

SystemFI: A Core Language for Delegation-based Programming

December 6, 2014

Abstract

This paper ...

1 Introcution

Prototype-based programming is one of the two major styles of object-oriented programming, the other being class-based programming which is featured in languages such as Java and C#. It has gained increasing popularity recently with the prominence of JavaScript in web applications. Prototype-based programming supports highly dynamic behaviors at run time that are not possible with traditional class-based programming. However, despite its flexibility, prototype-based programming is often criticized over concerns of correctness and safety. Furthermore, almost all prototype-based systems rely on the fact that the language is dynamically typed and interpreted.

This paper introduces System F_{IR}

Inheritance based

2 Properties

Commutative $A \wedge B = B \wedge A$

$A = A \wedge A$

Idempotent

Source subtyping

Exclusion of 0-ary intersection =, dynamic typing subtyping of the arrow

Algebra's & mixins lenses

3 Case Studies

4 Related work

Write a paragraph.

Dunfield: no records The idea of encoding records using intersection types is due to ... Reynolds and Castagna. The issue of coherence. Make a note about how part of this problem is mitigated.

Nystrom et. al. OOPSLA 06

$$|\tau| = T$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| &= \alpha \\ |\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2| &= |\tau_1| \rightarrow |\tau_2| \\ |\forall \alpha. \tau| &= \forall \alpha. |\tau| \\ |t_1 \& t_2| &= \langle |\tau_1|, |\tau_2| \rangle \\ |\{l : \tau\}| &= |\tau| \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *If*

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \leftrightarrow C$$

then

$$|\Gamma| \vdash C : |\tau_1| \rightarrow |\tau_2|$$

Proof. By structural induction on the types and the corresponding inference rule.

(SubVar)
(SubFun)
(SubForall)
(SubAnd1)
(SubAnd2)
(SubAnd3)
(SubRcd)

□ *Proof.* Since

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau$$

It follows from (FI-WF) that

$$\text{ftv}(\tau) \subseteq \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

And hence

$$\text{ftv}(|\tau|) \subseteq \text{ftv}(|\Gamma|)$$

By (F-WF) we have

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau$$

□

Lemma 2. *If*

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{get}} \tau; l = C; \tau_1$$

then

$$|\Gamma| \vdash C : |\tau| \rightarrow |\tau_1|$$

Proof. By structural induction on the type and the corresponding inference rule.

$$\text{(Get-Base)} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\text{get}} \{l : \tau\}; l = \lambda(x : |\{l : \tau\}|).x; \tau$$

By the induction hypothesis

$$|\Gamma| \vdash \lambda(x : |\{l : \tau\}|).x : |\{l : \tau\}| \rightarrow |\tau|$$

(Get-Left)
(Get-Right)

Theorem 1 (Type preserving translation). *If*

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \hookrightarrow E$$

then

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E : |\tau|$$

□ *Proof.* By structural induction on the expression and the corresponding inference rule.

Lemma 3. *If*

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{put}} \tau; l; E = C; \tau_1$$

then

$$|\Gamma| \vdash C : |\tau| \rightarrow |\tau|$$

Proof. By structural induction on the type and the corresponding inference rule.

(Put-Base)
(Put-Left)
(Put-Right)

$$\text{(TrVar)} \quad \Gamma \vdash x : \tau \hookrightarrow x$$

It follows from (TrVar) that

$$(x : \tau) \in \Gamma$$

Based on the definition of $|\cdot|$,

$$(x : |\tau|) \in |\Gamma|$$

Thus we have by (F-Var) that

$$|\Gamma| \vdash x : |\tau|$$

$$\text{(TrAbs)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : \tau_1).e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \hookrightarrow \lambda x : |\tau_1|.E$$

It follows from (TrAbs) that

$$\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2 \hookrightarrow E$$

And by the induction hypothesis that

$$|\Gamma|, x : |\tau_1| \vdash E : |\tau_2|$$

Lemma 4. *If*

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau$$

then

$$|\Gamma| \vdash |\tau|$$

By (TrAbs) we also have

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau_1$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$|\Gamma| \vdash |\tau_1|$$

Hence by (F-Abs) and the definition of $|\cdot|$ we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash \lambda x : |\tau_1|. E : |\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2|$$

$$(\text{TrApp}) \Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_2 \hookrightarrow E_1(CE_2)$$

From (TrApp) we have

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau_3 <: \tau_1 \hookrightarrow C$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the above we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash C : |\tau_3| \rightarrow |\tau_1|$$

Also from (TrApp) and the induction hypothesis

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E_1 : |\tau_1| \rightarrow |\tau_2|$$

Also from (TrApp) and the induction hypothesis

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E_2 : |\tau_3|$$

Assembling those parts using (F-App) we come to

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E_1(CE_2) : |\tau_2|$$

$$(\text{TrTAbs}) \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. e : \forall \alpha. \tau \hookrightarrow \forall \alpha. E$$

From (TrTAbs) we have

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \hookrightarrow E$$

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E : |\tau|$$

Thus by (F-TAbs) and the definition of $|\cdot|$

$$\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. E : |\forall \alpha. \tau|$$

$$(\text{TrTApp}) \Gamma \vdash e \tau : [\alpha := \tau] \tau_1 \hookrightarrow E |\tau|$$

From (TrTApp) we have

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha. \tau_1 \hookrightarrow E$$

And by the induction hypothesis that

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E : \forall \alpha. |\tau_1|$$

Also from (TrTApp) and Lemma 4 we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash |\tau|$$

Then by (F-TApp) that

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E |\tau| : [\alpha := |\tau|] |\tau_1|$$

Therefore

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E |\tau| : |[\alpha := \tau] \tau_1|$$

$$(\text{TrMerge}) \Gamma \vdash e_1, e_2 : \tau_1 \& \tau_2 \hookrightarrow \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$$

From (TrMerge) and the induction hypothesis we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E_1 : |\tau_1|$$

and

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E_2 : |\tau_2|$$

Hence by (F-Pair)

$$|\Gamma| \vdash \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle : \langle |\tau_1|, |\tau_2| \rangle$$

□ Hence by the definition of $|\cdot|$

$$|\Gamma| \vdash \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle : |\tau_1 \& \tau_2|$$

$$(\text{TrRcdIntro}) \Gamma \vdash \{l = e\} : \{l : \tau\} \hookrightarrow E$$

From (TrRcdIntro) we have

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \hookrightarrow E$$

And by the induction hypothesis that

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E : |\tau|$$

Thus by the definition of $|\cdot|$

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E : |\{l : \tau\}|$$

$$(\text{TrRcdElim}) \Gamma \vdash e.l : \tau_1 \hookrightarrow CE$$

From (TrRcdElim)

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \hookrightarrow E$$

And by the induction hypothesis that

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E : |\tau|$$

Also from (TrRcdElim)

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{get}} e; l = C; \tau_1$$

Applying Lemma 2 to the above we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash C : |\tau| \rightarrow |\tau_1|$$

Hence by (F-App) we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash CE : |\tau_1|$$

$$(\text{TrRcdUpd}) \Gamma \vdash e \text{ with } \{l = e_1\} : \tau \hookrightarrow CE$$

From (TrRcdUpd)

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \hookrightarrow E$$

And by the induction hypothesis that

$$|\Gamma| \vdash E : |\tau|$$

Also from (TrRcdUpd)

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{put}} t; l; E = C; \tau_1$$

Applying Lemma 3 to the above we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash C : |\tau| \rightarrow |\tau|$$

Hence by (F-App) we have

$$|\Gamma| \vdash CE : |\tau|$$