

软件科学基础

SmallStep: Small-Step Operational Semantics

熊英飞 北京大学

大步法 vs 小步法



- 之前所学的语义被称为 "大步法(Big-Step)" 语义
 - 直接一步给出程序执行的结果
 - 因为定义简单且简化证明,也被称为"自然语义"
- 但无法展现程序中间的执行过程
 - 交互式程序(运行不终止)
 - 中间卡住的程序执行状态
 - 并行程序语义
- · 小步法(Small-Step): 定义程序单步执行的语义

小型语言



语法

大步法 语义

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\text{C n ==> n}} & \text{(E_Const)} \\ \\ t_1 ==> n_1 \\ \\ t_2 ==> n_2 \\ \\ \hline \text{P t}_1 \ t_2 ==> n_1 + n_2 \end{array} \tag{E_Plus)}$$

小型语言



大步法语义 定义为函数

```
Fixpoint evalF (t : tm) : nat :=
  match t with
  | C n => n
  | P t1 t2 => evalF t1 + evalF t2
  end.
```

大步法语义 定义为关系

小步法语义



- 每次将一个可直接计算的子表达式换成常量
- 常量不用进一步计算
- 从左往右的顺序计算





```
Inductive step : tm -> tm -> Prop :=
    | ST_PlusConstConst : forall n1 n2,
        P (C n1) (C n2) --> C (n1 + n2)
    | ST_Plus1 : forall t1 t1' t2,
        t1 --> t1' ->
        P t1 t2 --> P t1' t2
    | ST_Plus2 : forall n1 t2 t2',
        t2 --> t2' ->
        P (C n1) t2 --> P (C n1) t2'
where " t '-->' t' " := (step t t').
```

语义的性质:确定性



```
Definition relation (X : Type) := X -> X -> Prop.

Definition deterministic {X : Type} (R : relation X) := forall x y1 y2 : X, R x y1 -> R x y2 -> y1 = y2.

Theorem step_deterministic: deterministic step.
```

确定性证明



```
Proof.
  unfold deterministic. intros x y1 y2 Hy1 Hy2.
  (* Hy1: x --> y1
        Hy2: x --> y2
        Goal: y1 = y2 *)
  generalize dependent y2.
  induction Hy1; intros y2 Hy2.
  - (* ST_PlusConstConst *) inversion Hy2; subst.
        + (* ST_PlusConstConst *) reflexivity.
        + (* ST_Plus1 *) inversion H2.
        + (* ST_Plus2 *) inversion H2.
```

确定性证明



```
- (* ST Plus1 *) inversion Hy2; subst.
    + (* ST PlusConstConst *)
      inversion Hy1.
    + (* ST_Plus1 *)
      rewrite <- (IHHy1 t1'0).
      reflexivity. assumption.
    + (* ST Plus2 *)
      inversion Hy1.
  - (* ST_Plus2 *) inversion Hy2; subst.
    + (* ST_PlusConstConst *)
      inversion Hy1.
    + (* ST Plus1 *) inversion H2.
    + (* ST Plus2 *)
      rewrite <- (IHHy1 t2'0).
      reflexivity. assumption.
Qed.
```

简化证明



- 证明需要反复调用inversion推出矛盾
- 定义策略在任意命题上反复应用n次inversion

```
Ltac solve_by_inverts n :=
  match goal with | H : ?T |- _ =>
  match type of T with Prop =>
    solve [
      inversion H;
      match n with S (S (?n')) =>
        subst; solve_by_inverts (S n')
      end ]
  end end.

Ltac solve_by_invert :=
  solve_by_inverts 1.
```

```
|-: 匹配目标(复习)
match type of X with
Type: 匹配类型
solve[策略]: 如果策略
没有完成当前目标证明,
就报错
(避免进入执行完策略
但没有证明目标的情况)
```

简化证明



```
Theorem step_deterministic_alt: deterministic step.
Proof.
  intros x y1 y2 Hy1 Hy2.
  generalize dependent y2.
  induction Hy1; intros y2 Hy2;
    inversion Hy2; subst; try solve_by_invert.
  - (* ST_PlusConstConst *) reflexivity.
  - (* ST_Plus1 *)
    apply IHHy1 in H2. rewrite H2. reflexivity.
  - (* ST_Plus2 *)
    apply IHHy1 in H2. rewrite H2. reflexivity.
Qed.
```

区分正常结束状态



如果当前状态不能再往下执行,如何知道已经是 正常结束还是程序出现错误?

```
Inductive value : tm -> Prop :=
   | v_const : forall n, value (C n).
```

• 用值来改写语义规则

改写Coq定义



```
Reserved Notation "t'-->'t' " (at level 40).
Inductive step : tm -> tm -> Prop :=
  | ST_PlusConstConst : forall n1 n2,
         P (C n1) (C n2)
      --> C (n1 + n2)
  | ST_Plus1 : forall t1 t1' t2,
        t1 --> t1' ->
        P t1 t2 --> P t1' t2
  ST_Plus2 : forall v1 t2 t2',
       value v1 ->
       t2 --> t2' ->
        P v1 t2 --> P v1 t2'
  where " t '-->' t' " := (step t t').
```

Strong Progress



```
Theorem strong progress: forall t,
  value t \ \ (exists\ t',\ t --> t').
Proof.
  induction t.
  - (* C *) left. apply v_const.
  - (* P *) right.
   (* IHt1: value t1 \/ (exists t' : tm, t1 --> t')
       IHt2: value t2 \/ (exists t' : tm, t2 --> t')
       exists t' : tm, P t1 t2 --> t' *)
    destruct IHt1 as [IHt1 | [t1' Ht1] ].
    + (* 1 *) destruct IHt2 as [IHt2 | [t2' Ht2] ].
      * (* 1 *) inversion IHt1. inversion IHt2.
        exists (C (n + n0)). apply ST PlusConstConst.
      * (* r *)
        exists (P t1 t2'). apply ST_Plus2. apply IHt1. apply Ht2.
    + (* r *)
      exists (P t1' t2). apply ST_Plus1. apply Ht1.
Qed.
```

标准型



- 定义不能约简的项为标准型
- Strong Progress告诉我们标准型是值
- 那么值一定是标准型吗?

多步约简关系



- 如何从小步法语义得到程序运行结果?
 - 首先定义多步约简关系
 - 然后将程序运行结果定义为多步约简关系可达的值

多步约简关系



- 多步约简是自反的,即包括0步约简
- 多步约简包含所有的单步约简

```
Theorem multi_R : forall (X : Type) (R : relation X) (x y : X),
    R x y -> (multi R) x y.
```

• 多步约简是传递的

```
Theorem multi_trans :
   forall (X : Type) (R : relation X) (x y z : X),
      multi R x y ->
      multi R y z ->
      multi R x z.
```

标准化



• 非标准型是否一定会规约到标准型?

```
Definition normalizing {X : Type} (R : relation X) :=
  forall t, exists t',
    (multi R) t t' /\ normal_form R t'.
Theorem step_normalizing :
  normalizing step.
```

·证明思路:在tm的定义上归纳

大步法和小步法的等价性



```
Theorem eval__multistep : forall t n,
  t ==> n -> t -->* C n.
```

- •证明思路:在==>上做归纳
- 具体证明留作作业
- 课本提供了两个引理帮助证明

大步法和小步法的等价性



```
Definition normal_form_of (t t' : tm) :=
   (t -->* t' /\ normal_form step t').

Theorem multistep__eval : forall t t',
   normal_form_of t t' -> exists n, t' = C n /\ t ==> n.
```

- •证明思路:假设t'=Cn,然后对-->*做归纳
- 课本提供如下引理来辅助证明

IMP的小步法语义: aexp



• 需要将状态加入约简关系

```
Inductive aval : aexp -> Prop :=
  av_num : forall n, aval (ANum n).
Reserved Notation " a '/' st '-->a' a' "
                  (at level 40, st at level 39).
Inductive astep (st : state) : aexp -> aexp -> Prop :=
  AS_Id : forall i,
     AId i / st -->a ANum (st i)
  AS Plus1: forall a1 a1' a2,
      a1 / st -->a a1' ->
      (APlus a1 a2) / st -->a (APlus a1' a2)
  AS_Plus2 : forall v1 a2 a2',
      aval v1 ->
      a2 / st -->a a2' ->
      (APlus v1 a2) / st -->a (APlus v1 a2')
```

IMP的小步法语义: aexp



IMP的小步法语义: aexp



IMP的小步法语义: bexp



```
Reserved Notation "b'/'st'-->b'b'"
                  (at level 40, st at level 39).
Inductive bstep (st : state) : bexp -> bexp -> Prop :=
BS Eq1 : forall a1 a1' a2,
   a1 / st -->a a1' ->
    (BEq a1 a2) / st -->b (BEq a1' a2)
BS_Eq2 : forall v1 a2 a2',
   aval v1 ->
    a2 / st -->a a2' ->
    (BEq v1 a2) / st -->b (BEq v1 a2')
BS Eq : forall n1 n2,
    (BEq (ANum n1) (ANum n2)) / st -->b
    (if (n1 =? n2) then BTrue else BFalse)
```

IMP的小步法语义: bexp



```
BS LtEq1 : forall a1 a1' a2,
   a1 / st -->a a1' ->
   (BLe a1 a2) / st -->b (BLe a1' a2)
BS_LtEq2 : forall v1 a2 a2',
   aval v1 ->
   a2 / st -->a a2' ->
   (BLe v1 a2) / st -->b (BLe v1 a2')
BS LtEq : forall n1 n2,
   (BLe (ANum n1) (ANum n2)) / st -->b
            (if (n1 <=? n2) then BTrue else BFalse)</pre>
BS NotStep : forall b1 b1',
   b1 / st -->b b1' ->
   (BNot b1) / st -->b (BNot b1')
BS_NotTrue : (BNot BTrue) / st -->b BFalse
BS NotFalse : (BNot BFalse) / st -->b BTrue
```

IMP的小步法语义: bexp



```
| BS_AndStep : forall b1 b1' b2,
    b1 / st -->b b1' ->
        (BAnd b1 b2) / st -->b (BAnd b1' b2)
| BS_AndTrueStep : forall b2 b2',
    b2 / st -->b b2' ->
        (BAnd BTrue b2) / st -->b (BAnd BTrue b2')
| BS_AndFalse : forall b2,
        (BAnd BFalse b2) / st -->b BFalse
| BS_AndTrueTrue : (BAnd BTrue BTrue) / st -->b BTrue
| BS_AndTrueFalse : (BAnd BTrue BFalse) / st -->b BFalse
where "b'/' st '-->b' b' " := (bstep st b b').
```

IMP的小步法语义: cmd



- 不同点之一: cmd会修改状态
 - 在约简关系的前后都加入状态
- 不同点之二: 表达式约简成常量值, 那么命令应该约简成什么?
 - 单个命令最后约简为skip, Sequence负责去掉skip

IMP的小步法语义: cmd



```
Reserved Notation " t '/' st '-->' t' '/' st' "
                  (at level 40, st at level 39, t' at level 39).
Inductive cstep : (com * state) -> (com * state) -> Prop :=
  | CS AssStep : forall st i a1 a1',
      a1 / st -->a a1' ->
      <{ i := a1 }> / st --> <{ i := a1' }> / st
  | CS Ass : forall st i n,
      <{ i := ANum n }> / st --> <{ skip }> / (i !-> n ; st)
  CS SeqStep: forall st c1 c1' st' c2,
      c1 / st --> c1' / st' ->
      <{ c1 ; c2 }> / st --> <{ c1' ; c2 }> / st'
  CS SeqFinish : forall st c2,
      <{ skip ; c2 }> / st --> c2 / st
```

IMP的小步法语义: cmd



```
| CS IfStep : forall st b1 b1' c1 c2,
    b1 / st -->b b1' ->
    <{ if b1 then c1 else c2 end }> / st
    -->
    <{ if b1' then c1 else c2 end }> / st
| CS IfTrue : forall st c1 c2,
    <{ if true then c1 else c2 end }> / st --> c1 / st
CS IfFalse : forall st c1 c2,
    <{ if false then c1 else c2 end }> / st --> c2 / st
| CS While : forall st b1 c1,
    <{ while b1 do c1 end }> / st
    -->
    <{ if b1 then c1; while b1 do c1 end else skip end }> / st
where " t '/' st '-->' t' '/' st' " := (cstep (t,st) (t',st')).
```

定义并行IMP



```
Inductive com : Type :=
  | CSkip : com
   CAss : string -> aexp -> com
   CSeq : com -> com -> com
  CIf : bexp -> com -> com -> com
  CWhile : bexp -> com -> com
  CPar : com -> com -> com.
Notation "'par' c1 'with' c2 'end'" :=
         (CPar c1 c2)
            (in custom com at level 0, c1 at level
99, c2 at level 99).
```

为什么par的语义不容易用大步法定义?

定义并行IMP



论证并行程序的性质



```
Definition cmultistep := multi cstep.
Definition par_loop : com :=
  <{
  par
  Y := 1
 with
   while (Y = 0) do
     X := X + 1
    end
  end}>.
Theorem par_loop_any_X:
  forall n, exists st',
    par_loop / empty_st -->* <{skip}> / st'
    /\ st' X = n.
```



```
Example step_example1 :
    (P (C 3) (P (C 3) (C 4)))
    -->* (C 10).
Proof.
    apply multi_step with (P (C 3) (C 7)).
        apply ST_Plus2.
        apply v_const.
        apply ST_PlusConstConst.
    apply multi_step with (C 10).
        apply ST_PlusConstConst.
    apply multi_refl.
Qed.
```

全是apply,可以用auto解决



```
Hint Constructors step value multi: core.
Example step_example1':
    (P (C 3) (P (C 3) (C 4)))
    -->* (C 10).
Proof.
    eauto.
Qed.
```

但是,这样无法展现小步法的中间执行过程, 也无法在不给出结果的情况下求值



- 首先,不将multi加入auto可以搜索的Constructor 范围中,可以写出如下证明。
- 证明的每一行和一步约简对应

```
Hint Constructors step value: core.
Example step_example1':
    (P (C 3) (P (C 3) (C 4)))
    -->* (C 10).
Proof.
    eapply multi_step. auto. simpl.
    eapply multi_step. auto. simpl.
    apply multi_refl.
Qed.
```



• 用策略来自动化该过程并打印每一步的结果

```
Tactic Notation "print_goal" :=
   match goal with |- ?x => idtac x end.

Tactic Notation "normalize" :=
   repeat (print_goal; eapply multi_step;
        [ (eauto 10; fail) | (instantiate; simpl)]);
   apply multi_refl.
```

Idtac: 打印对应符号

Instantiate: 替换存在变量(在句号的时候自动做,但此处无句号,所以手动)

- 1. [XXX | XXX]是什么意思?
- 2. 为什么eauto 10之后要接fail?

答案1



- 分号策略的标准型: T; [T1 | T2 | ... | Tn]
 - 首先应用T,然后把T1..Tn分别应用到T生成的子目标 上
- 应用eapply multi_step之后会生成两个目标
 - 原表达式 --> ?y
 - ?y -->* 最终目标
- 第一个用eauto证明,第二个只需要simpl即可

答案2



- T1;T2: 将T2应用到T1所产生的每一个Goal上
- (eauto 10; fail)在eauto完成证明的时候不会失败,否则会失败
 - fail在当前没有goal的时候不会执行
 - 和之前solve起的效果类似

采用normalize



采用normalize



• 甚至可以不提供最终结果就可以靠normalize直接 约简到标准型

```
Example step_example1''' : exists e',
   (P (C 3) (P (C 3) (C 4)))
   -->* e'.
Proof.
   eexists. normalize.
Qed.
```

作业



- 完成SmallStep中standard非optional并不属于 Additional Exercises的8道习题
 - 请使用最新英文版教材
 - 如有时间,推荐完成par_body_n__Sn