

软件理论基础与实践

AUTO: More Automation

熊英飞 北京大学

复习



- IMP包括哪几条指令?
- IMP的操作语义是什么?



```
Theorem ceval_deterministic: forall c st st1 st2,
    st =[ c ]=> st1 ->
    st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
    st1 = st2.
```



```
Proof.
  intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2.
  generalize dependent st2.
(** [Coq Proof View]
 * 1 subgoal
    c : com
    st, st1 : state
     E1 : st =[ c ]=> st1
     forall st2 : state, st = [c] => st2 -> st1 = st2
 *)
```

此处有E1和E2两个归纳定义的relation,我们采用induction证明。为了确保归纳假设的正确性,我们先把st2放回到goal中,并对E1做induction。



```
induction E1; intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst.
(** [Coq Proof View]
* 11 subgoals
   st2 : state
   E2 : st2 =[ skip ]=> st2
   st2 = st2
* subgoal 2 is:
* (x !-> aeval st a; st) = (x !-> aeval st a; st)
* subgoal 3 is:
```

inversion之后接subst可以有效减少等式数量。







```
- (* E Seq *)
 * E1 1 : st =[ c1 ]=> st'
   E1 2 : st' =[ c2 ]=> st''
     IHE1 1: forall st2: state, st = [c1] => st2 -> st' = st2
     IHE1_2 : forall st2 : state, st' =[ c2 ]=> st2 -> st'' = st2
     H1 : st = [c1] \Rightarrow st'0
     H4 : st'0 = [c2] \Rightarrow st2
    st'' = st2
*)
rewrite (IHE1 1 st'0 H1) in *.
apply IHE1 2. assumption.
```





```
- (* E_IfTrue, b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)

* H : beval st b = true

* E1 : st =[ c1 ]=> st'

* IHE1 : forall st2 : state, st =[ c1 ]=> st2 -> st' = st2

* E2 : st =[ if b then c1 else c2 end ]=> st2

* H5 : beval st b = false

* H6 : st =[ c2 ]=> st2

* st' = st2

*)

rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
```



```
- (* E_IfFalse, b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)

* H : beval st b = false

* E1 : st =[ c2 ]=> st'

* IHE1 : forall st2 : state, st =[ c2 ]=> st2 -> st' = st2

* E2 : st =[ if b then c1 else c2 end ]=> st2

* H5 : beval st b = true

* H6 : st =[ c1 ]=> st2

* st' = st2

*)

rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
```









```
- (* E WhileTrue, b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
 * E2 : st2 = [ while b do c end ] => st2
   E1 1 : st2 =[ c ]=> st'
    H : beval st2 b = true
    E1 2 : st' = [ while b do c end ] => st''
    IHE1 1 : forall st3 : state, st2 =[ c ]=> st3 -> st' = st3
    IHE1 2 : forall st2 : state, st' =[ while b do c end ]=> st2
-> st'' = st2
   H4: beval st2 b = false
 * st'' = st2
 *)
rewrite H in H4. discriminate.
```



```
- (* E WhileTrue, b evaluates to true *)
 * H : beval st b = true
 * E1 1 : st = [ c ]=> st'
 * E1 2 : st' = [ while b do c end ] => st''
    IHE1 1 : forall st2 : state, st = [c] = st2 - st' = st2
    IHE1 2 : forall st2 : state, st' =[ while b do c end ]=> st2
-> st'' = st2
  E2 : st = while b do c end => st2
    H2: beval st b = true
    H3 : st = [c] = st'0
    H6: st'0 = [ while b do c end ]=> st2
-----------
* st'' = st2
*)
rewrite (IHE1_1 st'0 H3) in *.
apply IHE1 2. assumption. Qed.
```



```
Theorem ceval_deterministic: forall c st st1 st2,
     st = [ c ]=> st1 ->
     st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
     st1 = st2.
Proof.
  intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2.
  generalize dependent st2.
  induction E1; intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst.
  - (* E_Skip *) reflexivity.
  - (* E_Ass *) reflexivity.
  - (* E Seq *)
    rewrite (IHE1_1 st'0 H1) in *.
    apply IHE1 2. assumption.
```



```
- (* E IfTrue, b evaluates to true *)
   apply IHE1. assumption.
- (* E IfTrue, b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
   rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
- (* E IfFalse, b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)
   rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
- (* E IfFalse, b evaluates to false *)
   apply IHE1. assumption.
- (* E_WhileFalse, b evaluates to false *)
 reflexivity.
- (* E WhileFalse, b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)
 rewrite H in H2. discriminate.
- (* E WhileTrue, b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
 rewrite H in H4. discriminate.
- (* E WhileTrue, b evaluates to true *)
 rewrite (IHE1 1 st'0 H3) in *.
 apply IHE1_2. assumption. Qed.
```

auto策略



```
Example auto_example_1 : forall (P Q R: Prop),
   (P -> Q) -> (Q -> R) -> P -> R.
Proof.
   intros P Q R H1 H2 H3.
   apply H2. apply H1. assumption.
Qed.

Example auto_example_1' : forall (P Q R: Prop),
   (P -> Q) -> (Q -> R) -> P -> R.
Proof.
   auto.
Qed.
```

auto策略自动搜索可以由一系列intro和apply组成的证明

auto策略



```
Example auto_example_3 : forall (P Q R S T U: Prop),
  (P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow
  (Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow
 (R \rightarrow S) \rightarrow
 (S \rightarrow T) \rightarrow
 (T -> U) ->
  P ->
  U.
Proof.
  (* 如果证明不出来,就什么都不做 *)
  auto.
  (* 也可以指定搜索的深度(apply的数量),默认5 *)
  auto 6.
Qed.
```

打印auto的内容



```
Example auto_example_4 : forall P Q R : Prop,
Q ->
  (Q -> R) ->
  P \/ (Q /\ R).
Proof. info_auto. Qed.

(* info auto: *)
  intro.
  intro.
  intro.
```

info_auto可以打印auto的内容

auto的搜索范围除了当前的 假设,也包括常见的逻辑命 题

auto的搜索范围也包括 eq_refl,即等价于reflexivity

```
(* info auto: *)
intro.
intro.
intro.
intro.
intro.
simple apply or_intror (in core).
simple apply conj (in core).
assumption.
simple apply H0.
assumption.
```

扩展auto的搜索范围



```
Example auto_example_6 : forall n m p : nat,
  (n <= p -> (n <= m /\ m <= n)) ->
 n <= p ->
 n = m
                                (* info auto: *)
Proof.
                                intro.
  info_auto using le_antisym.
                                intro.
Qed.
                                intro.
                                intro.
                                intro.
 用using扩展单个appy可用的定理
                                simple apply le_antisym.
                                 simple apply H.
                                  assumption.
```

扩展auto的搜索范围



```
Hint Resolve le_antisym : core.
   Example auto example 6 : forall n m p : nat,
     (n <= p -> (n <= m /\ m <= n) (* info auto: *)
     n <= p ->
                                    intro.
     n = m
                                    intro.
   Proof.
                                    intro.
     info_auto.
                                    intro.
   Qed.
                                    intro.
                                    simple apply le_antisym.
                                     simple apply H.
                                      assumption.
也可以用hint resolve全局扩展auto的范围
```

扩展auto的搜索范围



```
Definition is_fortytwo x := (x = 42).

Hint Unfold is_fortytwo : core.

Example auto_example_7' : forall x,
  (x <= 42 /\ 42 <= x) -> is_fortytwo x.

Proof.
  info_auto. (* try also: info_auto. *)
Qed.
```

用hint unfold允许auto展开定义

还可以用Hint Constructors c : core. 允许auto使用归纳定义c的所有 constructor

```
(* info auto: *)
intro.
intro.
unfold is_fortytwo (in core).
simple apply le_antisym (in core).
assumption.
```

采用auto简化证明



```
Theorem ceval_deterministic': forall c st st1 st2,
    st = [ c ]=> st1 ->
    st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
    st1 = st2.
Proof.
  intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2.
  generalize dependent st2;
       induction E1; intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst; auto.
  - (* E Seq *)
    rewrite (IHE1_1 st'0 H1) in *.
    auto.
  - (* E IfTrue *)
```

采用auto简化证明



```
- (* E IfTrue *)
    + (* b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
      rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
  - (* E IfFalse *)
    + (* b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)
      rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
  - (* E WhileFalse *)
    + (* b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)
      rewrite H in H2. discriminate.
  (* E WhileTrue *)
  - (* b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
    rewrite H in H4. discriminate.
  - (* b evaluates to true *)
    rewrite (IHE1 1 st'0 H3) in *.
    auto.
Qed.
```

Proof with



采用proof with t允许我们写 "t1..." 来代表 "t1;t"

```
Theorem ceval_deterministic'_alt: forall c st st1 st2,
    st =[ c ]=> st1 ->
    st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
    st1 = st2.

Proof with auto.
    intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2;
    generalize dependent st2;
    induction E1;
        intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst...
    - (* E_Seq *)
    rewrite (IHE1_1 st'0 H1) in *...
```

分析剩下的证明



```
- (* E IfTrue *)
    + (* b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
     rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
  - (* E IfFalse *)
    + (* b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)
     rewrite H in H5. discriminate.
  - (* E WhileFalse *)
    + <u>(* b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)</u>
     rewrite H in H2. discriminate.
  (* E WhileTrue *)
  - (* b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
   rewrite H in H4. discriminate.
  - (* b evaluates to true *)
    rewrite (IHE1 1 st'0 H3) in *.
    auto.
Qed.
```

分析剩下的证明



- 大量的证明通过矛盾排除不可能的情况
- 这些证明都首先找到如下形式的两个假设
 - H1: XXXX = false
 - H2: XXXX = true
- 然后通过如下策略完成证明
 - rewrite H1 in H2. discriminate.
- 定义策略替换如上指令
 - Ltac rwd H1 H2 := rewrite H1 in H2; discriminate.

采用rwd简化证明



```
- (* E_IfTrue *)
    + (* b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
     rwd H H5.
  - (* E IfFalse *)
    + (* b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)
      rwd H H5.
  - (* E WhileFalse *)
    + (* b evaluates to true (contradiction) *)
      rwd H H2.
  (* E WhileTrue *)
  - (* b evaluates to false (contradiction) *)
    rwd H H4.
  - (* b evaluates to true *)
    rewrite (IHE1_1 st'0 H3) in *.
    auto.
Qed.
```

采用match goal简化证明



H1: ... 匹配一条假设

|- ... 匹配目标

?E 元变量,多次出现需匹配上同样的表达式

_ 匹配任意

=> ... 匹配成功后执行的策略。

如果有多个匹配,则任选一个不会导致策略失败的匹配执行。 如果没有不导致策略失败的匹配,则整个策略失败。 没查到文 献,我实 验出来的





```
Theorem ceval_deterministic''': forall c st st1 st2,
    st = [ c ]=> st1 ->
    st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
    st1 = st2.
Proof.
  intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2.
  generalize dependent st2;
  induction E1; intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst;
  try find rwd; auto.
  - (* E Seq *)
    rewrite (IHE1 1 st'0 H1) in *.
    auto.
  - (* E WhileTrue *)
    + (* b evaluates to true *)
      rewrite (IHE1 1 st'0 H3) in *.
      auto. Qed.
```

分析剩下的证明



```
Theorem ceval_deterministic''': forall c st st1 st2,
    st = [ c ]=> st1 ->
    st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
    st1 = st2.
Proof.
  intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2.
  generalize dependent st2;
  induction E1; intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst;
  try find rwd; auto.
  - (* E Seq *)
   rewrite (IHE1_1 st'0 H1) in *.
   auto.
  - (* E WhileTrue *)
    + (* b evaluates to true *)
      rewrite (IHE1_1 st'0 H3) in *.
      auto.
Qed.
```

分析剩下的证明



- 寻找合适归纳假设并应用
- 归纳假设:
 - E1_1: st =[c1]=> st'
 - IHE1_1 : forall st2 : state, st =[c1]=> st2 -> st' = st2
 - H1: st =[c1]=> st'0
- 归纳假设的模式:
 - H0: ?P ?E,
 - H1: forall x, ?P x -> ?E = ?R,
 - H2: ?P ?X

采用match goal简化证明



```
Ltac find_eqn :=
  match goal with
  H0: ?P ?E,
  H1: forall x, ?P x -> ?E = ?R,
  H2: ?P ?X
  |- _ => rewrite (H1 X H2) in *
  end.
```

H0并不会被用在证明中,可以省略

采用match goal简化证明



```
Ltac find_eqn :=
  match goal with
   H1: forall x, ?P x -> ?L = ?R,
   H2: ?P ?X
   |- _ => rewrite (H1 X H2) in *
  end.
```

虽然Ltac中的H2有可能匹配上E1_1,但这会导致rewrite报错,所以会被忽略。

```
E1_1: st =[ c1 ]=> st'
IHE1_1 : forall st2 : state, st =[ c1 ]=> st2 -> st' = st2
H1: st =[ c1 ]=> st'0
```





```
Theorem ceval_deterministic'''': forall c st st1 st2,
    st =[ c ]=> st1 ->
    st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
    st1 = st2.
Proof.
   intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2.
   generalize dependent st2;
   induction E1; intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst;
   try find_rwd; try find_eqn; auto.
Qed.
```

可维护性



- 可维护性是基于证明的高可信软件开发的主要障碍之一
- 普通软件修改
 - 改需求->改代码->改测试
 - 改测试通常比较容易,且常被(不靠谱的工程师)省略
- 高可信软件修改
 - 改需求->改代码->改证明
 - 证明所需的代码量通常是功能代码的数倍
 - 并行CertiKOS: 6500行功能代码,约10万行证明代码[OSDI16]
- 采用智能化证明脚本可能显著降低改证明工作量

改需求:加入REPEAT命令



```
Inductive com : Type :=
    | CSkip
    | CAss (x : string) (a : aexp)
    | CSeq (c1 c2 : com)
    | CIf (b : bexp) (c1 c2 : com)
    | CWhile (b : bexp) (c : com)
    | CRepeat (c : com) (b : bexp).
```

改代码



```
Notation "'repeat' x 'until' y 'end'" :=
         (CRepeat x y)
            (in custom com at level 0,
             x at level 99, y at level 99).
Inductive ceval : com -> state -> state -> Prop :=
  | E RepeatEnd : forall st st' b c,
      st =[ c ]=> st' ->
      beval st' b = true ->
      st =[ repeat c until b end ]=> st'
  | E RepeatLoop : forall st st' st' b c,
      st =[ c ]=> st' ->
      beval st' b = false ->
      st' = [ repeat c until b end ]=> st'' ->
      st =[ repeat c until b end ]=> st''
```

改证明



```
Theorem ceval_deterministic': forall c st st1 st2,
    st =[ c ]=> st1 ->
    st =[ c ]=> st2 ->
    st1 = st2.
Proof.
  intros c st st1 st2 E1 E2.
  generalize dependent st2;
  induction E1; intros st2 E2; inversion E2; subst;
  try find_eqn; try find_rwd; auto.
Qed.
```

需要交换顺序是因为repart需要先应用find_eqn找到等价状态之后才能推出矛盾

复习:程序运行的例子



```
Example ceval_example1: empty_st =[
    X := 2;
    if (X <= 1) then Y := 3
    else Z := 4 end
]=> (Z !-> 4 ; X !-> 2).
```

能否让Cog自动推出这个参数?

采用eapply



Existential variable 存在变量

```
Proof.
                                               存在变量
  eapply E Seq.
  (* (1/2) empty_st =[ X := 2 ]=> ?st'
     (2/2) ?st' = [ if X <= 1 then \overline{Y} := 3 else Z := 4 end ]=>
          (Z !-> 4; X !-> 2) *)
  - (* empty st =[ X := 2 ]=> ?st' *)
    apply E Ass.
    (* aeval empty_st 2 = ?n *)
    reflexivity.
  - (* (X !-> aeval empty st 2)
       =[ if X <= 1 then Y := 3 else Z := 4 end ]=>
       (Z !-> 4; X !-> 2) *)
    apply E IfFalse. reflexivity.
    apply E Ass. reflexivity.
Qed.
```

存在变量会在后续规则应用中被实例化。 其他生成apply的策略也有e-版本,比如exists, constructor, auto, assumption

采用eapply



proof object中同样包含存在变量

eauto例子



```
Hint Constructors ceval : core.
                                              使得一些定义对
Hint Transparent state total map : core.
                                              auto策略透明(自
                                              动被展开)*
Example ceval'_example1'':
  empty st =[
   X := 2;
   if (X <= 1)
                                   (* info eauto: *)
     then Y := 3
                                   simple eapply E_Seq.
     else 7 := 4
                                    simple apply E Ass.
   end
                                     simple apply @eq refl.
  ]=> (Z !-> 4 ; X !-> 2).
                                     simple apply E_IfFalse.
Proof.
                                      simple apply @eq_refl.
  info eauto.
                                      simple apply E_Ass.
Oed.
                                       simple apply @eq refl.
```

^{*} Transparent和unfold有时可以互换,具体区别不是很清楚(手册中写得很模糊),不过用Transparent的时候不会生成显式的unfold命令。



```
Lemma silly1 : forall (P : nat -> nat -> Prop) (Q : nat -> Prop),
  (forall x y : nat, P x y) ->
    (forall x y : nat, P x y -> Q x) ->
    Q 42.
Proof.
  intros P Q HP HQ. eapply HQ.
  (* P 42 ?y *)
  apply HP.
  (* There are unfocused goals. *)
Fail Qed.
  (* Some unresolved existential variables remain *)
```

存在变量在Qed之前必须被赋值

如何解决这个问题?



```
Lemma silly1 : forall (P : nat -> nat -
> Prop) (Q : nat -> Prop),
  (forall x y : nat, P x y) ->
    (forall x y : nat, P x y -> Q x) ->
    Q 42.
Proof.
  intros P Q HP HQ. eapply HQ.
  (* P 42 ?y *)
  apply (HP _ 1).
Qed.
```

实际传入值(当然,eapply的意义也就没了)。



```
Lemma silly2:
  forall (P : nat -> nat -> Prop) (Q : nat -> Prop),
  (exists y, P 42 y) ->
  (forall x y : nat, P x y -> Q x) ->
 0 42.
Proof.
  intros P Q HP HQ. eapply HQ.
  (* P 42 ?y *)
  destruct HP as [y HP'].
  (*
   y: nat
    HP': P 42 y
  Fail apply HP'.
  (* "y" is not in its scope *)
```

存在变量实例化之后不能包含引入时还没被定义的变量



因为y是作用域不包括?y

如何解决?



```
Lemma silly2_fixed :
  forall (P : nat -> nat -> Prop) (Q : nat -> Prop),
  (exists y, P 42 y) ->
  (forall x y : nat, P x y -> Q x) ->
  Q 42.
Proof.
  intros P Q HP HQ. destruct HP as [y HP'].
  eapply HQ. apply HP'.
Qed.
```

通过交换destruct和eapply的顺序可简单解决



```
Lemma silly2_fixed :
  forall (P : nat -> nat -> Prop) (Q : nat -> Prop),
  (exists y, P 42 y) ->
  (forall x y : nat, P x y -> Q x) ->
  Q 42.
Proof.
  intros P Q HP HQ. destruct HP as [y HP'].
  eapply HQ. eassumption.
Qed.
```

最后一步也可以换成eassumption(注意因为涉及存在变量,assumption不工作)

作业



• 无(毕竟是让电脑干活的一章)