

#### 软件理论基础与实践

**Tactics: More Tactics** 

熊英飞 北京大学



• apply策略直接应用假设完成结论推导

```
Theorem silly1 : forall (n m : nat),
  n = m \rightarrow
  n = m.
Proof. intros n m eq.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
    n, m : nat
     eq : n = m
    n = m
 *)
apply eq.(** No more subgoals. *)
Qed.
```



• apply也可应用P->Q形式的假设把结论从Q变成P

```
Theorem silly2 : forall (n m o p : nat),
  n = m \rightarrow
  (n = m \rightarrow [n;o] = [m;p]) \rightarrow
  [n;o] = [m;p].
Proof. intros n m o p eq1 eq2.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
     n, m, o, p : nat
     eq1 : n = m
     eq2 : n = m \rightarrow [n; o] = [m; p]
     [n; o] = [m; p]
 *)
```



• apply也可应用P->Q形式的假设把结论从Q变成P



• apply策略会自动替换全称量词

```
Theorem silly2a : forall (n m : nat),
  (n,n) = (m,m) \rightarrow
  (forall (q r : nat), (q,q) = (r,r) -> [q] = [r]) ->
  [n] = [m].
Proof. intros n m eq1 eq2.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
     n, m : nat
     eq1 : (n, n) = (m, m)
     eq2 : forall q r : nat, (q, q) = (r, r) -> [q] = [r]
     [n] = [m]
 *)
```



• apply策略会自动替换全称量词



• apply策略应用时假设和结论必须能完全匹配

```
Theorem silly3 : forall (n m : nat),
  n = m \rightarrow
  m = n.
Proof. intros n m H.
(** H : n = m)
 * m = n
  Fail apply H.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * The command has indeed failed with message:
 * In environment
 * n, m : nat
 * H : n = m
 * Unable to unify "n = m" with "m = n".
*)
```

## symmetry策略



• symmetry策略用于交换目标等式的左右两边

```
symmetry.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
 *
 * n, m : nat
 * H : n = m
 * ========
 * n = m
 *)
apply H.(** No more subgoals. *)
Qed.
```

#### apply with策略



如果定理前提中有自由变量,apply策略会失败Theorem trans\_eq: forall (X:Type) (n m o: X), n = m -> m = o -> n = o.
Proof.
intros X n m o eq1 eq2. rewrite -> eq1. rewrite -> eq2. reflexivity. Qed.
Example trans\_eq\_example': forall (a b c d e f: nat), [a;b] = [c;d] -> [c;d] = [e;f] -> [a;b] = [e;f].

# apply with策略



# apply with策略



• apply with指定自由变量的值

```
apply trans_eq with (m:=[c;d]).
(** [Coq Proof View]
* 2 subgoals
    a, b, c, d, e, f : nat
    eq1 : [a; b] = [c; d]
    eq2 : [c; d] = [e; f]
    [a; b] = [c; d]
 *
* subgoal 2 is:
* [c; d] = [e; f]
*)
 apply eq1. apply eq2.
                          Qed.
```

#### transitivity策略



• transitivity x等价于apply trans\_eq with (m:=x)

```
Example trans_eq_example'' : forall (a b c d e f : nat),
        [a;b] = [c;d] ->
        [c;d] = [e;f] ->
        [a;b] = [e;f].
Proof.
  intros a b c d e f eq1 eq2.
  transitivity [c;d].
  apply eq1. apply eq2. Qed.
```

#### 归纳类型定义的特点



- Injection: 同一个构造函数传不同参数时构造的 值不同,
  - 即构造函数为单射
  - 即Sn=Sm->n=m

- Inductive nat : Type :=
   | 0
   | S (n : nat).
- Disjointness: 不同的构造函数构造的值均不同,
  - 即Sn = 0不可能成立
- 利用这些特点可以完成一些证明,Coq也提供了相应的策略支持

#### 证明单射



• 单射可以通过定义函数来返回构造函数实参证明

```
Theorem S injective : forall (n m : nat),
  S n = S m \rightarrow n = m.
Proof. intros n m H1.
  assert (H2: n = pred (S n)). { reflexivity. }
  (* n, m : nat
 * H1 : S n = S m
 * H2 : n = Nat.pred (S n)
   n = m
 *)
 rewrite H2.
 (* Nat.pred (S n) = m *)
 rewrite H1.
 (* Nat.pred (S m) = m *)
 reflexivity. Qed.
14
```

```
Definition pred (n : nat) : nat :=
 match n with
   0 => 0
  | S n' => n'
  end.
```



injection策略根据构造函数的单射性推导参数的 等价性



injection策略根据构造函数的单射性推导参数的 等价性

```
injection H as Hnm.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
 *
 * n, m : nat
 * Hnm : n = m
 * ========
 * n = m
 *)
  apply Hnm.
Qed.
```



• as部分可以省略,省略后推出的等式加入目标

```
injection H.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
 *
 * n, m : nat
 * H: S n = S m
 * ========
 * n = m -> n = m
 *)
 intros Hnm. apply Hnm.
Qed.
```



• 也可以递归推出多个等式

```
Theorem injection_ex1 : forall (n m o : nat),
  [n;m] = [o;o] \rightarrow
  n = m.
Proof.
  intros n m o H.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
 *
     n, m, o : nat
     H : [n; m] = [o; o]
     n = m
 *)
```

注意[n;m]等价于 cons n (cons m nil)



• 也可以递归推出多个等式

## injection的逆: f\_equal



对任意函数都成立

```
Theorem f_equal : forall (A B : Type) (f: A -> B) (x y: A),
    x = y -> f x = f y.
Proof. intros A B f x y eq. rewrite eq. reflexivity. Qed.

Theorem eq_implies_succ_equal : forall (n m : nat),
    n = m -> S n = S m.
Proof. intros n m H. apply f_equal. apply H. Qed.

Theorem eq_implies_succ_equal' : forall (n m : nat),
    n = m -> S n = S m.
Proof. intros n m H. f_equal. apply H. Qed.
```

注意injection应用到假设上, f\_equal应用到目标上

#### discriminate策略



- 如果假设包含不同构造函数构造的值形成了等式, 则直接判断结论成立
  - 爆炸原理: False推导出任意结论

```
Theorem discriminate_ex1 : forall (n m : nat),
 false = true ->
 n = m
Proof. intros n m contra.
(* 1 subgoal
    n, m : nat
    contra : false = true
                                      参数可省略,discriminate
                                      会自动寻找矛盾的假设
    n = m
 *)
discriminate contra.(** No more subgoals. *)
Qed.
```

#### discriminate策略



discriminate会自动应用simpl,并递归到深层构造函数

```
Theorem discriminate ex2 : forall (n : nat),
  pred (S(S(Sn))) = SO \rightarrow
  2 + 2 = 5.
Proof.
  intros n contra.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
   n : nat
     contra : Nat.pred (S(S(Sn))) = 1
      -----------
 * 2 + 2 = 5
 *)
  discriminate. Qed.
```



• 在适用的策略后面加上"in H"能将策略应用到假设H

```
Theorem S_inj : forall (n m : nat) (b : bool),
  ((S n) = ? (S m)) = b ->
  (n =? m) = b.
Proof. intros n m b H.
(** [Cog Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
    n, m : nat
    b : bool
    H : (S n = ? S m) = b
     ______
    (n = ? m) = b
 *)
```



• 在适用的策略后面加上"in H"能将策略应用到假设H



• 等价变换策略应用到目标和假设上效果相同

```
Theorem silly4 : forall (n m p q : nat),
  (n = m \rightarrow p = q) \rightarrow
  m = n \rightarrow
  q = p.
Proof. intros n m p q EQ H.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
 *
     n, m, p, q : nat
     EQ : n = m -> p = q
     H: m = n
     ______
     q = p
 *)
```





• 等价变换策略应用到目标和假设上效果相同



- 不等价变换应用时方向相反
  - 给定H:P->Q,apply H将目标从Q替换为P,apply H in H1将H1从P替换到Q

#### 一个失败的归纳证明过程



```
Theorem double_injective_FAILED : forall n m,
  double n = double m ->
  n = m.
Proof.
  intros n m. induction n as [| n' IHn'].
  - (* n = 0 *) simpl. intros eq. destruct m as [| m'] eqn:E.
      + (* m = 0 *) reflexivity.
      + (* m = S m' *) discriminate eq.
  - (* n = S n' *) intros eq. destruct m as [| m'] eqn:E.
      + (* m = 0 *) discriminate eq.
      + (* m = S m' *)
```

#### 一个失败的归纳证明过程



```
(** [Coq Proof View]
* 1 subgoal
*
* n', m, m' : nat
* E : m = S m'
* IHn' : double n' = double (S m') -> n' = S m'
* eq : double (S n') = double (S m')
* S n' = S m'
*)
Abort.
```

#### 为什么失败



- 自然数上归纳证明P的过程
  - 证明*P*(0)
  - 证明 $\forall n, P(n) \rightarrow P(S n)$
- 这个例子中,  $P(n) \equiv \forall m, P'(n,m)$ 
  - $\sharp \text{p}'(n,m) \equiv double \ n = double \ m \rightarrow n = m$
- 即,我们需要证明
  - $\forall m, P'(0,m)$
  - $\forall n, (\forall m, P'(n, m)) \rightarrow (\forall m, P'(S n, m))$
- 但实际我们证明的是
  - $\forall m, P'(0,m)$
  - $\forall n, \forall m, (P'(n, m) \rightarrow P'(S n, m)) \equiv \forall n, \forall m, ((double n = double m \rightarrow n = m) \rightarrow (double S n = double m \rightarrow S n = m))$
- Coq规则: 已经在假设区的变量不作为自由变量放入归纳假设

• 不主动intro额外的变量

intro n可以省略, induction n自动引入n 和n之前的变量

```
Theorem double_injective : forall n m,
   double n = double m \rightarrow n = m.
 Proof. intro n. induction n as [| n' IHn'].
   - (* n = 0 *) simpl. intros m eq. destruct m as [| m'] eqn:E.
     + (* m = 0 *) reflexivity.
     + (* m = S m' *) discriminate eq.
   - (* n = S n' *)
 (** [Coq Proof View]
  * 1 subgoal
      n': nat
      IHn' : forall m : nat, double n' = double m -> n' = m
      forall m : nat, double (S n') = double m -> S n' = m
31 *)
```



• 该方法在归纳变量不在第一位时

自动intros n m.

```
Theorem double_injective_take2_FAILED2 : forall n m,
   double n = double m \rightarrow n = m.
 Proof, induction m.
   - (* m = 0 *) simpl. intros. destruct n as [| n'] eqn:E.
     + (* n = 0 *) reflexivity.
     + (* n = S n' *) discriminate H.
   - (* n = S n' *)
 (** [Coq Proof View]
  * 1 subgoal
      n, m: nat
      IHm: double n = double m \rightarrow n = m
      double n = double (S m) -> n = S m
32 *)
```



• 采用generalize dependent策略

```
Theorem double_injective_take2 : forall n m,
  double n = double m \rightarrow n = m.
Proof.
  intros n m.
    n, m : nat
     double n = double m \rightarrow n = m
 *)
  generalize dependent n.
     m : nat
     forall n : nat, double n = double m -> n = m
 *)
```



• 采用generalize dependent策略

```
induction m as [| m' IHm'].
 - (* m = 0 *) simpl. intros n eq. destruct n as [| n'] eqn:E.
   + (* n = 0 *) reflexivity.
   + (* n = S n' *) discriminate eq.
 - (* m = S m' *)
(** [Coq Proof View]
* 1 subgoal
*
    m': nat
*
    IHm' : forall n : nat, double n = double m' -> n = m'
*
    forall n : nat, double n = double (S m') -> n = S m'
*)
```

#### Unfold策略——动机



```
Definition square n := n * n.
Lemma square mult : forall n m,
  square (n * m) = square n * square m.
Proof.
  intros n m.
(* n, m : nat
     square (n * m) = square n * square m
  simpl.
     n, m : nat
     square (n * m) = square n * square m
 *)
```

为什么square没有被展开? Coq只在能展开match 或者展开fixpoint的时候 进行约简,否则不变。

#### Unfold策略



```
unfold square. _
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
                                         Н。
     n, m : nat
     n * m * (n * m) = n * n * (m * m)
  rewrite mult_assoc.
  assert (H : n * m * n = n * n * m).
    { rewrite mul_comm. apply mult_assoc. }
  rewrite H. rewrite mult_assoc. reflexivity.
Qed.
```

将目标中的square展开。 也可以加上in H用于假设 H。

### 更多simpl的例子



```
Definition foo (x: nat) := 5.
Fact silly_fact_1 : forall m, foo m + 1 = foo (m + 1) + 1.
Proof.
  intros m.
   m : nat
   foo m + 1 = foo (m + 1) + 1
 *)
  simpl.
    m : nat
                                              结果是什么?
 * 6 = 6
 *)
```

# 更多simpl的例子

38



```
Definition bar x :=
  match x with
   0 => 5
  | S _ => 5
  end.
Fact silly_fact_2_FAILED : forall m, bar m + 1 = bar (m + 1) + 1.
Proof.
  intros m.
(* m : nat
     bar m + 1 = bar (m + 1) + 1
 *)
  simpl.
     m : nat
                                                结果是什么?
     bar m + 1 = bar (m + 1) + 1
 *)
```

### 采用destruct分解表达式



```
Definition sillyfun (n : nat) : bool :=
  if n =? 3 then false
  else if n =? 5 then false
  else false.
Theorem sillyfun_false : forall (n : nat),
  sillyfun n = false.
Proof. intros n. unfold sillyfun.
                                                     如何证明?
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
 *
     n: nat
     (if n =? 3 then false else if n =? 5 then false else false)
     = false
 *
 *)
 39
```

### 采用destruct分解表达式



• 虽然可以用destruct n证明,但过于麻烦

```
Theorem sillyfun_false : forall (n : nat),
    sillyfun n = false.
Proof. intros n. unfold sillyfun.
    destruct n. reflexivity.
    Qed.
```

### 采用destruct分解表达式



```
destruct (n =? 3) eqn:E1.
(** [Coq Proof View]
* 2 subgoals
    n: nat
    E1 : (n = ? 3) = true
    false = false
* subgoal 2 is:
   (if n =? 5 then false else false) = false
*)
   - (* n =? 3 = true *) reflexivity.
   - (* n =? 3 = false *) destruct (n =? 5) eqn:E2.
     + (* n =? 5 = true *) reflexivity.
     + (* n =? 5 = false *) reflexivity. Qed.
```

# 分解表达式时eqn:H往往关键

```
Definition sillyfun1 (n : nat) : bool :=
  if n =? 3 then true
  else if n =? 5 then true
  else false.
Theorem sillyfun1 odd FAILED : forall (n : nat),
  sillyfun1 n = true -> odd n = true.
Proof.
  intros n eq. unfold sillyfun1 in eq.
  destruct (n =? 3).
  n : nat
 * eq: true = true
    odd n = true
 *
 * subgoal 2 is:
   odd n = true
Abort.
```

# 分解表达式时eqn:H往往关键



```
Theorem sillyfun1 odd : forall (n : nat),
  sillyfun1 n = true ->
  odd n = true.
Proof.
  intros n eq. unfold sillyfun1 in eq.
  destruct (n =? 3) eqn:Heqe3.
    - (* e3 = true *) apply eqb_true in Heqe3.
      rewrite -> Hege3. reflexivity.
    - (* e3 = false *)
      destruct (n =? 5) eqn:Heqe5.
        + (* e5 = true *)
          apply eqb true in Hege5.
          rewrite -> Hege5. reflexivity.
        + (* e5 = false *) discriminate eq. Qed.
```



- intros: move hypotheses/variables from goal to context
- reflexivity: finish the proof (when the goal looks like e = e)
- apply: prove goal using a hypothesis, lemma, or constructor
- apply...in H: apply a hypothesis, lemma, or constructor to a hypothesis in the context (forward reasoning)
- apply...with...: explicitly specify values for variables that cannot be determined by pattern matching
- simpl: simplify computations in the goal
- simpl in H: ... or a hypothesis



- rewrite: use an equality hypothesis (or lemma) to rewrite the goal
- rewrite . . . in H: ... or a hypothesis
- symmetry: changes a goal of the form t=u into u=t
- symmetry in H: changes a hypothesis of the form t=u into u=t
- transitivity y: prove a goal x=z by proving two new subgoals, x=y and y=z
- unfold: replace a defined constant by its right-hand side in the goal
- unfold... in H: ... or a hypothesis



- destruct... as...: case analysis on values of inductively defined types
- destruct...eqn:...: specify the name of an equation to be added to the context, recording the result of the case analysis
- induction... as...: induction on values of inductively defined types
- injection... as...: reason by injectivity on equalities between values of inductively defined types



- discriminate: reason by disjointness of constructors on equalities between values of inductively defined types
- assert (H: e) (or assert (e) as H): introduce a "local lemma" e and call it H
- generalize dependent x: move the variable x (and anything else that depends on it) from the context back to an explicit hypothesis in the goal formula
- f\_equal: change a goal of the form f x = f y into x = y

# 作业



- 完成Tactics.v中standard非optional且不属于 Additional Exercises的8道习题
  - 请使用最新英文版教材