# Random Number Generator with Elementary Cellular Automata in Matlab

#### Donald Bertucci\*

Abstract: In Matlab, I implemented a random number generator that uniformly generates numbers between 0 and 1. I created the rand\_eca function to sample from a known simple yet chaotic system: Elementary Cellular Automata rule 30. I then showed that my rand\_eca function is likely a uniform random distribution by using the  $\chi^2$  test. Finally, I implemented the uniform\_to\_pdf function to map the uniform rand\_eca to any another distribution (like standard normal). All the Matlab code is open source at <a href="https://github.com/xnought/rand-eca">https://github.com/xnought/rand-eca</a> and shown in the Appendix starting from Appendix  $\overline{A}$ .

#### 1 Introduction

Elementary Cellular Automata (ECA) have extremely simple rules that transform the current state (a 1D list of on and off cells) to the next state, and from that state to the next state, and so on [3, 4, 5]. A simple example is shown on the left in Figure 1 for one time step. The simplicity might lead you to believe the system is predictable, but you would be wrong. Some ECA update rules, like rule 30, lead to emerging complex patterns that are unpredictable and chaotic (see Figure 1 on the right) [3, 4, 5].

To be specific, at the current time step t you could not predict the state n steps into the future t+n without computing all the steps in between (computationally irreducable)[3, 4, 5]. There are no shortcuts or linear patterns: you have to manually apply the rule n times to get to t+n [3, 4, 5]. Since the more unpredictable a system is, the more seemingly random it is, I created a Matlab random uniform function from rule 30 which I called rand\_eca.




Figure 1: On the left, I transformed the 1D list of on (black) and off (white) cells from a **Start** state to the **Next state** with rule 30. On the right, I applied rule 30 for hundreds of iterations starting from a 129 cell wide start state with 1 black cell in the middle.

<sup>\*</sup>Oregon State University, donnybertucci.com




Figure 2: Running ECA rule 30 for 512 generations from the starting state of 513 cells with 1 in the middle. Here I only visualize row 257 to 513. On the right I show a zoomed-in 13 cell long chunk from a column converted to decimal.

### 2 Implementation of rand\_eca

In Matlab, I implemented the rand\_eca function (Appendix F) in two steps: running generations of ECA with rule 30 (Section 2.1) and converting the ECA output to numbers between 0 and 1 (Section 2.2).

#### 2.1 Generating Elementary Cellular Automata

Given a starting state as a one-dimensional list of 1s (black) and 0s (white), I first implemented a function to produce the next state. The function rule30(vec3) (Appendix A) takes a vector with three cells to produce the *i*th cell in the next state using rule 30. The transformation shown on the top left in Figure 1 is equivalent to p xor (q or r) where p,q,r are the three bits denoting the three cells [3].

Next, I slid the rule30 function across the 1D state to produce the *i*th cell in the next state with the function next\_state\_rule30(current\_state) (Appendix B) as shown in the bottom left in Figure 1.

To produce many iterations of rule 30, I implemented the iterate\_rule30(start\_state, n\_iterations) function (Appendix C) which produced the visuals on the right in Figure 1. All this function does is apply the next\_state\_rule30 to the current state to produce the next state. Then it uses that next state to produce the state after that, and so on for n\_iterations. To visualize the output, I created a function called visualize\_rule30(start\_state, n\_iterations, visualize\_from\_i) (Appendix D) which simply runs iterate\_rule30 and outputs a Matlab figure from rows visualize\_from\_i till the last row. For example, visualize\_rule30([zeros(1, 256) 1 zeros(1, 256)], 512, 257) produced the Figure 2 and visualize\_rule30([zeros(1, 64) 1 zeros(1, 64)], 200, 1) produced the Figure 1.

#### 2.2 Extracting Numbers

As shown in Figure 2 on the right, I can take a single column from the generations of rule 30 and and convert the bits to a decimal number between [0,1). In my case, I wanted 13 bits for each number, so I can take a 13 cell long column from the generations and interpret the white cells as 0 and the black cells as 1. I can convert from base 2 to base 10 as

$$d(b) = b_1 \cdot 2^0 + b_2 \cdot 2^1 + \dots + b_n \cdot 2^{n-1}$$
(2.1)

where  $b_i$  is the bit at index i in a bit string and n is the total number of bits in that bit string.

Then, to force the decimal d into a fraction value, I'll divide the representation by the largest possible decimal of all 1s like 11...1 which is just  $2^n - 1$  in decimal (since 0 is all 00...0 we subtract 1 from  $2^n$  possible combinations). Because I want the fractional decimal to never be 1 itself, I'll add 1 to the divisor as  $2^n - 1 + 1 = 2^n$ . Simplifying the normalized expression I get

$$f(b) = \frac{d(b)}{d(11\dots 1)+1} = \frac{b_1 \cdot 2^0 + b_2 \cdot 2^1 + \dots + b_n \cdot 2^{n-1}}{(2^n - 1)+1}$$
$$= \frac{b_1 \cdot 2^0}{2^n} + \frac{b_2 \cdot 2^1}{2^n} + \dots + \frac{b_n \cdot 2^{n-1}}{2^n}$$
$$f(b) = b_1 \cdot 2^{-n} + b_2 \cdot 2^{n-1} + \dots + b_n \cdot 2^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

It's not difficult to see that the bounds of (2.2) is [0,1) for finite length bit strings.

In Matlab, I implemented the function bits\_to\_fractions(bits, n, bits\_per\_number) (Appendix E) which converts a bit string into a fraction. This function iterates in a chunk size of bits\_per\_number and converts each bit string into a fraction. This will be how I can convert a very long column from Figure 2 into n different fractions.

#### 2.3 Putting the pieces together for rand\_eca

I combined the last two sections to convert every column of a rule 30 ECA generation into numbers between [0,1).

Others have also extracted the ECA columns in the past. For example, when extracting the middle column only, the middle column has been shown to be aperiodic and therefore good for random number generation [1]. For rand\_eca, I instead extract every single column, not just the middle column, to speed up the computation when producing large amounts of random numbers.

The final function is called rand\_eca(rows, columns) (Appendix F) where you can generate a matrix of shape (rows, columns) of random uniform values. In rand\_eca, I started from a starting state of 512 zeros on the left, a single 1, and 512 zeros on the right (in total 1025 cells). I then warmed up the starting state by first iterating 512 times and taking the last state as the new starting state. This warmup essentially turns the starting pyramid (like in Figure 1) to completely populated (like in Figure 2). This warmup initialization is done in the rng\_eca(offset) function (Appendix H), which is also how you reseed the rand\_eca for reproducible results.

Within rand\_eca, I iterated enough ECA generations so that I could generate rows times columns amount of random numbers. I wanted a precision of 13 bits to correspond to a single random number. This meant I needed to generate  $13 \cdot rows \cdot columns$  bits in total, and that I needed to iterate  $\lceil (13 \cdot rows \cdot columns)/1025 \rceil$  generations (divided by 1025 because I extract every column from a 1025 cell wide ECA states). I also chunked over the number of iterations to drastically save memory, but I'll leave the reader to the Matlab calculations in Appendix G.

After iterating, I could simply apply the bits\_to\_fractions function over all the ECA columns to generate the decimal numbers. Finally, the last state generated from rand\_eca becomes the start state for the next function call later on. Again, please see Appendix F and Appendix H for the exact implementation in Matlab.

To give you one example of running rand\_eca, I ran rand\_eca(100000, 1) to produce a column vector with a hundred thousand random numbers as shown on the very right in Figure 3 in a histogram.

## 3 Test Compared to Random Uniform

Just to eye-ball how good rand\_eca is, I can plot a histogram of generated numbers and see how well I approach a uniform distribution. In Figure 3, as I increase N generated numbers by a factor of 10 each time, I approach what appears to be a uniform distribution.




Figure 3: As I increase the numbers generated N, rand\_eca approaches a uniform distribution. Each histogram has 20 bins/bars and rand\_eca started from the same seed rng\_eca(0) each time.

For a more rigorous test, I'll use the  $\chi^2$  test to evaluate whether rand\_eca samples from a random uniform distribution. At large enough  $N=10^5$ , given a null hypothesis that rand\_eca for n=20 bins has uniform counts at a  $\alpha=0.05$  significance level, I computed  $\chi^2$  with n-1=20-1=19 degrees of freedom.

First I computed the test using the observed bin counts  $O_i$  from rand\_eca compared to the uniform expected bin counts (equal frequency) of E as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(O_i - E)^2}{E} \tag{3.1}$$

where n is the total number of bins and i refers to the ith bin index. I implemented (3.1) as the function chi\_squared\_critical\_value(data, bins) (Appendix I). And since (3.1) is distributed like a  $\chi^2$  distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom, at a significance level of  $\alpha=0.05$ , I can compare (3.1) to the theoretical critical value of 30.143 (area to the right of 30.143 is 0.05). If (3.1) is less than the critical value of 30.143, I will fail to reject the null hypothesis.

I observed that  $\chi^2_{\alpha=0.05,df=19}=15.2434$  with a p-value of 0.707 for rand\_eca at  $N=10^5$  generated numbers. Since the observed test is less than the theoretical critical value, I do indeed fail to reject that rand\_eca is distributed from a random uniform distribution. To compute these values I used the chi\_squared\_test(data, bins) function (Appendix L).

Just for reference, the built-in Matlab rand function which uses the Mersenne-Twister Algorithm [2] (starting from rng(0) seed) for the same configuration above gets  $\chi^2_{\alpha=0.05,df=19}=23.8466$  with a p-value of 0.2021 which also passes the test, but is less evidence than the rand\_eca function.

So for large enough N, I've shown that the rand\_eca function can be interpreted as a random uniform distribution. Run the paper\_figures.mlx to reproduce these tests for yourself.

## 4 Sampling Other Distributions

The rand\_eca function can produce a uniform distribution, but cannot generate other important distributions. For example, If I wanted to sample from a standard normal distribution, I would have to symbolically invert the standard normal, then input my rand\_eca values.

Instead, I numerically approximated the inverse mapping from uniform to a target distribution. For example see some transformations in Figure 4. I first started with a target probability density function g, like the standard normal, and mapped the areas to a uniform distribution.

Then, I divided up the target g between a region [a, b] into n sections I'll notate as  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ . Each rectangle then has a width of  $\Delta x$  (just  $x_{i+1} - x_i$ ) and with a height of  $g(x_i)$ . For all rectangles, I computed the areas per rectangle as  $a_i = \Delta x \cdot g(x_i)$ . As the number of rectangles increases, the sum of the areas should approach 1 for a sensible region [a, b].

Next, I divided up the uniform distribution into rectangles sized with areas  $a_i$ . Since the rand\_eca is between 0 and 1, I can simply divide up the x axis into accumulating  $a_i$  as shown




Figure 4: With  $N=10^5$  random numbers from rand\_eca, I mapped to other probability density functions: two normal distributions mixed, exponential with  $\lambda=1$ , and  $1/(\pi(1+x^2))$  for each of the plots.




Figure 5: I can approximate the area (with rectangles) and map the areas back to uniform. As I increase the number of rectangles, the mapping gets more precise.

in Figure 5 on the bottom left. Now, I can take my random uniform sample from rand\_eca, and figure out which ith rectangle each value fell into. Then map each value directly onto the corresponding ith target rectangle from g and use the  $x_i$  from g as the transformed number (see left Figure 5). As we increase the number of rectangle divisions, the more accurate the mapping becomes as shown on the right in Figure 5.

I provided the uniform\_to\_pdf(uniform, pdf, a, b, num\_rectangles) (Appendix M) Matlab implementation where I map the random uniform numbers uniform to be distributed like the given pdf function. The approximation requires you to specify the bounds [a,b] of the pdf and the precision with num\_rectangles. For example, If I wanted to sample random values from an exponential distribution with  $\lambda = 1$ , I can do uniform\_to\_pdf(rand\_eca(1e5, 1),  $@(x) \exp(-x)$ , 0, 6, 10000) which produced the third plot in Figure 4.

#### 5 Conclusion

In this paper I have implemented a random uniform function called rand\_eca in Matlab by iterating Elementary Cellular Automata rule 30. I have also implemented a function called uniform\_to\_pdf that transforms the uniform sampling to any other probability density function.

All the functions are open source at <a href="https://github.com/xnought/rand-eca">https://github.com/xnought/rand-eca</a>. If you would like to reproduce the figures and statistical tests from this paper, see the <a href="majore-paper-figures.mlx">paper\_figures.mlx</a>

#### References

- [1] Erica Jen. "Aperiodicity in one-dimensional cellular automata". In: *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* 45.1-3 (1990), pp. 3–18.
- [2] Makoto Matsumoto and Takuji Nishimura. "Mersenne twister: a 623-dimensionally equidistributed uniform pseudo-random number generator". In: ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation (TOMACS) 8.1 (1998), pp. 3–30.
- [3] Stephen Wolfram. "Cellular automata as models of complexity". In: *Nature* 311.5985 (1984), pp. 419–424.
- [4] Stephen Wolfram. "Statistical mechanics of cellular automata". In: Reviews of modern physics 55.3 (1983), p. 601.
- [5] Stephen Wolfram and M Gad-el-Hak. "A new kind of science". In: Appl. Mech. Rev. 56.2 (2003), B18–B19.

#### A rule30.m

### B next\_state\_rule30.m

```
function next_state = next_state_rule30(current_state)
2
       % Takes a 1D vector of size/shape (1, n)
3
       % Produces a 1D vector of size/shape (1, n) with rule30
           applied
4
5
       n = length(current_state);
6
       next_state = zeros(1, n);
8
       % wrap around start
9
       next_state(1) = rule30([current_state(end) current_state(1:2)
          ]);
11
       % slide window over current state
12
       for i = 2:n-1
           window_start = i-1; window_end = i+1; % window of 3 cells
                to produce ith next state
           next_state(i) = rule30(current_state(window_start:
               window_end));
15
       end
```

```
16
17
       % wrap around end
18
       next_state(end) = rule30([current_state(end-1:end)
          current_state(1)]);
19 end
   \mathbf{C}
       iterate_rule30.m
  function eca_generations = iterate_rule30(start_state,
      n_iterations)
         from the start_state sized (1, state_width), iterate with
          rule30 n_iterations number of times
       % returns an matrix (n_iterations, state_width) with
          n_iterations past the start_state with rule30 applied to
          each row
4
5
       width = length(start_state);
6
       eca_generations = zeros(n_iterations, width);
8
       % generates next_state given previous_state starting with
          provided start_state
9
       current_state = start_state;
       for i=1:n_iterations
11
           current_state = next_state_rule30(current_state);
12
           eca_generations(i, :) = current_state;
13
       end
14 end
       visualize_rule30.m
   function visualize_rule30(start_state, n_iterations,
      visualize_from_i)
       \% Visualizes rule 30 in an image for n_iterations from the
          given start_state
3
       % only shows from offset to end
4
5
       eca_generations = iterate_rule30(start_state, n_iterations);
6
       \% also include the start state in visualization
       to_visualize = [start_state;
8
                        eca_generations];
9
       \% show 1s as black cells and 0s as white cells
       invert_colors = not(to_visualize(visualize_from_i:end, :));
       imshow(invert_colors, "InitialMagnification", 1000)
11
12 end
   \mathbf{E}
       bits_to_fractions.m
  function decimal_fractions = bits_to_fractions(bits, n,
      bits_per_number)
       % converts a vector of bits sized into n decimal numbers
```

chunked over with bits\_per\_number precision

3

```
binary_fraction_powers = 2.^(-1:-1:-bits_per_number); % for
           the binary to fraction conversion 2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, ...
       decimal_fractions = zeros(1, n);
6
       for i=1:n
           % pick out chunk over bits by bits_per_number
8
           end_bit_index = i*bits_per_number;
9
           start_bit_index = end_bit_index - (bits_per_number - 1);
           nbits = bits(start_bit_index:end_bit_index);
11
           decimal_fractions(i) = sum(nbits .*
               binary_fraction_powers);
12
       end
13
   end
   \mathbf{F}
       rand_eca.m
   function rand_nums = rand_eca(rows, columns)
       % Computes random numbers uniformly [0, 1) using Elementary
           Cellular Automata Rule 30
       \% you specifiy the size (rows, columns) of the matrix of
          random numbers you get
5
       % these values are set from rng_eca function
6
       global seed
7
       global bits_per_number
8
       global upper_memory_limit
9
       % initialize the seed if not found globally
11
       if isempty(seed)
12
          rng_eca(0); % initialize the seed value
13
       end
14
15
       % Iterate rule 30 to generate random numbers
16
       n = rows*columns;
17
       % Chunk over the timesteps instead of computing all at once
           to save memory
18
       [num_chunks, n_iterations_per_chunk, decimal_nums_per_chunk]
          = compute_chunks(n, bits_per_number, length(seed),
           upper_memory_limit);
19
       rand_nums = zeros(num_chunks, decimal_nums_per_chunk);
20
       for i=1:num_chunks
           % Generate elementary cellular automata
22
           eca_generations = iterate_rule30(seed,
               n_iterations_per_chunk);
23
           seed = eca_generations(end, :); % update seed with last
               ECA row
24
25
           % Convert the generated columns into fractions [0, 1)
26
           bits = reshape(eca_generations, 1, []);
27
           bits_to_fractions(bits, decimal_nums_per_chunk,
               bits_per_number);
```

decimal\_nums\_per\_chunk, bits\_per\_number);

rand\_nums(i, :) = bits\_to\_fractions(bits,

28

29

end

```
30
31
       % Return matrix with specified shape (rows, columns)
       rand_nums = reshape(rand_nums, 1, []);
       rand_nums = reshape(rand_nums(1:n), rows, columns);
34
  end
   \mathbf{G}
        compute_chunks.m
   function [num_chunks, n_iterations_per_chunk,
      decimal_nums_per_chunk] = num_iterations(
      total_numbers_to_generate, bits_per_number, seed_width,
      upper_memory_limit)
       % IMPORTANT: iterate_rule30() generates a (n_iterations,
           length(seed)) sized matrix
       % so if you want to iterate
       \% put n_iterations into smaller chunks to limit memory use
          for large n_iterations
5
6
       % important high-level numbers
       total_bits_to_generate = total_numbers_to_generate*
           bits_per_number;
8
       num_eca_columns = seed_width;
9
       % ECA iterations and chunk size to limit memory consumptions
11
       % column must be atelast of length bits_per_number, but can
          be more
12
       n_iterations = max(bits_per_number, ceil(
           total_bits_to_generate/num_eca_columns));
13
       % break up the n_iterations into smaller chunks
14
       n_iterations_per_chunk = min(n_iterations, upper_memory_limit
          );
15
       num_chunks = ceil(n_iterations / n_iterations_per_chunk);
16
       % the count of decimal random numbers we get per chunk
17
       decimal_nums_per_chunk = ceil(total_numbers_to_generate /
          num_chunks);
18 end
   \mathbf{H}
        rng_eca.m
   function rng_eca(offset)
       % reseeds the rand_eca function for reproducability
       % time_offset allows you to change the seed time_offset
           iterations in the future
5
       \% a single black square in the middle surrounded by white
       % there are seed_radius white cells on the left side then
           another seed_radius number of white cells on the right
           side
```

start\_state = [zeros(1, padding) 1 zeros(1, padding)];

7

9

padding = 512;

```
11
       \% when the start state goes past a certain number of
           iterations (padding number of times),
12
       \mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\%}}} we get rid of the pyramid like pattern
        warmup = padding + offset;
14
        for i=1:warmup
15
            start_state = next_state_rule30(start_state);
16
        end
17
18
       % exposed globally so the rand_eca can access these things
19
        global seed
20
        global bits_per_number % numerical precision
21
       global upper_memory_limit
22
23
       seed = start_state;
24
       bits_per_number = 13;
25
       % we store upper_memory_limit*length(seed) numbers at any
           given time
26
       % must be multiple of bits_per_number
27
        upper_memory_limit = 32*bits_per_number;
28 end
       chi_squared_critical_value.m
   function critical_value = chi_squared_critical_value(data,
```

```
num_bins)
       N = length(data);
3
4
       % count bin frequncies
       bin_edges = (0:num_bins) ./ num_bins; % equally spaced
          num\_bins from 0 to 1
6
       counts = count_bins(bin_edges, data);
       \% compare versus true uniform counts should be
9
       uniform_per_bin_count = N / num_bins;
       true_uniform = zeros(1, num_bins) + uniform_per_bin_count;
11
       E = true_uniform;
12
       0 = counts;
13
       % \sum_{i=1}^{num_bins} (0_i - E_i)^2 / E_i is ~ Chi^2_{df}
          num_bins-1}
14
       critical_value = sum((0 - E).^2 ./ 0);
15 end
```

### J count\_bins.m

```
function counts = count_bins(bin_edges, data)
counts = zeros(1, length(bin_edges) - 1);
for i=1:length(data)
bin_loc = find_bin(bin_edges, data(i));
counts(bin_loc) = counts(bin_loc) + 1;
end
end
```

### K find\_bin.m

```
function bin_loc = find_bin(bin_edges, number)
2
       for i = 1:(length(bin_edges)-1)
3
           % number fit within [bin_edge, bin_edge)
4
           if ( number >= bin_edges(i) ) && ( number < bin_edges(i</pre>
               +1) )
5
               bin_loc = i;
6
                break;
           end
8
       end
9
  end
```

## L chi\_squared\_test.m

## M uniform\_to\_pdf.m

```
function [transformed, areas, target_xs, target_ys] =
      uniform_to_pdf(uniform, pdf, a, b, num_rectangles)
       % compute target mapping areas and what x they correspond to
3
       dx = (b - a) / num_rectangles;
4
       target_xs = a:dx:(b-dx); % rectangle start coordinate x_i
5
       target_ys = pdf(target_xs); % pdf(x_i) or rectangle height
6
       areas = dx .* target_ys;  % rectangle widths times heights
8
       \% then map the random uniform to those xs from the pdf
9
       % weighed by the pdf area for that rectangle
       r = reshape(uniform, [], 1); % column vector
11
       source_bins = cumsum(areas) ./ sum(areas); % the bins in the
          uniform distrubtion sized by the pdf areas
12
13
       % find what rectangle the uniform maps to in the pdf
14
       target_rectangles_indexes = zeros(1, length(r));
15
       for i=1:length(r)
16
           a_i = find(r(i) < source_bins, 1);</pre>
17
           if isempty(a_i)
18
               target_rectangles_indexes(i) = length(source_bins); %
                    end bin
```