
A Fully Bayesian Model for RNA-seq Data

Will Landau

Department of Statistics
Iowa State University

June 4, 2013

Contents

1	The Model	1
2	Full Conditional Distributions	2
3	Simplifying and Sampling From the Full Conditionals	3
3.1	$p(c_n \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	3
3.2	$p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2} \mid \dots\right)$ Truncated Gamma	4
3.3	$p(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	4
3.4	$p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \mid \dots\right)$ Gamma	5
3.5	$p(d \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	5
3.6	$p(\tau^2 \mid \dots)$: Gamma	6
3.7	$p(\phi_g \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	6
3.8	$p(\theta_\phi \mid \dots)$: Normal	7
3.9	$p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_\phi^2} \mid \dots\right)$: Truncated Gamma	8
3.10	$p(\alpha_g \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	8
3.11	$p(\theta_\alpha \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	9
3.12	$p(\sigma_\alpha \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	9
3.13	$p(\pi_\alpha \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	10
3.14	$p(\delta_g \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	10
3.15	$p(\theta_\delta \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	11
3.16	$p(\sigma_\delta \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	11
3.17	$p(\pi_\delta \mid \dots)$: Metropolis	11

1 The Model

Let $y_{g,n}$ be the expression level of gene g ($g = 1, \dots, G$) in library n ($n = 1, \dots, N$). Let $\mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g)$ be the function given by:

$$\mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g) = \begin{cases} \phi_g - \alpha_g & \text{library } n \text{ is in treatment group 1} \\ \phi_g + \delta_g & \text{library } n \text{ is in treatment group 2} \\ \phi_g + \alpha_g & \text{library } n \text{ is in treatment group 3} \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{g,n} &\sim \text{Poisson}(\exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \\ c_n &\sim \text{N}(0, \sigma_c^2) \\ \sigma_c &\sim \text{U}(0, \sigma_{c0}) \\ \varepsilon_{g,n} &\sim \text{N}(0, \sigma_g^2) \\ \sigma_g^2 &\sim \text{Inv-Gamma}\left(\text{shape} = \frac{d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right) \\ d &\sim \text{U}(0, d_0) \\ \tau^2 &\sim \text{Gamma}(\tau^2 \mid \text{shape} = a_\tau, \text{rate} = b_\tau) \\ \phi_g &\sim \text{N}(\theta_\phi, \sigma_\phi^2) \\ \theta_\phi &\sim \text{N}(0, \gamma_\phi^2) \\ \sigma_\phi &\sim \text{U}(0, \sigma_{\phi 0}) \\ \alpha_g &\sim \pi_\alpha^{1-I(\alpha_g)} [(1 - \pi_\alpha) \text{N}(\theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)]^{I(\alpha_g)} \\ \theta_\alpha &\sim \text{N}(0, \gamma_\alpha^2) \\ \sigma_\alpha &\sim \text{U}(0, \sigma_{\alpha 0}) \\ \pi_\alpha &\sim \text{Beta}(a_\alpha, b_\alpha) \\ \delta_g &\sim \pi_\delta^{1-I(\delta_g)} [(1 - \pi_\delta) \text{N}(\theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)]^{I(\delta_g)} \\ \theta_\delta &\sim \text{N}(0, \gamma_\delta^2) \\ \sigma_\delta &\sim \text{U}(0, \sigma_{\delta 0}) \\ \pi_\delta &\sim \text{Beta}(a_\delta, b_\delta) \end{aligned}$$

where:

- $I(x) = 0$ if $x = 0$ and 1 otherwise.
- Independence is implied unless otherwise specified.
- The parameters to the left of the “ \sim ” are implicitly conditioned on the parameters to the right.

2 Full Conditional Distributions

Let $k(n)$ be the treatment group of library n . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(c_n \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \right] \cdot \text{N}(c_n \mid 0, \sigma_c^2) \\
p(\sigma_c \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{n=1}^N \text{N}(c_n \mid 0, \sigma_c^2) \right] \cdot \text{U}(\sigma_c \mid 0, \sigma_{c0}) \\
p(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid \cdots) &= \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \cdot \text{N}(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid 0, \sigma_g^2) \\
p(\sigma_g^2 \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{n=1}^N \text{N}(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid 0, \sigma_g^2) \right] \cdot \text{Inv-Gamma} \left(\sigma_g^2 \mid \text{shape} = \frac{d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2} \right) \\
p(d \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{Inv-Gamma} \left(\sigma_g^2 \mid \text{shape} = \frac{d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2} \right) \right] \cdot \text{U}(d \mid 0, d_0) \\
p(\tau^2 \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{Inv-Gamma} \left(\sigma_g^2 \mid \text{shape} = \frac{d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2} \right) \right] \cdot \text{Gamma}(\tau^2 \mid \text{shape} = a_\tau, \text{rate} = b_\tau) \\
p(\phi_g \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{n=1}^N \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \right] \cdot \text{N}(\phi_g \mid \theta_\phi, \sigma_\phi^2) \\
p(\theta_\phi \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{N}(\phi_g \mid \theta_\phi, \sigma_\phi^2) \right] \cdot \text{N}(\theta_\phi \mid 0, \gamma_\phi^2) \\
p(\sigma_\phi \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{N}(\phi_g \mid \theta_\phi, \sigma_\phi^2) \right] \cdot \text{U}(\sigma_\phi \mid 0, \sigma_{\phi 0}) \\
p(\alpha_g \mid \cdots) &= \left[\prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \right] \pi_\alpha^{1-I(\alpha_g)} [(1 - \pi_\alpha) \text{N}(\theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)]^{I(\alpha_g)} \\
p(\theta_\alpha \mid \cdots) &= \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\alpha \text{I}(\alpha_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\alpha) \text{I}(\alpha_g \neq 0) \text{N}(\alpha_g \mid \theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)) \cdot \text{N}(\theta_\alpha \mid 0, \gamma_\alpha^2) \\
p(\sigma_\alpha \mid \cdots) &= \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\alpha \text{I}(\alpha_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\alpha) \text{I}(\alpha_g \neq 0) \text{N}(\alpha_g \mid \theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)) \cdot \text{U}(\sigma_\alpha \mid 0, \sigma_{\alpha 0}) \\
p(\pi_\alpha \mid \cdots) &= \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\alpha \text{I}(\alpha_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\alpha) \text{I}(\alpha_g \neq 0) \text{N}(\alpha_g \mid \theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)) \cdot \text{Beta}(\pi_\alpha \mid a_\alpha, b_\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\delta_g \mid \cdots) &= \prod_{k(n)=2} \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \delta_g, \delta_g))) \\
&\quad \times (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)) \\
p(\theta_\delta \mid \cdots) &= \prod_{k(n)=2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\theta_\delta \mid 0, \gamma_\delta^2) \\
p(\sigma_\delta \mid \cdots) &= \prod_{k(n)=2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)) \cdot \mathbf{U}(\sigma_\delta \mid 0, \sigma_{\delta 0}) \\
p(\pi_\delta \mid \cdots) &= \prod_{k(n)=2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)) \cdot \text{Beta}(\pi_\delta \mid a_\delta, b_\delta)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Simplifying and Sampling From the Full Conditionals

3.1 $p(c_n \mid \cdots)$: Metropolis

$$p(c_n \mid \cdots) = \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \right] \cdot \mathbf{N}(c_n \mid 0, \sigma_c^2)$$

which is nothing immediately recognizable. I will sample from this distribution in a Metropolis step using the kernel of this distribution (taking $\lambda_{g,n} = \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\prod_{g=1}^G \lambda_{g,n}^{y_{g,n}} \exp(-\lambda_{g,n}) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{c_n^2}{2\sigma_c^2}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\sum_{g=1}^G [y_{g,n} \log \lambda_{g,n} - \lambda_{g,n}] - \frac{c_n^2}{2\sigma_c^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum inside the exponent can be parallelized on the GPU.

3.2 $p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2} \mid \dots\right)$ Truncated Gamma

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\sigma_c \mid \dots) &= \left[\prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(c_n \mid 0, \sigma_c^2) \right] \cdot \mathcal{U}(\sigma_c \mid 0, \sigma_{c0}) \\
&\propto \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_c^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{c_n^2}{2\sigma_c^2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{I}(0 < \sigma_c < \sigma_{c0}) \\
&= (\sigma_c^2)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2\right) \cdot \mathcal{I}(0 < \sigma_c < \sigma_{c0})
\end{aligned}$$

which, for constants a and $b = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2$, can be written as

$$p(\sigma_c \mid \dots) = a \cdot (\sigma_c^2)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2} b\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < \sigma_c^2 < \sigma_{c0}^2)$$

Transformation: let $z = g(\sigma_c) = \sigma_c^2$ so that $g^{-1}(z) = \sqrt{z}$ and:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\sigma_c^2 = z \mid \dots) &= p(\sigma_c = g^{-1}(z) \mid \dots) \left| \frac{dg^{-1}(z)}{dz} \right| \\
&= a \cdot z^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{(\sqrt{z})^2} b\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < z < \sigma_{c0}^2) \left| -\frac{1}{2} z^{-1/2} \right| \\
&= \frac{a}{2} z^{-(N/2-1/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{z} b\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < z < \sigma_{c0}^2) \\
&= \text{Inv-Gamma}\left(z \mid \text{shape} = \frac{N-1}{2}, \text{rate} = b\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < z < \sigma_{c0}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $b = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2$,

$$p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2} \mid \dots\right) = \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2} \mid \text{shape} = \frac{N-1}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2\right) \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2} > \frac{1}{\sigma_{c0}^2}\right)$$

3.3 $p(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid \dots) = \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid 0, \sigma_g^2)$$

which is nothing immediately recognizable. I will sample from this distribution in a Metropolis step using the kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{g,n}^{y_{g,n}} \exp(-\lambda_{g,n}) \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon_{g,n}^2}{2\sigma_g^2}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(y_{g,n} \log \lambda_{g,n} - \lambda_{g,n} - \frac{\varepsilon_{g,n}^2}{2\sigma_g^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{g,n} = \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))$. The $\varepsilon_{g,n}$'s will be sampled in parallel across genes on the GPU.

3.4 $p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \mid \dots\right)$ Gamma

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma_g^2 \mid \dots) &= \left[\prod_{n=1}^N N(\varepsilon_{g,n} \mid 0, \sigma_g^2) \right] \cdot \text{Inv-Gamma}\left(\sigma_g^2 \mid \text{shape} = \frac{d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right) \\ &\propto \left[\prod_{n=1}^N (\sigma_g^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \frac{\varepsilon_{g,n}^2}{2}\right) \right] \cdot (\sigma_g^2)^{-(d/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right) \\ &= \left[(\sigma_g^2)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_{g,n}^2\right) \right] \cdot (\sigma_g^2)^{-(d/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right) \\ &= (\sigma_g^2)^{-((N+d)/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \frac{1}{2} \left(d \cdot \tau^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_{g,n}^2\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

The last line is the kernel of an inverse gamma distribution with shape parameter $\frac{N+d}{2}$ and rate parameter $\frac{1}{2} \left(d \cdot \tau^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_{g,n}^2\right)$. Hence:

$$p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \mid \dots\right) = \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \mid \text{shape} = \frac{N+d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{1}{2} \left(d \cdot \tau^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_{g,n}^2\right)\right)$$

The $1/\sigma_g^2$'s will be sampled in parallel on the GPU.

3.5 $p(d \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$\begin{aligned} p(d \mid \dots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{Inv-Gamma}\left(\sigma_g^2 \mid \text{shape} = \frac{d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right) \right] \cdot U(d \mid 0, d_0) \\ &\propto \prod_{g=1}^G \Gamma(d/2)^{-1} \left(\frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right)^{d/2} (\sigma_g^2)^{-(d/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right) I(0 < d < d_0) \\ &\propto \Gamma(d/2)^{-G} \left(\frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2}\right)^{Gd/2} \left(\prod_{g=1}^G \sigma_g^2\right)^{-(d/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G \frac{1}{\sigma_g^2}\right) I(0 < d < d_0) \end{aligned}$$

I will sample d with a Metropolis step using the above kernel. Sums and products over g ($g = 1, \dots, G$) will be done in parallel on the GPU.

3.6 $p(\tau^2 \mid \dots)$: Gamma

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\tau^2 \mid \dots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \text{Inv-Gamma} \left(\sigma_g^2 \mid \text{shape} = \frac{d}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2} \right) \right] \cdot \text{Gamma}(\tau^2 \mid \text{shape} = a_\tau, \text{rate} = b_\tau) \\
 &\propto \left[\Gamma(d/2)^{-G} \left(\frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2} \right)^{Gd/2} \left(\prod_{g=1}^G \sigma_g^2 \right)^{-(d/2+1)} \exp \left(-\frac{d \cdot \tau^2}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G \frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \right) \right] \cdot (\tau^2)^{a_\tau-1} \exp(-b_\tau \tau^2) \\
 &\propto \left[(\tau^2)^{Gd/2} \exp \left(-\tau^2 \cdot \frac{d}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G \frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \right) \right] \cdot (\tau^2)^{a_\tau-1} \exp(-b_\tau \tau^2) \\
 &= (\tau^2)^{Gd/2+a_\tau-1} \exp \left(-\tau^2 \left(b_\tau + \frac{d}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G \frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$p(\tau^2 \mid \dots) = \text{Gamma} \left(\tau^2 \mid \text{shape} = a_\tau + \frac{Gd}{2}, \text{rate} = b_\tau + \frac{d}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G \frac{1}{\sigma_g^2} \right)$$

3.7 $p(\phi_g \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\phi_g \mid \dots) &= \left[\prod_{n=1}^N \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \lambda_{g,n}) \right] \cdot \text{N}(\phi_g \mid \theta_\phi, \sigma_\phi^2) \\
 &\propto \left[\prod_{n=1}^N \lambda_{g,n}^{y_{g,n}} \exp(-\lambda_{g,n}) \right] \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{(\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2} \right) \\
 &\quad \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^N [y_{g,n} \log \lambda_{g,n} - \lambda_{g,n}] - \frac{(\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{g,n} = \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))$. I will sample the ϕ_g 's in parallel using Metropolis steps.

3.8 $p(\theta_\phi \mid \dots)$: Normal

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta_\phi \mid \dots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \mathcal{N}(\phi_g \mid \theta_\phi, \sigma_\phi^2) \right] \cdot \mathcal{N}(\theta_\phi \mid 0, \gamma_\phi^2) \\
&\propto \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \exp \left(-\frac{(\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2} \right) \right] \exp \left(-\frac{\theta_\phi^2}{2\gamma_\phi^2} \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-\sum_{g=1}^G \frac{(\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\theta_\phi^2}{2\gamma_\phi^2} \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-\frac{\sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g^2 - 2\theta_\phi \sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g + G\theta_\phi^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\theta_\phi^2}{2\gamma_\phi^2} \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-\frac{\sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g^2 - 2\theta_\phi \sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g + G\theta_\phi^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2} - \frac{\theta_\phi^2}{2\gamma_\phi^2} \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-\frac{\gamma_\phi^2 \sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g^2 - 2\gamma_\phi^2 (\sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g) \theta_\phi + G\gamma_\phi^2 \theta_\phi^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2 \gamma_\phi^2} - \frac{\sigma_\phi^2 \theta_\phi^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2 \gamma_\phi^2} \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-\frac{\gamma_\phi^2 \sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g^2 - 2\gamma_\phi^2 (\sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g) \theta_\phi + (G\gamma_\phi^2 + \sigma_\phi^2) \theta_\phi^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2 \gamma_\phi^2} \right) \\
&\propto \exp \left(-\frac{(G\gamma_\phi^2 + \sigma_\phi^2) \left(\theta_\phi - \frac{\gamma_\phi^2 (\sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g)}{G\gamma_\phi^2 + \sigma_\phi^2} \right)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2 \gamma_\phi^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$p(\theta_\phi \mid \dots) = \mathcal{N} \left(\frac{\gamma_\phi^2 \sum_{g=1}^G \phi_g}{G\gamma_\phi^2 + \sigma_\phi^2}, \frac{\gamma_\phi^2 \sigma_\phi^2}{G\gamma_\phi^2 + \sigma_\phi^2} \right)$$

3.9 $p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_\phi^2} \mid \dots\right)$: Truncated Gamma

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\sigma_\phi \mid \dots) &= \left[\prod_{g=1}^G \mathcal{N}(\phi_g \mid \theta_\phi, \sigma_\phi^2) \right] \cdot \mathcal{U}(\sigma_\phi \mid 0, \sigma_{\phi 0}^2) \\
&\propto \prod_{g=1}^G (\sigma_\phi^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2}\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < \sigma_\phi^2 < \sigma_{\phi 0}^2) \\
&= (\sigma_\phi^2)^{-G/2} \exp\left(-\sum_{g=1}^G \frac{(\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2}\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < \sigma_\phi^2 < \sigma_{\phi 0}^2) \\
&= (\sigma_\phi^2)^{-G/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_\phi^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G (\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < \sigma_\phi^2 < \sigma_{\phi 0}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Transformation: let $z = g(\sigma_\phi) = \sigma_\phi^2$ so that $g^{-1}(z) = \sqrt{z}$. Then for some proportionality constant, a :

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\sigma_\phi^2 = z \mid \dots) &= p(\sigma_\phi = g^{-1}(z) \mid \dots) \left| \frac{g^{-1}(z)}{dz} \right| \\
&= p(\sigma_\phi = \sqrt{z} \mid \dots) \left| \frac{1}{2} z^{-1/2} \right| \\
&= a \cdot (z)^{-G/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G (\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < z < \sigma_{\phi 0}^2) z^{-1/2} \\
&= \frac{a}{2} \cdot z^{-(G/2-1/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G (\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2\right) \mathcal{I}(0 < z < \sigma_{\phi 0}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

which is a truncated inverse gamma distribution with shape parameter $\frac{G-1}{2}$ and rate parameter $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G (\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2$. Thus:

$$p\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_\phi^2} \mid \dots\right) = \text{Gamma}\left(\text{shape} = \frac{G-1}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g=1}^G (\phi_g - \theta_\phi)^2\right) \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_\phi^2} > \frac{1}{\sigma_{\phi 0}^2}\right)$$

I will sample $1/\sigma_\phi^2$ using the inverse cdf method.

3.10 $p(\alpha_g \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\alpha_g \mid \dots) = \left[\prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \right] \pi_\alpha^{1-I(\alpha_g)} [(1 - \pi_\alpha) \mathcal{N}(\theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)]^{I(\alpha_g)}$$

Draw $u_g \sim U(0, 1)$.

1. Case 1: if $u_g < \pi_\alpha$, use a Metropolis step to draw ϕ_g from a distribution with the kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \lambda_{g,n}) \\ & \propto \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \lambda_{g,n}^{y_{g,n}} \exp(-\lambda_{g,n}) \\ & = \exp \left(\sum_{k(n) \neq 2} [y_{g,n} \log \lambda_{g,n} - \lambda_{g,n}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

2. Case 2: if $u_g \geq \pi_\alpha$, use a Metropolis step to draw ϕ_g from a distribution with the kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \lambda_{g,n}) \right] \cdot \text{N}(\alpha_g \mid \theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2) \\ & \propto \left[\prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \lambda_{g,n}^{y_{g,n}} \exp(-\lambda_{g,n}) \right] \exp \left(-\frac{(\alpha_g - \theta_\alpha)^2}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} \right) \\ & = \exp \left(\sum_{k(n) \neq 2} [y_{g,n} \log \lambda_{g,n} - \lambda_{g,n}] - \frac{(\alpha_g - \theta_\alpha)^2}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

3.11 $p(\theta_\alpha \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\theta_\alpha \mid \dots) = \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\alpha \text{I}(\alpha_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\alpha) \text{I}(\alpha_g \neq 0) \text{N}(\alpha_g \mid \theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)) \cdot \text{N}(\theta_\alpha \mid 0, \gamma_\alpha^2)$$

Since some of the α_g 's are 0 and some are nonzero, this expression cannot simplify any further. I will use a Metropolis step here.

3.12 $p(\sigma_\alpha \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\sigma_\alpha \mid \dots) = \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\alpha \text{I}(\alpha_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\alpha) \text{I}(\alpha_g \neq 0) \text{N}(\alpha_g \mid \theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)) \cdot \text{U}(\sigma_\alpha \mid 0, \sigma_{\alpha 0})$$

Since some of the α_g 's are 0 and some are nonzero, this expression cannot simplify any further. I will use a Metropolis step here.

3.13 $p(\pi_\alpha \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\pi_\alpha \mid \dots) = \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\alpha \mathbf{I}(\alpha_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\alpha) \mathbf{I}(\alpha_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\alpha_g \mid \theta_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha^2)) \cdot \text{Beta}(\pi_\alpha \mid a_\alpha, b_\alpha)$$

Since some of the α_g 's are 0 and some are nonzero, this expression cannot simplify any further. I will use a Metropolis step here.

3.14 $p(\delta_g \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\delta_g \mid \dots) = \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \exp(c_n + \varepsilon_{g,n} + \mu(n, \phi_g, \alpha_g, \delta_g))) \\ \times (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2))$$

Draw $u_g \sim U(0, 1)$.

1. Case 1: if $u_g < \pi_\delta$, use a Metropolis step to draw ϕ_g from a distribution with the kernel:

$$\prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \lambda_{g,n}) \\ \propto \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \lambda_{g,n}^{y_{g,n}} \exp(-\lambda_{g,n}) \\ = \exp \left(\sum_{k(n) \neq 2} [y_{g,n} \log \lambda_{g,n} - \lambda_{g,n}] \right)$$

2. Case 2: if $u_g \geq \pi_\delta$, use a Metropolis step to draw ϕ_g from a distribution with the kernel:

$$\text{Poisson}(y_{g,n} \mid \lambda_{g,n}) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)$$

which, from similar work on the ϕ_g 's, simplifies to:

$$\exp \left(-N_{13} \frac{(\delta_g - \theta_\delta)^2}{2\sigma_\delta^2} + \sum_{k(n) \neq 2} [y_{g,n} \log \lambda_{g,n} - \lambda_{g,n}] \right)$$

where N_{13} is the number of libraries not in treatment group 2.

3.15 $p(\theta_\delta \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\theta_\delta \mid \dots) = \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\theta_\delta \mid 0, \gamma_\delta^2)$$

Since some of the δ_g 's are 0 and some are nonzero, this expression cannot simplify any further. I will use a Metropolis step here.

3.16 $p(\sigma_\delta \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\sigma_\delta \mid \dots) = \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)) \cdot \mathbf{U}(\sigma_\delta \mid 0, \sigma_{\delta 0})$$

Since some of the δ_g 's are 0 and some are nonzero, this expression cannot simplify any further. I will use a Metropolis step here.

3.17 $p(\pi_\delta \mid \dots)$: Metropolis

$$p(\pi_\delta \mid \dots) = \prod_{k(n) \neq 2} \prod_{g=1}^G (\pi_\delta \mathbf{I}(\delta_g = 0) + (1 - \pi_\delta) \mathbf{I}(\delta_g \neq 0) \mathbf{N}(\delta_g \mid \theta_\delta, \sigma_\delta^2)) \cdot \text{Beta}(\pi_\delta \mid a_\delta, b_\delta)$$

Since some of the δ_g 's are 0 and some are nonzero, this expression cannot simplify any further. I will use a Metropolis step here.