In [216]:

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import json
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import networkx as nx

pd.set_option('display.max_columns', None)

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline

from networkx.algorithms.components import number_connected_components
from networkx.algorithms.cuts import normalized_cut_size
```

Tasks - Diagnostics:

- We'll start by building a classifier that predicts whether a beer is highly alcoholic (ABV greater than 7 percent).
- First, randomly shuffle the data and split it into 50%/50% train/test fractions.

In [100]:

```
#Load beer dataset
def parseData(fname):
    for 1 in open(fname):
        yield eval(1)

beer = list(parseData("data/beer_50000.json"))
beer = pd.DataFrame(beer)
beer.head(1)
```

Out[100]:

	review/appearance	beer/style	review/palate	review/taste	beer/name	review/timeUnix	beer/A
0	2.5	Hefeweizen	1.5	1.5	Sausa Weizen	1234817823	
4							•

Problem 1

- We'll use the style of the beer to predict its ABV.
- Construct a one-hot encoding of the beer style, for those categories that appear in more than 1,000 reviews.

localhost:8889/lab 1/15

In [101]:

```
#Filtering beer style categoreis more frequent than 1000 reviews.
beer_style_1 = list(beer['beer/style'].value_counts(dropna=False)[beer['beer/style'].value_counts()>1000].index)
```

In [102]:

```
#One-hot-Econding beer styles.
for i in beer_style_1:
   beer[i] = beer['beer/style'] == i
beer.head(1)
```

Out[102]:

```
review/appearance beer/style review/palate review/taste beer/name review/timeUnix beer/A

0 2.5 Hefeweizen 1.5 1.5 Sausa Weizen 1234817823
```

- Train a logistic regressor using this one-hot encoding to predict whether beers have an ABV greater than 7
 percent (i.e., d['beer/ABV'] > 7).
- Train the classifier on the training set and report its performance in terms of the accuracy and Balanced Error Rate (BER) on the test set, using a regularization constant of C = 10.
- · For all experiments use the class weight='balanced' option

In [103]:

```
#Creating the parameter abv_gt_7: true if beer/ABV > 7 false otherwise
beer['abv_gt_7'] = beer['beer/ABV'] > 7
```

In [104]:

```
#Train-Test-Split
X = beer[beer_style_1]
y = beer['abv_gt_7']

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
```

In [105]:

```
#Fit the Model
logreg = LogisticRegression(C=10, class_weight='balanced')
logreg.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

Out[105]:

```
LogisticRegression(C=10, class weight='balanced')
```

localhost:8889/lab 2/15

In [106]:

```
#Find the accuracy of the model on the test data
y_pred = logreg.predict(X_test)
print('Accuracy of logistic regression classifier on the test set: '+ str(logreg.score(X_t est, y_test)))
```

Accuracy of logistic regression classifier on the test set: 0.84996

In [107]:

```
#Find the Balanced Error Rate of the model on the test data.
cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)
ber = 0.5*((cm[0][1]/(cm[0][0]+cm[0][1]))+(cm[1][0]/(cm[1][0]+cm[1][1])))
print("Balanced Error Rate: " + str(ber))
```

Balanced Error Rate: 0.15950823088547797

Problem 2

- Extend your model to include two additional features: (1) a vector of five ratings (review/aroma, review/overall, etc.); and (2) the review length (in characters).
- The length feature should be scaled to be between 0 and 1 by dividing by the maximum length.
- Using the same value of C from the previous question, report the BER of the new classifier

In [108]:

```
#Feature engineer parameter revieww_length
beer['review_length'] = [len(x) for x in beer['review/text'].str.split()]
beer['review_length'] /= max(beer['review_length'])
```

In [109]:

```
beer.columns
```

Out[109]:

localhost:8889/lab 3/15

In [110]:

```
#Feature selection
col = beer_style_l +['review/appearance', 'review/palate', 'review/taste', 'review/overal
l', 'review/aroma', 'review_length']
```

In [111]:

```
#Train-Test-Split
X = beer[col]
y = beer['abv_gt_7']

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
```

In [112]:

```
#Fit the Model
logreg = LogisticRegression(C=10, class_weight='balanced',max_iter=1000)
logreg.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

Out[112]:

LogisticRegression(C=10, class_weight='balanced', max_iter=1000)

In [113]:

```
#Find the accuracy of the model on the test data
y_pred = logreg.predict(X_test)
print('Accuracy of logistic regression classifier on the test set: '+ str(logreg.score(X_test, y_test)))
```

Accuracy of logistic regression classifier on the test set: 0.86212

In [114]:

```
#Find the Balanced Error Rate of the model on the test data.
cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)
ber = 0.5*((cm[0][1]/(cm[0][0]+cm[0][1]))+(cm[1][0]/(cm[1][0]+cm[1][1])))
print("Balanced Error Rate: " + str(ber))
```

Balanced Error Rate: 0.1422613250925131

Problem 3

- Implement a complete regularization pipeline with the balanced classifier.
- Split your test data from above in half so that you have 50%/25%/25% train/validation/test fractions. Consider values of C in the range {10^-6, 10^-5, 10^-4, 10^-3}.
- Report (or plot) the train, validation, and test BER for each value of C. Based on these values, which
 classifier would you select (in terms of generalization performance) and why.

localhost:8889/lab 4/15

In [147]:

```
#Train-Test-Validation-Split
X = beer[col]
y = beer['abv_gt_7']

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X_train, y_train, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
state=1)
```

In [148]:

```
#Cross Validation
def find ber(x, y, c):
    logreg = LogisticRegression(C=c, class weight='balanced', max iter=1000)
    logreg.fit(X_train, y_train)
   y_pred = logreg.predict(x)
    cm = confusion_matrix(y, y_pred)
    ber = 0.5*((cm[0][1]/(cm[0][0]+cm[0][1]))+(cm[1][0]/(cm[1][0]+cm[1][1])))
    return ber
train ber 1 = []
test ber 1 = []
val ber 1 = []
c range = [1e-6, 1e-5, 1e-4, 1e-3]
for c in c range:
   train_ber_l.append(find_ber(X_train, y_train,c))
    test ber 1.append(find ber(X test,y test,c))
    val ber l.append(find ber(X val,y val,c))
```

In [149]:


```
#List of computed BER (4 in each set, 12 total)
print(train_ber_l)
print(test_ber_l)
print(val_ber_l)
```

```
[0.32418952875267754, 0.3232863733728786, 0.3142319059976932, 0.2377664668808 7]
[0.31353128599342034, 0.31323323090170563, 0.30290010489865693, 0.23092492561 203143]
[0.3190482228677, 0.3186307293963302, 0.3093905063241834, 0.2348309055670827]
```

localhost:8889/lab 5/15

In [150]:

```
#Plot the result
plt.xlabel('Regularization Constant C')
plt.ylabel('Balanced Error Rate')
plt.plot(c_range, train_ber_l, label="train BER")
plt.plot(c_range, val_ber_l, label="validation BER")
plt.plot(c_range, test_ber_l, label="test BER")
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```



From the graph above, I would select $C = 10^{-3}$ because all training set, validation set and test set data shows a negative linear relationship between C and BER. That is to say, the balanced error rate decreases with increasing C. Furthermore, since all three plots show the same trend, we cannot find patterns of overfitting. Therefore, if we want to minimize the BER, we chooses the largest c among the range, which is 10^{-3} .

Problem 4

An ablation study measures the marginal benefit of various features by re-training the model with one feature 'ablated' (i.e., deleted) at a time. Considering each of the three features in your classifier above (i.e., beer style, ratings, and length), report the BER with only the other two features and the third deleted.

In [151]:

```
#Feature selection
ratings = ['review/appearance', 'review/palate', 'review/taste', 'review/overall', 'revie
w/aroma']
length = ['review_length']
```

First let's use only beer style and ratings as our features

localhost:8889/lab 6/15

In [152]:

```
#Train-Test-Validation-Split
X = beer[beer_style_l+ratings]
y = beer['abv_gt_7']

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X_train, y_train, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
state=1)
```

In [153]:

```
#Find the BER for each c
train_ber_l = []
test_ber_l = []
val_ber_l = []

c_range = [1e-6, 1e-5, 1e-4, 1e-3]

for c in c_range:
    train_ber_l.append(find_ber(X_train, y_train,c))
    test_ber_l.append(find_ber(X_test,y_test,c))
    val_ber_l.append(find_ber(X_val,y_val,c))
```

In [154]:


```
#List of computed BER (4 in each set, 12 total)
print(train_ber_1)
print(test_ber_1)
print(val_ber_1)
```

```
[0.32459965603888613, 0.32395601623002146, 0.3143807155215027, 0.237531152166 74905]
[0.3138979245405383, 0.31349106394819326, 0.3032110714050654, 0.2309456712798 4209]
[0.31953176558795054, 0.31889952849989095, 0.30929438911245105, 0.23483090556 70827]
```

localhost:8889/lab 7/15

In [155]:

```
#Plot the result
plt.xlabel('Regularization Constant C')
plt.ylabel('Balanced Error Rate')
plt.plot(c_range, train_ber_l, label="train BER")
plt.plot(c_range, val_ber_l, label="validation BER")
plt.plot(c_range, test_ber_l, label="test BER")
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```



Next let's use only beer style and length as our features

In [156]:

```
#Train-Test-Validation-Split
X = beer[beer_style_l+length]
y = beer['abv_gt_7']

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X_train, y_train, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
state=1)
```

localhost:8889/lab

In [157]:

```
#Find the BER for each c
train_ber_l = []
test_ber_l = []
val_ber_l = []

c_range = [1e-6, 1e-5, 1e-4, 1e-3]

for c in c_range:
    train_ber_l.append(find_ber(X_train, y_train,c))
    test_ber_l.append(find_ber(X_test,y_test,c))
    val_ber_l.append(find_ber(X_val,y_val,c))
```

In [158]:

```
#List of computed BER (4 in each set, 12 total)
print(train_ber_1)
print(test_ber_1)
print(val_ber_1)
```


```
[0.2687268289668809, 0.1641062675070028, 0.1641062675070028, 0.16410626750700 28]
```

[0.26978907433517896, 0.15950823088547797, 0.15950823088547797, 0.15950823088 547797]

[0.2610092129832204, 0.1637920202994615, 0.1637920202994615, 0.16379202029946 15]

In [159]:

```
#Plot the result
plt.xlabel('Regularization Constant C')
plt.ylabel('Balanced Error Rate')
plt.plot(c_range, train_ber_l, label="train BER")
plt.plot(c_range, val_ber_l, label="validation BER")
plt.plot(c_range, test_ber_l, label="test BER")
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```



localhost:8889/lab 9/15

Lastly let's use only ratings and length as our features

In [160]:

```
#Train-Test-Validation-Split
X = beer[ratings+length]
y = beer['abv_gt_7']

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X_train, y_train, test_size=0.5, random_state=1)
state=1)
```

In [161]:

```
#Find the BER for each c
train_ber_1 = []
test_ber_1 = []
val_ber_1 = []

c_range = [1e-6, 1e-5, 1e-4, 1e-3]

for c in c_range:
    train_ber_1.append(find_ber(X_train, y_train,c))
    test_ber_1.append(find_ber(X_test,y_test,c))
    val_ber_1.append(find_ber(X_val,y_val,c))
```

In [162]:


```
#List of computed BER (4 in each set, 12 total)
print(train_ber_1)
print(test_ber_1)
print(val_ber_1)
```

```
[0.34338492750041194, 0.34343513140550336, 0.3421375535508321, 0.330897542840 66564]
[0.33525386074596447, 0.3352227422442485, 0.33306015740447686, 0.322679302869 2113]
[0.34282694230362865, 0.3424424734566991, 0.34093318770103853, 0.327551221655 2888]
```

localhost:8889/lab 10/15

In [163]:

```
#Plot the result
plt.xlabel('Regularization Constant C')
plt.ylabel('Balanced Error Rate')
plt.plot(c_range, train_ber_l, label="train BER")
plt.plot(c_range, val_ber_l, label="validation BER")
plt.plot(c_range, test_ber_l, label="test BER")
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```



Task (Community Detection):

Download the Facebook ego-network data.


Problem 6

 How many connected components are in the graph, and how many nodes are in the largest connected component

localhost:8889/lab

In [181]:

```
#Load Data
edges = set()
nodes = set()
for edge in open("data/egonet.txt", 'r'):
    x,y = edge.split()
    x,y = int(x), int(y)
    edges.add((x,y))
    edges.add((y,x))
    nodes.add(x)
    nodes.add(y)
G = nx.Graph()
for e in edges:
    G.add_edge(e[0],e[1])
nx.draw(G)
plt.show()
plt.clf()
```





<Figure size 432x288 with 0 Axes>

In [184]:

```
\label{lem:connected_components} print("There are " + str(number_connected_components(G)) + "connected_components in the graph.")
```

There are 3connected components in the graph.

In [193]:

```
print("There are " + str(len(sorted(nx.connected_components(G))[0])) + " nodes in the larg est connected component.")
```

There are 40 nodes in the largest connected component.

localhost:8889/lab 12/15

Next we'll implement a 'greedy' version of normalized cuts, using just the largest connected component found above. First, split it into two equal halves, just by taking the 50% of nodes with the lowest and 50% with the highest IDs.

In [346]:

```
#Split into lower half and higher half
largest_component = sorted(nx.connected_components(G))[0]
half_index = int(len(largest_component)/2)
lower_half = sorted(largest_component)[:half_index]
higher_half = sorted(largest_component)[half_index:]
```

Problem 7

What is the normalized-cut cost of the 50/50 split you found above.

```
In [347]:
```

```
\label{limits}  \mbox{print("The normalized-cut cost of the 50/50 split is " +str(0.5*normalized_cut_size(G, higher_half, lower_half)))}
```

The normalized-cut cost of the 50/50 split is 0.4224058769513316

Now we'll implement our greedy algorithm as follows: during each step, we'll move one node from one cluster to the other, choosing whichever move minimizes the resulting normalized cut cost (in case of a tie, pick the node with the lower ID). Repeat this until the cost can't be reduced any further.

localhost:8889/lab 13/15

In [350]:

```
min ncc = float('inf')
cluster 1 = lower half
cluster 2 = higher half
decreasing_ncc = True
while decreasing ncc:
    min_ncc_temp = min_ncc
    minimizing_node = None
    for n1 in cluster 1:
        temp_1 = cluster_1.copy()
        temp_1.remove(n1)
        temp_2 = cluster_2.copy()
        temp_2.append(n1)
        ncc temp = 0.5*normalized cut size(G, temp 1, temp 2)
        if ncc_temp < min_ncc_temp:</pre>
            min ncc temp = ncc temp
            minimizing_node = n1
        if ncc temp == min ncc temp:
            min ncc temp = ncc temp
            minimizing_node = min(minimizing_node, n1)
    for n1 in cluster 2:
        temp_1 = cluster_1.copy()
        temp 1.append(n1)
        temp 2 = cluster 2.copy()
        temp 2.remove(n1)
        ncc_temp = 0.5*normalized_cut_size(G, temp_1, temp_2)
        if ncc temp < min ncc temp:</pre>
            min_ncc_temp = ncc_temp
            minimizing_node = n1
        if ncc_temp == min_ncc_temp:
            min ncc temp = ncc temp
            minimizing node = min(minimizing node, n1)
    if minimizing node in cluster 1:
        cluster_1.remove(minimizing_node)
        cluster_2.append(minimizing_node)
    if minimizing node in cluster 2:
        cluster_1.append(minimizing_node)
        cluster 2.remove(minimizing node)
    ncc = 0.5*normalized_cut_size(G, cluster_1, cluster_2)
    if ncc < min ncc:</pre>
        min_ncc = ncc
    else:
        decreasing ncc = False
```

localhost:8889/lab 14/15

print(cluster 1, cluster 2, min ncc)

[697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828] [823, 825, 830, 840, 856, 861, 863, 864, 869, 876, 878, 88 0, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 890, 893, 729, 804] 0.30683403068340304 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823] [825, 830, 840, 856, 861, 863, 864, 869, 876, 878, 88 0, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 890, 893, 729, 804] 0.26663264655227403 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830] [825, 840, 856, 861, 863, 864, 869, 876, 878, 88 0, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 890, 893, 729, 804] 0.2257691215965414 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830, 840] [825, 856, 861, 863, 864, 869, 876, 878, 88 0, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 890, 893, 729, 804] 0.17876489707475623 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830, 840, 880] [825, 856, 861, 863, 864, 869, 876, 87 8, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 890, 893, 729, 804] 0.1471436149357688 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830, 840, 880, 890] [825, 856, 861, 863, 864, 869, 87 6, 878, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 893, 729, 804] 0.12950012950012948 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830, 840, 880, 890, 869] [825, 856, 861, 863, 864, 87 6, 878, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 893, 729, 804] 0.111295801112958 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830, 840, 880, 890, 869, 856] [825, 861, 863, 864, 87 6, 878, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 893, 729, 804] 0.09817045961624274 [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 8 10, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830, 840, 880, 890, 869, 856] [825, 861, 863, 864, 87 6, 878, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 893, 729, 804] 0.09817045961624274

Problem 8

What are the elements of the split, and what is its normalized cut cost?

- Element in cluster 1: [697, 703, 708, 713, 719, 745, 747, 753, 769, 772, 774, 798, 800, 803, 805, 810, 811, 819, 828, 823, 830, 840, 880, 890, 869, 856]
- Element in cluster 2: [825, 861, 863, 864, 876, 878, 882, 884, 886, 888, 889, 893, 729, 804]
- Normalized cut cost: 0.09817045961624274.

In []:

localhost:8889/lab 15/15