

Simultaneous Elicitation of Committee and Voters' Preferences

B. Napolitano¹, O. Cailloux¹ and P. Viappiani²

¹ LAMSADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, Paris, France

² LIP6, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

RJCIA 2019

LAMSADE

UMR CNRS 7243

laboratoire d'analyse et modélisation de systèmes pour l'aide à la décision

Scenario

Setting: Incompletely specified profile and positional scoring rule

(Head of the)
Committee



$$w_1 > w_2 \geq w_3$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \parallel & & \parallel \\ 1 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Voters

Mickey Donald Goofy



positional
scoring
rule



Goal: Development of an incremental elicitation protocol based on minimax regret



C. Boutilier, R. Patrascu, P. Poupart, and D. Schuurmans.
Constraint-based Optimization and Utility Elicitation using the
Minimax Decision Criterion.
Artificial Intelligence, 170(8–9):686–713, 2006.



Tyler Lu and Craig Boutilier.
Robust approximation and incremental elicitation in voting protocols.
In *Proceedings of IJCAI 2011*, pages 287–293, 2011.



William E. Stein, Philip J. Mizzi, and Roger C. Pfaffenberger.
A stochastic dominance analysis of ranked voting systems with
scoring.
European Journal of Operational Research, 74(1):78 – 85, 1994.



Paolo Viappiani.
Positional scoring rules with uncertain weights.
In *Scalable Uncertainty Management - 12th International Conference, SUM 2018, Milan, Italy, October 3-5, 2018, Proceedings*, pages 306–320, 2018.