

# Compound Loss Function Variants

**Goal: To find the ideal weights for the compound loss functions variants, the recommended variants were:**

- Wassertein + CE + Normal Consistency Loss + Dice Loss
- Hausdorff + CE + Normal Consistency Loss + Dice Loss

Dataset Used: <https://www.creatis.insa-lyon.fr/Challenge/acdc/databases.html>

Model Used: SwinTransformer

**Initial grid search to find the best weights for the compound loss functions:**

Compound Loss Function:

$w_1(\text{Wassertein}/\text{Hausdorff}) + w_2(\text{CE}) + w_3(\text{NCL}) + w_4(\text{Dice})$

```
candidate_weights = [  
    (0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25),  
    (0.20, 0.30, 0.30, 0.20),  
    (0.30, 0.20, 0.20, 0.30),  
    (0.10, 0.40, 0.40, 0.10)  
]  
  
weights[0]*ce + weights[1]*nc + weights[2]*hd + weights[3]*dice  
(or)  
weights[0]*ce + weights[1]*nc + weights[2]*wd + weights[3]*dice
```

**Variant 1 Results (15 Epochs - Hausdorff):**

Weights Combination	Dice
(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25)	0.7596

Weights Combination	Dice
(0.2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.2)	0.7590
<b>(0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.3)</b>	<b>0.7608</b>
(0.1, 0.4, 0.4, 0.1)	0.7589 (8 Epochs)

## Variant 2 Results (15 Epochs - Wasserstein):

Weights Combination	Dice
(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25)	0.7587
(0.2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.2)	0.7654
<b>(0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.3)</b>	<b>0.7843</b>
(0.1, 0.4, 0.4, 0.1)	0.7588