

MA147

Haria

October 2025

1 Lecture 2 + 3

1.1 Types of problem

There are 3 types of modelling problem

1. Forward Problems : Make prediction based off of a model and its parameters
2. Inverse problems : Derive parameters based off of a model and data
3. Control Problem : Enforce behaviour on a model

1.2 Dimensional Analysis

1.2.1 Variables

Before discussing any dimensions variables may have it is important to discuss the two kinds of variables. The first is the independent variables. These are things that exist independently or are parameters of our model. So time is a independent variable and an infection rate parameter is also independent.

A dependant variable evolves on a function of the dependant variables. So the amount infected or position may both be dependant variables with respect to time. We can express this relation between dependant and independent variables as follows.

$$\vec{d} = u(\vec{i}), d \in \mathbb{R}^n, i \in \mathbb{R}^m, u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

Here n and m are the number of dependant and independent variables respectively. Its important to note if x is a variable its derivative is the same variable for the purposes of counting as the derivative is an operator applied to it so to count this separately would be to count x^2 separately.

1.2.2 Introducing Dimensions

Dimensional analysis as a tool allows us to simplify models, check models and generalize. It is built on 2 key premises.

1. All quantities have dimensions (this includes dimensionless)

2. Laws relating quantities do not depend on units

Its work noting units are not dimensions. The relationship between these is shown below

| Notation | Dimension | Unit |
|----------|-----------|---------------|
| L | length | metre,foot |
| T | time | seconds,hours |
| M | Mass | grams,kg |
| A | Amount | mol |
| Θ | temp | K |
| Q | Charge | coulomb,e |

Definition 1. Given a variable v let $[v]$ denote the dimension of v

For example $[t] = T$.

1.2.3 Dimensional Manipulation

We have 4 rules for the dimensional manipulation of variables.

1. $[v_1 v_2] = [v_1][v_2]$
2. $v_1 + v_2$ iff $[v_1] = [v_2]$
3. $\left[\frac{dx}{dy} \right] = \left[\frac{x}{y} \right]$ We also get a converse rule for integration by the fundamental theorem of algebra.
4. An argument x to a complex function such as sin or e^x must satisfy $[x] = 1$.

We can use dimensional analysis to reduce mathematical dependencies between variables. Suppose $d = u(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ we may take the following steps to rewrite u to change its dependencies.

1. Write the dimensions of all variables
2. Express fundamental dimensions in terms of these variables (these are scalings)
3. Create a dimensionless version of all quantities by dividing by scaling
4. Make a change of variables to make d in terms of the new variables
5. Use the scaling to sub back in original values

This is quite a lot to do so consider the basic model given by

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \alpha P(t), t > 0$$

$$P(0) = p_0$$

Then let

$$P = u(t, \alpha, p_0)$$

Expressing P in terms of its dependant variables. Now lets go through the steps

1. $[P] = A, [t] = T, [p_0] = A, [\alpha] = T^{-1}$
2. $A = [p_0], T = [\alpha^{-1}]$ these are the only applicable dimensions to the question.
3. $\tilde{p} = \frac{P}{p_0}, \tilde{t} = \alpha t, \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha}, \tilde{p}_0 = \frac{p_0}{p_0}$ its important to note that for all of these $[\tilde{p}] = 1$
4. Now we can re-express d as a function of each these new variables. $\tilde{p} = \tilde{u}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{p}_0)$
5. And now subbing back we get $\frac{P}{p_0} = \tilde{u}(\alpha t, 1, 1)$ Eliminating constant dependencies and rearranging for P gives $P = p_0 \tilde{u}(\alpha t)$.

This process reveals that P only really depends on αt together and not separately then depends on p_0 only as a final scaling factor. This is represented in the solution to the differential equation of $P(t) = p_0 e^{\alpha t}$

2 Lecture 4 - Buckingham II

Theorem 1. *Buckingham II theorem : In a problem*

3 Lecture 10

3.1 Autonomous Equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= f(x(t)), t \in (\alpha, \beta) \\ x(t_0) &= x_0\end{aligned}$$

For many functions f it can be hard to solve the DE.

Something we can do is analys stationary points and the stability of f instead of solutions.

3.1.1 Stationary points

Definition 2. *A stationary point is a point x^* such that $f(x^*) = 0$*

Example 1.

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2 - 1$$

For this the stationary points are $x(t) = \pm 1$

3.1.2 Stability

We don't analyse the stability of the whole function but instead the stability at stationary points.

Definition 3. A stationary point x^* is stable if nearby solutions stay nearby as $t \rightarrow \infty$. otherwise we may call it unstable. This is a local property.

Lemma 1. If x^* is a stationary point of the DE then

- $f'(x^*) > 0$ Then its unstable
- $f'(x^*) < 0$ Then its stable
- $f'(x^*) = 0$ is indeterminate

Proof. Consider points slightly to the left and right of x^* . Let us now consider cases

1. Consider $f'(x^*) > 0$. On the left $f(x) < 0$ and on the right $f(x) > 0$. This means if $x > x^*$ due to the derivative being positive X will grow away from the fixed point to the left. The same argument applies on the left except for the fact it moves away on the left. As such starting off you get pushed away from the stationary point
2. For this case $f'(x^*) < 0$. So on the left $f(x) > 0$ and on the right $f(x) < 0$ this means that we are pushed towards x^* on both sides.
3. The derivatives on either side could be either positive or negative so would require further analysis. Consider $f(x) = x^2$ on the left $f(x) > 0$ Pushing towards the fixed point. On the right $f(x) > 0$ as well so we are still moving to the right. So it is stable in one direction and unstable in another. This is called a saddle point.

□

Example 2.

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = kp \left(1 - \frac{p}{p_m}\right)$$

First step is to find the stationary points of the equation. For this it is $p = 0, p_m$. We can extract that

$$f(p) = kp \left(1 - \frac{p}{p_m}\right)$$

$$f'(p) = k \left(1 - \frac{2p}{p_m}\right)$$

As such we can see $f'(0) = k$ so $p = 0$ is an unstable stationary point. On the other hand $f'(p_m) = -k$ so $p = p_m$ is a stable stationary point. This is all under the assumption $k > 0$ A physical interpretation of this is a population likes to grow to its maximum and stay there.

4 Lecture 11 - Bifurcations

Our goal is to be able to study how stationary points change with a parameter.

Definition 4. A bifurcation is where a small change causes a big change in stationary point behaviour.

4.1 Saddle point bifurcation

Example 3.

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = r + x(t)^2, r \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$f(x) = r + x^2$$

$$f'(x) = 2x$$

Solving for x^* such that $f(x^*) = 0$ we get $x^* = \pm\sqrt{-r}$. For this we have 3 cases for r to consider $r > 0, r = 0, r < 0$

- Consider $r > 0$. this leads to there being no stationary points as negative roots are undefined on \mathbb{R}
- Consider $r = 0$ This leads to one stationary point of $x^* = 0$. We also then get $f'(0) = 0$. On both sides of zero $f(x) > 0$ this means that it's a saddle point. Stable from the left but unstable from right.
- Consider $r < 0$ we have 2 stationary points $x_{\pm}^* = \pm\sqrt{-r}$. As $f'(x_+^*) > 0$ this is unstable and at $f'(x_-^*) < 0$ so stable.

4.2 Bifurcation Diagram

This is a diagram to visualise how the parameters affect stationary points. Here we plot the parameter against the fixed points and draw a stable fixed point as a solid line and unstable as a dashed line.

4.3 Transcritical bifurcation

Example 4.

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rx(t) - x(t)^2$$

$$f(x) = rx - x^2$$

$$f'(x) = r - 2x$$

We can find two stationary points $x_1^* = 0$ and $x_2^* = r$. We will investigate 3 cases.

1. Consider $r = 0$. So therefore we will have only one fixed point. In this case $f'(r) = 0$. $f(x) < 0$ on both sides so it's a saddle point so is stable on the right and unstable on the left.

2. Consider now $r > 0$. We are now guaranteed two stationary points. $f'(0) = r, f'(r) = -r$. This means that x_1^* is unstable and that x_2^* is stable.
3. In the final case we have $r < 0$ which just reverses the stability of the fixed points.

5 Lecture 12 - Bifurcations Cont.

5.1 Pitchfork Bifurcation

Example 5.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= rx(t) - x(t)^3 \\ f(x) &= rx - x^3 \\ f'(x) &= r - 3x^2\end{aligned}$$

We can find the stationary points of this system as $x_1^* = 0, x_2^* = \sqrt{r}, x_3^* = -\sqrt{r}$. We can investigate the normal three cases

1. Consider $r < 0$ there is only one stationary point $x^* = 0$ and $f'(0) = r < 0$ so this is stable
2. Consider now that $r = 0$ this gives one root of multiplicity 3 $x^* = 0$. We have that $f'(0) = 0$. On the left of zero f is positive and on the right f is negative so this means that this is an stable fixed point
3. Consider now $r > 0$ we have three stationary points.
 - (a) $f'(0) = r > 0$ So this is unstable
 - (b) $f'(\sqrt{r}) = -2r < 0$ So this is stable
 - (c) $f'(-\sqrt{r}) = -2r < 0$ So this is stable

Example 6. Consider the population growth equation

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = rp(t) \left(1 - \frac{p(t)}{p_m}\right) - cp(t), r, p, c > 0, 0 \leq p \leq p_m$$

. By non-dimensionalising we can transform the equation as follows.

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = p(t)(1 - p(t)) - cp(t)$$

Where all these are the non dimensionalised versions of the variables. We can analyse this for 2 stationary points. $p_1^* = 0, p_2^* = 1 - c$ and we also know $f'(p) = (1 - c) - 2p$.

1. The first case we will consider $0 < c < 1$. Which gives us 2 stationary points

- (a) $f'(0) = 1 - c > 0$ so unstable
- (b) $f'(1 - c) = -(1 - c) < 0$ So stable.

2. Now consider the second case $c = 1$. Which gives us one stationary point $p^* = 0$. $f'(0) = 0$ and to the left of the point f is negative and on the right f is positive so this is a saddle.
3. When $c > 1$ This forces one of the stationary points to be negative which is outside of the bounds of this question. As such we only have $p^* = 0$. $f'(0) = (1 - c) < 0$ So this is stable.

FISHING CONTEXT

6 Lecture 13 - Linear 2-ODE

In this section of the module we will consider differential equations of the form

$$a(t) \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + b(t) \frac{dx}{dt} + c(t)x(t) = s(t)$$

Considering the simplest form of the problem of the second derivative of x being 0 we find we need to solve for 2 constants. As such the initial value problem will require two initial conditions the value for x_0 at t_0 as well as a value for \dot{x}_0 .

These problems are generally solved in two parts. First is to solve the homogeneous part of the equation with the initial conditions. Further if we have x_1, x_2 are two solutions to this part any linear combination of these two are also a solution. The proof of this is fairly trivial by linearity and can be done by substituting a general linear combination in. This linear combination is called the complementary function.

The next step is to investigate the inhomogeneous equation. We attempt to find a x_p satisfying the DE with both the initial conditions set to zero. This is called the particular solution. By setting the initial conditions for this to zero we allow the initial condition to be satisfied by the complementary part. The fact the sum of these two satisfy the full DE is also very trivial and can be done by linearity.

7 Lecture 14 - Inhomogeneous second order differential equations

Consider differential equations of the form

$$a\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + cx = s(t)$$

There are slightly different solutions for different forms of the functions s

Example 7.

$$\ddot{x} + \dot{x} - 2x = t^2$$

$$x(0) = \dot{x}(0) = 1$$

We will start by making an attempt to find the particular integral. For this particular case we will make a guess of the form of the particular integral as being $p_2t^2 + p_1t + p_0$. In general if s is a polynomial of order n we want to use a polynomial of order n as a guess for the particular integral. From this guess we get

$$\begin{aligned} x &= p_2t^2 + p_1t + p_0 \\ \dot{x} &= 2p_2t + p_1 \\ \ddot{x} &= 2p_2 \end{aligned}$$

We can then substitute these terms back into the original equation and then follow by grouping the like terms giving us the equation.

$$-2p_2t^2 + (2p_2 - 2p_1)t + (2p_2 + p_1 - 2p_0) = t^2$$

We can then by comparing coefficients determine all of the coefficients and get $p_0 = -\frac{3}{4}, p_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, p_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$

Then we now must solve for the complementary function. The roots of the characteristic polynomial is $\lambda_1 = -2, \lambda_2 = 1$. This gives us the general form of

$$l_1e^{-2t} + l_2e^t - \frac{1}{2}t^2 - \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{3}{4}$$

Note here that the particular part contributes to the initial condition. As finding particular solutions can be hard enough anyways we will simply solve the rest of the initial conditions around it. We can then therefore solve $l_1 = \frac{1}{12}, l_2 = \frac{5}{3}$. This gives us the final solution of

$$\frac{1}{12}e^{-2t} + \frac{5}{3}e^t - \frac{1}{2}t^2 - \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{3}{4}$$

Now lets consider a new example

Example 8.

$$\ddot{x} + \dot{x} - 2x = e^{-t}$$

We know that our particular integral and its derivatives will be of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} x &= ke^{-t} \\ \dot{x} &= -ke^{-t} \\ \ddot{x} &= ke^{-t} \end{aligned}$$

We would have run into a problem if $s(t) = e^t$ as this would overlap with part of the solution to the complementary function and in that case we would need to add a factor of t .

We can't skip straight to the initial condition problem as we would have 3 variables to solve for against 2 conditions so we solve for k by checking against the differential equation. Subbing these in and solving for k we find $k = -\frac{1}{2}$. This leaves us with

$$x = l_1 e^{-2t} + l_2 e^t - \frac{1}{2} e^{-t}$$

Now we must solve for l_1, l_2 against the initial conditions and get as follows

$$x = \frac{1}{3} e^{-2t} + \frac{7}{6} e^t - \frac{1}{2} e^{-t}$$