

Xingjian Shen

CSCE 451 HW3

NUID: 25024803

1.

FCFS:

Finish Time: A = 3, B = 8, C = 10, D = 15, E = 20

Turnaround Time: A = 3, B = 7, C = 7, D = 6, E = 8

RR:

Finish Time: A = 3, B = 10, C = 9, D = 19, E = 20

Turnaround Time: A = 3, B = 9, C = 6, D = 10, E = 8

SPN:

Finish Time: A = 3, B = 10, C = 5, D = 15, E = 20

Turnaround Time: A = 3, B = 9, C = 2, D = 6, E = 8

SRT:

Finish Time: A = 3, B = 10, C = 5, D = 15, E = 20

Turnaround Time: A = 3, B = 9, C = 2, D = 6, E = 8

2.

If round-robin is used we will NOT encounter the same problem.

Explain: The round-robin takes the two processes in the ready queue and sets a quantum for the execution time for each piece of small running process chunk. If the L process is not finished within its critical section, preemption is initiated for the current L process chunk and gives CPU to the H process chunk of equal quantum, and this running mode alternates itself until both H and L finish their burst time.

3.

Given the information,

Useful CPU time = X , Wasted CPU time = $Y(X/Q)$;

Total CPU time = $X + Y(X/Q)$;

CPU Efficiency: $E = X / (X + Y(X/Q))$;

$Q = \infty$:

Switching takes no time \rightarrow

$$E = X / (X + 0) = 1$$

$Q > X$:

Quantum covers each and every process running time, so CPU is very efficient \rightarrow No switching \rightarrow

$$E = 1$$

$Y < Q < X$:

Since a quantum cannot cover a single process running time, and switching time is not given, so the efficiency depends on the formula \rightarrow

$$E = X / (X + Y(X/Q))$$

$Q = Y$:

$$E = X / (X + Y(X/Q)) = X / (X + Q(X/Q)) = X / (X + X)$$

$$\rightarrow E = 0.5$$

$Q \rightarrow 0, Q \neq 0$:

$$E = X / (X + Y(X/Q)) \rightarrow X / (X + \infty) = 0.$$

