

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

We start the process off with some arbitrary initial value x_0 . (The closer to the zero, the better. But, in the absence of any intuition about where the zero might lie, a "guess and check" method might narrow the possibilities to a reasonably small interval by appealing to the [intermediate value theorem](#).) The method will usually converge, provided this initial guess is close enough to the unknown zero, and that $f'(x_0) \neq 0$. Furthermore, for a zero of [multiplicity](#) 1, the convergence is at least quadratic (see [rate of convergence](#)) in a [neighbourhood](#) of the zero, which intuitively means that the number of correct digits roughly at least doubles in every step. More details can be found in the [analysis section](#) below.

The [Householder's methods](#) are similar but have higher order for even faster convergence. However, the extra computations required for each step can slow down the overall performance relative to Newton's method, particularly if for its derivatives are computationally expensive to evaluate.

History

The name "Newton's method" is derived from [Isaac Newton](#)'s description of a special case of the method in *De analysi per aequationes numero terminorum infinitas* (written in 1669, published in 1711 by [William Jones](#)) and in *De methodis fluxionum et serierum infinitarum* (written in 1671, translated and published as *Method of Fluxions* in 1736 by [John Colson](#)). However, his method differs substantially from the modern method given above: Newton applies the method only to polynomials. He does not compute the successive approximations x_n , but computes a sequence of polynomials, and only at the end arrives at an approximation for the root x . Finally, Newton views the method as purely algebraic and makes no mention of the connection with calculus. Newton may have derived his method from a similar but less precise method by [Vieta](#). The essence of Vieta's method can be found in the work of the [Persian mathematician](#) [Sharaf al-Din al-Tusi](#), while his successor [Jamshīd al-Kāshī](#) used a form of Newton's method to solve $x^P - N = 0$ to find roots of N (Ypma 1995). A special case of Newton's method for calculating square roots was known much earlier and is often called the [Babylonian method](#).

Newton's method was used by 17th-century Japanese mathematician [Seki Kōwa](#) to solve single-variable equations, though the connection with calculus was missing.

Newton's method was first published in 1685 in *A Treatise of Algebra both Historical and Practical* by [John Wallis](#).^[1] In 1690, [Joseph Raphson](#) published a simplified description in *Analysis aequationum universalis*.^[2] Raphson again viewed Newton's method purely as an algebraic method and restricted its use to polynomials, but he describes the method in terms of the successive approximations x_n instead of the more complicated sequence of polynomials used by Newton. Finally, in 1740, [Thomas Simpson](#) described Newton's method as an iterative method for solving general nonlinear equations using calculus, essentially giving the description above. In the same publication, Simpson also gives the generalization to systems of two equations and notes that Newton's method can be used for solving optimization problems by setting the gradient to zero.

[Arthur Cayley](#) in 1879 in *The Newton-Fourier imaginary problem* was the first to notice the difficulties in generalizing Newton's method to complex roots of polynomials with degree greater than 2 and complex initial values. This opened the way to the study of the theory of iterations of rational functions.