On the Underapproximation of Reach Sets of Abstract Continuous-Time Systems

Ievgen Ivanov

Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv ivanov.eugen@gmail.com

We consider the problem of proving that each point in a given set of states ("target set") can indeed be reached by a given nondeterministic continuous-time dynamical system from some initial state. We consider this problem for abstract continuous-time models that can be concretized as various kinds of continuous and hybrid dynamical systems.

The approach to this problem proposed in this paper is based on finding a suitable superset *S* of the target set which has the property that each partial trajectory of the system which lies entirely in *S* either is defined as the initial time moment, or can be locally extended backward in time, or can be locally modified in such a way that the resulting trajectory can be locally extended back in time.

This reformulation of the problem has a relatively simple logical expression and is convenient for applying various local existence theorems and local dynamics analysis methods to proving reachability which makes it suitable for reasoning about the behavior of continuous and hybrid dynamical systems in proof assistants such as Mizar, Isabelle, etc.

1 Introduction

Real-time embedded and cyber-physical systems (CPS) [4, 13] are important types of artificial systems that combine software and hardware and interact closely with external devices and the physical environment. One of the important aspect of such systems is their dynamical behavior which depends on the behavior of the physical environment with which they interact. Normally, the latter behavior of the environment can be represented using the modeling notion of a global continuous (real) time, so on a certain level of abstraction it makes sense to model the dynamical behavior of an embedded/cyber-physical system also in terms of a global continuous time. On other levels, more close to the software, one may wish to focus on the discrete-continuous nature of this behavior and consider alternative time models that are convenient in this case, like hybrid time (used in hybrid automata) [6], superdense time [13], nonglobal time models, etc. Still continuous-time models play an important role in the definitions of various classes of discrete-continuous (hybrid) models [6] and special kinds of hybrid models admit reformulation in the form of certain kinds of well-known continuous-time models [6], one of the most general among which are differential inclusions [2]. To illustrate this generality, ordinary differential equations (x'(t) = f(x(t), t)), differential equations with discontinuous right-hand side (x'(t) = f(x(t), t)), where f is discontinuous, under various notions of solution like Carathéodory solution [5], Filippov solution [5], etc.), implicit differential equations (f(t,x(t),x'(t))=0), differential inequalities $(x'(t) \ge f(x(t),t))$, certain hybrid dynamical systems [6], switched systems [14], etc. can be reformulated [15, p. 312] in the form of a differential inclusion [2] $x'(t) \in F(x(t),t)$, where F is a function from a subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to $2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$. (Note, however, that a differential inclusion admits different notions of solution, e.g. ordinary solution [3, 5], classical solution [3], g-solution [3], etc., and in the case of the mentioned reformulation, different notions of solutions for an initial model correspond to different kinds of solutions of the resulting inclusion). Despite their generality, differential inclusions cannot be a common mathematical ground

I. Ivanov 47

suitable for describing all dynamical aspects of embedded systems/CPS. One of the reasons is that they are concrete models that cannot encompass abstractions of various elements of a system in a convenient way. E.g., they require a specific structure of the state space in which their solutions/trajectories take values (\mathbb{R}^n or more general topological vector spaces). If one uses a differential inclusion to model the behavior of a whole CPS, one is forced to assume that the state space of the CPS is e.g. \mathbb{R}^n , encode the states of various (software, hardware) layers from which a CPS consists in real vectors, and encode the description of the behavior of software in derivative constraints. Such an encoding may be used, if it is necessary for automatic analysis/verification of a system, but it is arguably unsuitable for the purpose of specifying system behavior and reasoning about or refining system behavior specification.

For such purposes abstract continuous-time dynamical models are desirable (that do not impose restrictions on the state space), that admit refinement to concrete dynamical models (e.g. those that can be described by state transition systems, differential equations, switched systems, etc.) and have certain general analysis principles and property proof principles that can be concretized after refinement. Such abstract dynamical models can be found, e.g. in various variants of the mathematical systems theory (a list of references can be found in [11]).

An abstract dynamical system model usually describes a set of abstract trajectories which are mappings from a time scale to an abstract state space. The behavior of a system is defined by the set of its possible trajectories. In some cases, a class of abstract models can be described by stating axiomatically the assumed properties of trajectories of its systems.

Following this approach, in [9, 11] we defined a class of abstract dynamical system models which we called Nondeterministic Complete Markovian Systems (NCMS) which can be seen as a subclass of O. Hájek solution systems [7, 8].

A NCMS is a triple (T,Q,Tr), where T is a time scale (which is assumed to be the real interval $[0,+\infty)$), Q is a nonempty set (state space), and $Tr \subseteq (T \tilde{\to} Q)$ is a set of partial functions from T to Q which are called trajectories. To be a NCMS, by the definition the set Tr must satisfy 3 rather non-restrictive properties (be closed under proper restrictions, be Markovian, and be complete in the sense that each nonempty chain of trajectories in the sense of the subtrajectory relation must have a supremum in Tr, see [12] for the details). The mentioned Markovian property in this case is not formally related to the Markov processes in the probability theory, but has a rather similar idea: informally, it states that at any time moment, the possible future evolution of the state of the system depends on its current state, but not on the way by which the system reached it.

One can also view NCMS as a generalization of state transition systems to continuous time, since the properties of Tr stated in the definition of NCMS are satisfied for transition systems, when interpreted in terms of discrete time and transition system runs. By themselves NCMS describe evolutions of the state of a system (trajectories). If necessary, it is also possible to associate "observable traces" with trajectories (which are quantities that evolve with trajectories or are simply pointwise projections of trajectories). After this association one gets an analog of a labeled transition system (LTS) in continuous time. We call this analog a labeled NCMS. This notion is defined in [12].

Many concrete dynamical models are instances of NCMS [11, 9] (which are also examples of O. Hájek's solution systems), including models the behavior of which is described by classical and Carátheodory solutions of ordinary differential equations, ordinary solutions of differential inequalities and inclusions, switched systems, various hybrid dynamical systems.

The main feature of NCMS (not available for general O. Hájek's solution systems) is that they admit reduction of certain forms of analysis of their global-in-time behavior to analysis of their local-in-time behavior (which can be done using methods depending on the means used to specify this behavior – differential equations, inclusions, etc.; in all such cases the set of well-known local-in-time analysis

methods is quite large, including solution approximation methods with guaranteed error bounds, etc.). One example of this reduction is the method of proving the existence of global (i.e. defined on T) trajectories which is based on proving the existence of some trajectories defined in a neighborhood of each time moment described in [9].

Like in the case of other types of dynamical systems, one can study the behavior of a NCMS by analyzing its reach set. A t-reach set is defined as the set of states which can be reached by a NCMS at the time moments in [0,t] by following trajectories that start at the time moment 0. Reach sets can have complex structure, so for system behavior analysis it is usually more useful to consider their under- and over-approximations by well-behaved sets. One can consider this as a computational problem (compute under- and over-approximations of the t-reach set), or as a decision problem (check if a given candidate set is an under- or over-approximation of the t-reach set).

In this paper we focus on the latter case, and, moreover, focus on methods of proving that its answer is affirmative. This view is useful when one considers applying interactive proof assistants such as Mizar system, Isabelle, Coq, etc. to the verification of cyber-physical systems.

In this context, an obvious approach to proving that a given set *A* is an overapproximation of a *t*-reach set is to show that it contains an invariant set (i.e. a set of states *I* such that each trajectory that starts in *I* remains forever in *I*) which includes the set of initial states of a NCMS.

Consider the problem of proving that a given set A is an underapproximation of a t-reach set of a NCMS. Below we propose an approach to this problem based on finding a suitable superset S of A which has the property which, informally, means that that each partial trajectory of the system which lies entirely in S either is defined as the initial time moment, or can be locally extended backward in time, or can be locally modified in such a way that the resulting trajectory can be locally extended back in time. This condition has a relatively simple logical expression and is convenient for applying a wide range of local existence theorems and local dynamics analysis methods such as linearization of system's dynamics, various series expansions, approximations, singularity analysis to proving reachability. This is important in the case of using proof assistants for verifying bounds of t-reach sets of continuous- or discrete-continuous models. For an example of formalization of NCMS and related results in the proof assistant Isabelle, we refer to [1].

2 Preliminaries

We will use the following notation: $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ is the set of natural numbers; \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers, \mathbb{R}_+ is the set of nonnegative real numbers, $f: A \to B$ is a total function from a set A to B; $f: A\tilde{\to}B$ is a partial function from A to B, 2^A is the power set of a set A, $f|_A$ is the restriction of a function f to a set A. For a function $f: A\tilde{\to}B$ we will use the notation $f(x) \downarrow (f(x) \uparrow)$ to denote that f(x) is defined, or, respectively, is undefined on the argument x.

We will not distinguish the notions of a function and a functional binary relation. When we write that a function $f: A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ is total or surjective, we mean that f is total on the set A specifically (f(x)) is defined for all $x \in A$, or, respectively, is onto B (for each $y \in B$ there exists $x \in A$ such that y = f(x)).

For any $f: A \tilde{\to} B$ denote $dom(f) = \{x \mid f(x) \downarrow\}$, $range(f) = \{y \mid \exists x \in dom(f) \ y = f(x)\}$. For any partial functions f, g the notation $f(x) \cong g(x)$ will mean the strong equality: $f(x) \downarrow$ if and only if $g(x) \downarrow$, and $f(x) \downarrow$ implies f(x) = g(x). We will denote by $f \circ g$ the functional composition: $(f \circ g)(x) \cong f(g(x))$ and by $f|_A$ the restriction of a function f to a set A, i.e. a function defined on $dom(f) \cap A$ such that the graph of $f|_A$ is a subset of the graph of f.

Denote by T the non-negative real time scale $[0,+\infty)$. We will assume that T is equipped with a

I. Ivanov 49

topology induced by the standard topology on \mathbb{R} .

The symbols \neg , \lor , \land , \Rightarrow , \Leftrightarrow will denote the logical operations of negation, disjunction, conjunction, implication, and equivalence respectively.

Let us denote by \mathfrak{T} the set of all intervals in T (connected subsets) which have the cardinality greater than one. Let Q be a set (a state space) and Tr be some set of functions of the form $s:A\to Q$, where $A\in\mathfrak{T}$. We will call the elements of Tr (partial) trajectories.

Definition 1 ([9, 10]) A set of trajectories Tr is closed under proper restrictions (CPR), if $s|_A \in Tr$ for each $s \in Tr$ and $A \in \mathfrak{T}$ such that $A \subseteq dom(s)$.

Let us introduce the following notation: if f, g are partial functions, $f \sqsubseteq g$ means that the graph of f is a subset of the graph of g, and $f \sqsubseteq g$ means that the graph of f is a proper subset of g.

Definition 2 Let $s_1, s_2 \in Tr$ be trajectories. Then s_1 is called a subtrajectory of s_2 , if $s_1 \sqsubseteq s_2$.

The pair (Tr, \sqsubseteq) is a possibly empty partially ordered set.

Definition 3 ([9, 10]) A CPR set of trajectories Tr is

- (1) Markovian, if for each $s_1, s_2 \in Tr$ and $t_0 \in T$ such that $t_0 = \sup dom(s_1) = \inf dom(s_2)$, $s_1(t_0) \downarrow$, $s_2(t_0) \downarrow$, and $s_1(t_0) = s_2(t_0)$, the following function s belongs to Tr: $s(t) = s_1(t)$, if $t \in dom(s_1)$ and $s(t) = s_2(t)$, if $t \in dom(s_2)$.
- (2) complete, if each non-empty chain in (Tr, \sqsubseteq) has a supremum.

Definition 4 ([9, 10]) A nondeterministic complete Markovian system (NCMS) is a triple (T, Q, Tr), where Q is a set (called the state space) and Tr (called the set of trajectories) is a set of functions $s: T \tilde{\rightarrow} Q$ such that $dom(s) \in \mathfrak{T}$, which is CPR, complete, and Markovian.

Examples of representation of sets of trajectories of well-known continuous and discrete-continuous dynamical models such as ordinary differential equations, differential inclusions, switched systems, etc. as can be found in [12, 9, 7, 8].

3 Main Result

Definition 5 Let $I_1, I_2 \in \mathfrak{T}$ and $s_1 : I_1 \to Q$, $s_2 : I_2 \to Q$. Then s_2 is called a backward extension of s_1 , if $s_1 \sqsubset s_2$ and $t_2 \le t_1$ for each $(t_1, t_2) \in dom(s_1) \times dom(s_2)$.

Definition 6 *Let* $s_1: I_1 \to Q$ *where* $I_1 \in \mathfrak{T}$.

Then a τ -backward escape from s_1 at time d, where d is a non-maximal element of $dom(s_1)$, is a function $s_2 : [c,d] \to Q$ for some $c \in T$, c < d such that $\tau = d - c$ and $s_2(d) = s_1(d)$.

Definition 7 A trajectory $s \in Tr$ of a NCMS (T, Q, Tr) is called

- *initial, if* $s(0) \downarrow (i.e.$ *s is defined at the initial time moment* 0);
- S-valued, where S is a set, if range(s) \subseteq S.

Definition 8 Let $\Sigma = (T, Q, Tr)$ be a NCMS and $t_0 \in (0, +\infty)$.

• The t_0 -reach set of Σ is the set $\{q \in Q \mid \exists s \in Tr_0 \exists t \in dom(s) \cap [0,t_0] \mid q=s(t)\}$, where Tr_0 is the set of all initial trajectories of Σ (i.e. the set of states that are attained at some time in $[0,t_0]$ by some initial trajectory).

- An underapproximation of a t_0 -reach set of Σ is a subset of the t_0 -reach set of Σ .
- The t_0 -right range set of Σ is the set $\{q \in Q \mid \exists s \in Tr : dom(s) \subseteq [0,t_0] \land \max dom(s) \downarrow \land q = s(\max dom(s))\}$ (i.e. the set of states that are attainted at the right end of some trajectory defined within $[0,t_0]$, but not necessarily an initial trajectory).
- Σ is f-backward extensible, where $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a function of class K (i.e. a continuous, strictly increasing function such that f(0) = 0), if for each $s \in Tr$ at least one of the following holds:
 - a) s is an initial trajectory;
 - b) there exists $s' \in Tr$ such that s' is a backward extension of s;
 - c) dom(s) has no minimum element and there exists $s' \in Tr$, $t \in T a$ non-maximal element of dom(s), and $\tau \ge f(t \inf dom(s))$ such that s' is a τ -backward escape from s at time t.
- A sub-NCMS of Σ is a NCMS $\Sigma = (T, Q', Tr')$ such that $Q \subseteq Q'$, $Tr \subseteq Tr'$.

Theorem 1 Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function of class K, Σ be a NCMS, $t_0 \in (0, +\infty)$, $A \subseteq Q$. Then A is an underapproximation of the t_0 -reach set of Σ if and only if A is a subset of the t_0 -right range set of some f-backward extensible sub-NCMS of Σ .

Proof. We will use the terminology of [9, 12, 11].

"If" The idea is to apply the method of proving the existence of infinite-in-time trajectories of NCMS described in [9], but in reversed time and to show that locally defined trajectories that end in A can be continued backward all the way until the initial time moment using left (time revered) extensibility measures [12]. Let A be a subset of the t_0 -right range set of $\Sigma' = (T, Q', Tr')$, where Σ' is a f-backward extensible sub-NCMS of Σ . Let $f^-(a,b) = a - f(a - b)$. From the conditions of the f-backward extensible NCMS it follows that Σ' satisfies the local backward extensibility property and each left dead-end path in Σ' is f^- -escapable. Then by the theorem about the left-dead end path (a time reversal of the theorem about the right-dead end path as formulated in [12]), each left dead-end path in Σ' is strongly escapable.

Let $q \in A$. Then $q = s(\max dom(s))$ for some $s \in Tr'$ such that $dom(s) \subseteq [0,t_0]$. Denote $b = \max dom(s)$. Then $s \in Tr$. If s is an initial trajectory or is a subtrajectory of an initial trajectory of Σ' , then q is attained by an initial trajectory of Σ at a time in $[0,t_0]$, so q is in the t_0 -reach set of Σ .

Assume the contrary, i.e. s is not a subtrajectory of an initial trajectory of Σ' . Suppose that there exists a trajectory of Σ' which is a backward extension of s. Then the completeness property of NCMS implies that Tr' contains a maximal (in the sense of the subtrajectory relation \sqsubseteq) backward extension of s (among backward extensions of s in Tr'). Denote it as s'. Then s' cannot be an initial trajectory, since otherwise s would be a subtrajectory of an initial trajectory of Σ' . Also, s' has no backward extension in Tr'. Since Σ' is f-backward extensible, dom(s') does not have a minimal element. Then dom(s') = (a,b] for some $a \in T$ such that a < b. Then s' is a *left dead-end path* and it is strongly escapable. Moreover, q = s'(b) and $b \le t_0$. This implies that q is attained by an initial trajectory of Σ at some time in $[0,t_0]$, so q belongs to the t_0 -reach set of Σ .

Suppose that there is no trajectory of Σ' which is a backward extension of s. Also s is not an initial trajectory. Since Σ' is f-backward extensible, dom(s) does not have a minimal element. Then s is a *left dead-end path* in Σ' and it is *strongly escapable*. Moreover, q = s(b) and $b \le t_0$. This implies that q is attained by an initial trajectory of Σ at some time in $[0,t_0]$, so q is in the t_0 -reach set of Σ .

I. Ivanov 51

"Only if" Let A be a subset of the t_0 -reach set of Σ . Let Tr_0 be the set of initial trajectories of Σ , $Tr' = \{s' : I \to Q \mid I \in \mathfrak{T} \land \exists s \in Tr_0 \ s' = s|_I\}$, and $\Sigma' = (T,Q,Tr')$. It is easy to see that Tr' is CPR, Markovian, and complete (in the sense of Definition 3), so Σ' is a NCMS. Moreover, it is a sub-NCMS of Σ , and is f-backward extensible, since each non-initial trajectory of Σ' has a backward extension in Tr'. Moreover, for each initial trajectory s of Σ , $s|_{[0,t_0]}$ is a trajectory with the domain in $[0,t_0]$ and by the CPR property of the NCMS, its range is attainted at the right ends of trajectories of Σ' defined within $[0,t_0]$, so A is a subset of the t_0 -right range set of Σ' . Thus A is a subset of the t_0 -right range set of Σ' , a f-backward extensible sub-NCMS of Σ .

An obvious way of obtaining a sub-NCMS of a NCMS Σ is restricting the state space of Σ . More specifically, it is easy to check that if $S \subseteq Q$ and Tr_S is the set of all S-valued trajectories of Σ , then $\Sigma_S = (T, S, Tr_S)$ is a sub-NCMS of Σ . This implies the above-mentioned approach to proving that a given set A is an underapproximation of a t_0 -reach set of a NCMS: to prove this it is sufficient to choose a function f of class K and find/guess a superset S of A which has the property that A is a subset of the t_0 -right range set of Σ_S and Σ_S is f-backward extensible.

References

- [1] https://code.google.com/archive/p/isabelle-behavioral-approach/downloads.
- [2] Jean-Pierre Aubin (2009): Viability Theory. Springer Nature, doi:10.1007/978-0-8176-4910-4.
- [3] A. Bacciotti (2005): Generalized solutions of differential inclusions and stability. Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math, pp. 183–192.
- [4] R. Baheti & H. Gill (2011): Cyber-physical systems. The Impact of Control Technology, pp. 161–166.
- [5] A. F. Filippov (1988): Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides. Springer Netherlands, doi:10.1007/978-94-015-7793-9.
- [6] R. Goebel, R. G. Sanfelice & A. Teel (2009): *Hybrid dynamical systems*. *Control Systems*, *IEEE* 29(2), pp. 28–93, doi:10.1109/MCS.2008.931718.
- [7] O. Hájek (1967): Theory of processes, I. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 17, pp. 159–199.
- [8] O. Hájek (1967): Theory of processes, II. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 17(3), pp. 372–398.
- [9] Ievgen Ivanov (2013): A Criterion for Existence of Global-in-Time Trajectories of Non-deterministic Markovian Systems. In: ICT in Education, Research, and Industrial Applications, Springer, pp. 111–130, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-35737-4_7.
- [10] Ievgen Ivanov (2013): On Existence of Total Input-Output Pairs of Abstract Time Systems. In: Information and Communication Technologies in Education, Research, and Industrial Applications, Springer, pp. 308–331, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-03998-5_16.
- [11] Ievgen Ivanov (2014): On Representations of Abstract Systems with Partial Inputs and Outputs. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, pp. 104–123, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-06089-7_8.
- [12] Ievgen Ivanov (2016): On Local Characterization of Global Timed Bisimulation for Abstract Continuous-Time Systems. In: Coalgebraic Methods in Computer Science, Springer, pp. 216–234, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-40370-0_13.
- [13] E.A. Lee & S.A. Seshia (2017): Introduction to embedded systems: A cyber-physical systems approach, Second Edition. MIT Press.
- [14] Daniel Liberzon (2003): Switching in Systems and Control. Birkhäuser Boston, doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0017-8.
- [15] Dušan Repovš & Pavel V. Semenov (2013): *Continuous Selections of Multivalued Mappings*. In: Recent *Progress in General Topology III*, Atlantis Press, pp. 711–749, doi:10.2991/978-94-6239-024-9_17.