

## ENR 325 final presentation evaluation sheet

	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Meets expectations</b>	<b>Needs improvement</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
Hardware	Professional, readable schematic. Wire management is neat. Component choice is optimized.	Functional schematic provided. Wiring is messy but works. Components are standard but not explained.	Hand-drawn or missing schematic. Wiring is fragile/loose. Circuit works intermittently.	Circuit is not operational. Dangerous wiring (shorts).
Software	Code is modular (functions/classes). Comments explain why, not just what. Can handles edge cases.	Code is functional but linear (monolithic loop). Basic comments. No error handling.	Hard to read. Codes are copied without understanding.	Code does not work.
Presentation & Visuals	Slides are visual-heavy. Delivery and timing are synchronized and practiced.	Slides are clear, the flow is good but text-heavy. Speakers read occasionally. Good timing.	Slides are not polished at all. Contents are from time to time confusing. One student dominated the talk.	Slides that are put-up in the last minute. The audience are not able to read or follow the contents.
Q&A	Show iterations and technical insight. Can acknowledge and pivot tough questions.	Correct answers, but most at the surface level.	Answers are vague or incorrect. Reliance on a single group member.	Unable to answer basic questions about the design.