Semantic Argument for FOL

To decide validity of FOL formulae, we extend the semantic argument method from PL using the following proof rules:

- universal quantification 1: $\frac{I \models \forall x \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto t\} \models \varphi}$ for any term t
- existential quantification 1: $\frac{I \not\models \exists x \, \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto t\} \not\models \varphi}$ for any term t

In practice, we often choose t that was already introduced earlier (in order to obtain a contradiction); t can contain an eigenvariable.

- universal quantification 2: $\frac{I \not\models \forall x \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto v\} \not\models \varphi}$ for a fresh eigenvariable v
- existential quantification 2: $\frac{I \models \exists x \varphi}{I \triangleleft \{x \mapsto v\} \models \varphi}$ for a *fresh eigenvariable* v

The value v cannot have been used in the proof before.

The eigenvariables are not interpreted; they are symbolic names/metavariables.

18/34

Semantic Argument for FOL

contradiction:

$$\begin{array}{c} J: I \lhd \cdots \models p(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \\ K: I \lhd \cdots \not\models p(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \\ \hline I \models \bot \end{array} \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n: \alpha_J[s_i] = \alpha_K[t_i] \end{array}$$

■ rules for (=) will be introduced in the next lecture (about theories)