#### THE DATATYPE MECHANISM

The datatype mechanism specifies new data types using value constructors.

For example,

```
datatype color = red|blue|green;
datatype color = blue | green |
red
```

Pattern matching works too using the type's constructors:

#### **SML Examples**

Source code for most of the SML examples presented here may be found in

```
~cs538-1/public/sml/class.sml
```

CS 538 Spring 2008

290

CS 538 Spring 2008

\_\_\_

#### Parameterized Constructors

The constructors used to define data types may be parameterized:

```
datatype money =
   none
   | coin of int
   | bill of int
   | iou of real * string;
datatype money =
   bill of int | coin of int
   | iou of real * string | none
```

Now expressions like coin(25) or bill(5) or iou(10.25, "Lisa") represent valid values of type money.

We can also define values and functions of type money:

## Polymorphic Datatypes

A user-defined data type may be polymorphic. An excellent example is

```
val newStudent =
{name="Mystery Man",
   ssNumber=none}:studentInfo;
val newStudent =
{name="Mystery Man",
   ssNumber=none} : studentInfo
```

CS 538 Spring 2008<sup>®</sup>

CS 538 Spring 2008

294

## Datatypes may be Recursive

Recursive datatypes allow linked structures *without* explicit pointers.

```
datatype binTree =
  null
| leaf
| node of binTree * binTree;
datatype binTree =
  leaf | node of binTree * binTree
| null
fun size(null) = 0
| size(leaf) = 1
| size(node(t1,t2)) =
    size(t1)+size(t2) + 1
val size = fn : binTree -> int
```

# Recursive Datatypes may be Polymorphic

```
datatype 'a binTree =
   null
| leaf of 'a
| node of 'a binTree * 'a binTree
datatype 'a binTree =
  leaf of 'a |
  node of 'a binTree * 'a binTree
| null
fun frontier(null) = []
| frontier(leaf(v)) = [v]
| frontier(node(t1,t2)) =
    frontier(t1) @ frontier(t2)
val frontier =
  fn : 'a binTree -> 'a list
```

We can model n-ary trees by using lists of subtrees:

```
datatype 'a Tree =
  null
| leaf of 'a
| node of 'a Tree list;
datatype 'a Tree = leaf of 'a |
node of 'a Tree list | null

fun frontier(null) = []
| frontier(leaf(v)) = [v]
| frontier(node(h::t)) =
    frontier(node(t))
| frontier(node([])) = []
val frontier = fn :
  'a Tree -> 'a list
```

#### **Abstract Data Types**

ML also provides abstract data types in which the implementation of the type is *hidden* from users.

The general form is abstype name = implementation with

val and fun definitions
end;

Users may access the name of the abstract type and the val and fun definitions that follow the with, but the implementation may be used only with the body of the abstype definition.

CS 538 Spring 2008<sup>®</sup>

CS 538 Spring 2008<sup>®</sup>

298

## Example

```
abstype 'a stack =
 stk of 'a list
with
  val Null = stk([])
  fun empty(stk([])) = true
      empty(stk(_::_)) = false
  fun top(stk(h::_)) = h
  fun pop(stk(_::t)) = stk(t)
  fun push(v,stk(L)) =
   stk(v::L)
end
type 'a stack
val Null = - : 'a stack
val empty = fn : 'a stack -> bool
val top = fn : 'a stack -> 'a
val pop =
  fn : 'a stack -> 'a stack
val push = fn :
  'a * 'a stack -> 'a stack
```

Local value and function definitions, not to be exported to users of the type can be created using the **local** definition mechanism described earlier:

local

val and fun definitions
in
 exported definitions
end;

```
abstype 'a stack =
 stk of 'a list
with
 local
  fun size(stk(L))=length(L);
 in
  val Null = stk([])
  fun emptv(s) =
    (size(s) = 0)
  fun top(stk(h:: )) = h
  fun pop(stk(_::t)) = stk(t)
  fun push(v,stk(L)) =
   stk(v::L)
 end
end
type 'a stack
val Null = - : 'a stack
val empty = fn : 'a stack -> bool
val top = fn : 'a stack -> 'a
val pop = fn :
 'a stack -> 'a stack
val push = fn :
 'a * 'a stack -> 'a stack
```

CS 538 Spring 2008<sup>®</sup> 302

```
Why are abstract data types useful?

Recause they hide an
```

Because they hide an implementation of a type from a user, allowing implementation changes without any impact on user programs.

Consider a simple implementation of queues:

```
abstype 'a queue =
  q of 'a list
with
  val Null = q([])
  fun front(q(h::_)) = h
  fun rm(q(_::t)) = q(t)
  fun enter(v,q(L)) =
      q(rev(v::rev(L)))
end
type 'a queue
val Null = - : 'a queue
val front = fn : 'a queue -> 'a
```

CS 538 Spring 2008 303

```
val rm =
  fn : 'a queue -> 'a queue
val enter =
  fn : 'a * 'a queue -> 'a queue
```

This implementation of queues is valid, but somewhat inefficient. In particular to enter a new value onto the rear end of a queue, we do the following:

```
fun enter(v,q(L)) =
   q(rev(v::rev(L)))
```

We reverse the list that implements the queue, add the new value to the head of the reversed queue *then* reverse the list a second time.

A more efficient (but less obvious) implementation of a queue is to store it as two lists. One list represents the "front" of the queue. It is from this list that we extract the front value, and from which we remove elements.

The other list represents the "back" of the queue (in reversed order). We add elements to the rear of the queue by adding elements to the front of the list. From time to time, when the front list becomes null, we "promote" the rear list into the front list (by reversing it). Now access to both the front and the back of the queue is fast and direct. The new implementation is:

CS 538 Spring 2008 304 CS 538 Spring 2008 3

```
abstype 'a queue =
  q of 'a list * 'a list
with
  val Null = q([],[])
  fun front(q(h::_{,_{-}})) = h
      front(q([],L)) =
        front(q(rev(L),[]))
  fun rm(q(\_::t,L)) = q(t,L)
   rm(q([],L)) =
        rm(q(rev(L),[]))
  fun enter(v,q(L1,L2)) =
     q(L1,v::L2)
end
type 'a queue
val Null = - : 'a queue
val front = fn :
  'a queue -> 'a
val rm = fn :
 'a queue -> 'a queue
val enter = fn :
 'a * 'a queue -> 'a queue
```

From the user's point of view, the two implementations are *identical* (they export exactly the same set of values and functions). Hence the new implementation can replace the old implementation without any impact at all to the user (except, of course, performance!).

CS 538 Spring 2008

306

CS 538 Spring 2008<sup>®</sup>

## **Exception Handling**

Our definitions of stacks and queues are incomplete. Reconsider our definition of stack:

We see "match failure" since our definition of top is incomplete!

In ML we can *raise* an exception if an illegal or unexpected operation occurs. Asking for the top of an empty stack ought to raise an exception since the requested value does not exist.

ML contains a number of predefined exceptions, including

Match Empty Div Overflow (exception names usually begin with a capital letter).

Predefined exception are raised by illegal values or operations. If they are not caught, the runtime prints an error message.

```
fun f(1) = 2;
val f = fn : int -> int
f(2);
uncaught exception nonexhaustive
match failure
hd [];
uncaught exception Empty
1000000*1000000;
uncaught exception overflow
(1 div 0);
uncaught exception divide by zero
1.0/0.0;
val it = inf : real
(inf is the IEEE floating-point
standard "infinity" value)
```

### **User Defined Exceptions**

New exceptions may be defined as exception name; or exception name of type; For example exception IsZero; exception NegValue of real; exception NegValue of real

CS 538 Spring 2008 310 CS 538 Spring 2008 .

## **Exceptions May be Raised**

```
val sqrt = Real.Math.sqrt;
val sqrt = fn : real -> real
fun sqroot(x) =
   if x < 0.0
   then raise NegValue(x)
   else sqrt(x);
val sqroot = fn : real -> real
sqroot(2.0);
val it = 1.41421356237 : real
sqroot(~2.0);
uncaught exception NegValue
```

## **Exception Handlers**

You may catch an exception by defining a *handler* for it:

CS 538 Spring 2008 31