

CSC2125H

Types and Programming Languages

From Lambda Calculus to Programming Languages

Ningning Xie

Assistant Professor

Department of Computer Science

University of Toronto

Lambda calculus

- The λ -calculus is exceedingly elegant and minimal, a study of functions in the purest possible form.
- We find versions of it in most, if not all modern programming languages because the abstractions provided by functions are a central structuring mechanism for software.

Lambda calculus

On the other hand, there are some problem with the data-as-functions representation technique of which we have seen Booleans, natural numbers, and trees. Here are a few notes:

1. Generality of typing
2. Expressiveness
3. Observability of functions

(1) Generality of typing

- The untyped λ -calculus can express fixed points but the same is not true for Church's simply-typed λ -calculus.
- Types, however, are needed to understand and classify data representations and the functions defined over them.
- Fortunately, this can be fixed by introducing recursive types, so this is not a deeper obstacle to representing data as functions.

(2) Expressiveness

- While all computable functions on the natural numbers can be represented in the sense of correctly modeling their input/output behavior, some natural algorithms are difficult or impossible to express.
- For example, our predecessor function took $O(n)$ steps.
- Other representations are possible, but they either complicate typing or inflate the size of the representations.

(3) Observability of functions

- Since reduction results in normal forms, to interpret the outcome of a computation we need to be able to inspect the structure of functions.
- But generally we like to compile functions and think of them only as something opaque: we can probe it by applying it to arguments, but its structure should be hidden from us.
- This is a serious and major concern about the pure λ -calculus where all data are expressed as functions.

In this part

- Rather than representing all data as functions, we **add data to the language directly**, with new types and new primitives.
- At the same time we make the structure of functions **unobservable** so that implementation can compile them to machine code, optimize them, and manipulate them in other ways.
- Functions become more **extensional** in nature, characterized via their input/output behavior rather than distinguishing functions that have different internal structure.

Part I Revisit functions

Make functions unobservable

- We have to change our notion of reduction. We call the result of computation *values* and define them with the judgment.

e value

- Also, we write

$$e \mapsto e'$$

for *a single step of computation*. For now, we want this step relation to be deterministic.

Values and computations

$$\frac{}{\lambda x. e \text{ value}} \text{ val/lam}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \mapsto e'_1 e_2} \text{ step/app}_1$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. e_1) e_2 \mapsto [e_2/x]e_1} \text{ beta}$$

Values

$$\frac{}{\lambda x. e \text{ value}} \text{ val/lam}$$

Redcution

- Call by name

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \mapsto e'_1 e_2} \text{ step/app}_1 \qquad \frac{}{(\lambda x. e_1) e_2 \mapsto [e_2/x]e_1} \text{ beta}$$

Redcution

- Call by value

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \mapsto e'_1 e_2} \text{ step/app}_1 \qquad \frac{e_1 \text{ value} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{e_1 e_2 \mapsto e_1 e'_2} \text{ step/app}_2$$

$$\frac{e_2 \text{ value}}{(\lambda x. e_1) e_2 \mapsto [e_2/x]e_1} \text{ step/app/lam}$$

Type safety

- Devising a set of rules is usually the key activity in programming language design.
- Proving the required theorems is just a way of checking one's work rather than a primary activity

Preservation. If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \mapsto e'$ then $\cdot \vdash e' : \tau$.

Progress. For every expression $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ either $e \mapsto e'$ for some e' or e *value*.

Part II Booleans as a primitive type

Types and expressions

Types	$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \mid \forall \alpha. \tau \mid \text{bool}$
Expressions	$e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \Lambda \alpha. e \mid e [\tau]$ $\mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } e_1 e_2 e_3$

Typing rules

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}} \text{tp/true}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}} \text{tp/false}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3 : \tau} \text{tp/if}$$

Values

true *value*

false *value*

Reduction

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3 \mapsto \text{if } e'_1 \ e_2 \ e_3} \text{ step/if}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if true } e_2 \ e_3 \mapsto e_2} \text{ step/if/true}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if false } e_2 \ e_3 \mapsto e_3} \text{ step/if/false}$$