not think that the workshop is important, because they may not understand what the exam will be about.
- 22) Can be more confident in the tutorials
- 23) Would appreciate a slower pace but raghu is a star
- 24) Useful
- 25) extremely good
- 26) Would appreciate more direct interaction to facilitate better understanding of concepts. An increase in class hours for workshops would help cover concepts better.
- 27) It should include a lot more use of examples/ calculations on board to increase the interaction and understanding, else it just becomes a simple reading from the slides.
- 28) The timetable for the class is not good, we need lunch time. Others are good.

## Cambridge Judge Business School Course feedback report

- 29) In general workshops were boring, TA is not engaging at all. Also, workload was a lot
- 30) It might be better if she describe her model answers in PDF or Word file, not only in Excell or the whiteboard, so that we can easily follow the process toward the final answers.
- 31) Provide problems for workshop should be more representative of what is going to be studied in the class
- 32) Xinyu Hou did a great job!
- 33) She is very patient and knowledgeable, very helpful to me.
- 34) It's very helpful indeed.
- 35) I attended just one of the sessions and don't have any to comment
- 36) Honestly, I feel a lot of improvement needs be made on the TA's teaching style. The classes literally felt like a narration of the slides and not an actual explanation of the contents. When questions were asked by students, proper explanations were for the most part, not always given by the TA. The classes were also not engaging at all and I don't feel like I gained any additional understanding from the sessions. BUT, the TA is a very nice lady.
- 37) The TA sessions by Xinyu Hu were very helpful in re-organising what we learned in the lecture.
- 38) Good explanations, I would just request more exercises. Could even be suggested from the textbook.

## 11. What did you like best about this module?

- 1) The class interactions.
- 2) Interaction
- 3) case method
- 4) usage of case studies
- 5) Case!
- 6) case studies.
- 7) Raghuvendra Rau teaching.
- 8) Relevant finance knowledge
- 9) It has a lot of real-life examples.
- 10) Valuable knowledge, necessary and directly applicable for recruitment/ interview/ job purposes
- 11) Professor Rau used cases and real-life examples
- 12) Working through case study's in class.
- 13) interaction
- 14) Revisiting the basics of finance
- 15) Cases.
- 16) Class interaction and case studies
- 17) The interactive learning
- 18) Wide coverage
- 19) Case-based approach, practical nuances, and implications using real-world data.
- 20) Going indepth with Raghu. We really went into the meaning and value of key concepts and how that drives real-life corporate finance decisions.