Synchronization

Synchronization Motivation

- When threads concurrently read/write shared memory, program behavior is undefined
 - Two threads write to the same variable; which one should win?
- Thread schedule is non-deterministic
 - Behavior changes when re-run program
- Compiler/hardware instruction reordering
- Multi-word operations are not atomic

Question: Can this panic?

```
Thread 1
                             Thread 2
p = someComputation();
                             while (!pInitialized)
plnitialized = true;
                             q = someFunction(p);
                             if (q != someFunction(p))
                                panic
```

Why Reordering?

- Why do compilers reorder instructions?
 - Efficient code generation requires analyzing control/data dependency
 - If variables can spontaneously change, most compiler optimizations become impossible
- Why do CPUs reorder instructions?
 - Write buffering: allow next instruction to execute while write is being completed

Fix: memory barrier

- Instruction to compiler/CPU
- All ops before barrier complete before barrier returns
- No op after barrier starts until barrier returns

Too Much Milk Example

	Person A	Person B
12:30	Look in fridge. Out of milk.	
12:35	Leave for store.	
12:40	Arrive at store.	Look in fridge. Out of milk.
12:45	Buy milk.	Leave for store.
12:50	Arrive home, put milk away.	Arrive at store.
12:55		Buy milk.
1:00		Arrive home, put milk away. Oh no!

ruce > 1009i -> Cri -> 9intuis nxnsz muler -> Johns & lock

Definitions

> มีกาเด็บกรับบุคกับกับ

Race condition: output of a concurrent program depends on the order of operations between threads

Mutual exclusion: only one thread does a particular thing at a ATTIS: -> MORINGITIE HAVERD INENENTITUMISM IMIAN -> MINETON LOCK time

- Critical section: piece of code that only one thread can execute 2 2544005 Cude masons là throud cath Mismilana 1 throud (unt t.

90/11/02/11/11/18

Lock: prevent someone from doing something

- Lock before entering critical section, before accessing shared anaishared res
 - Unlock when leaving, after done accessing shared data
 - Wait if locked (all synchronization involves waiting!)

assucritical section (1) (1) (1)

Too Much Milk, Try #1

- Correctness property
 - Someone buys if needed (liveness)
 - At most one person buys (safety)

```
    Try #1: leave a note if (!note)
        if (!milk) {
            leave note
            buy milk
            remove note
        }
```

Too Much Milk, Try #2

```
Thread A
                              Thread B
leave note A
                              leave note B
if (!note B) {
                              if (!noteA) {
  if (!milk)
                                if (!milk)
    buy milk
                                   buy milk
remove note A
                              remove note B
```

Too Much Milk, Try #3

Thread A Thread B leave note A leave note B while (note B) // X if (!noteA) { // Y if (!milk) do nothing; if (!milk) buy milk buy milk; remove note A remove note B Can guarantee at X and Y that either: (i) Safe for me to buy (ii) Other will buy, ok to quit

Lessons

- Solution is complicated
 - "obvious" code often has bugs
- Modern compilers/architectures reorder instructions
 - Making reasoning even more difficult
- Generalizing to many threads/processors
 - Even more complex: see Peterson's algorithm

Roadmap

Concurrent Applications

Shared Objects

Bounded Buffer Barrier

Synchronization Variables

Semaphores Locks Condition Variables

Atomic Instructions

Interrupt Disable Test-and-Set

Hardware

Multiple Processors Hardware Interrupts

Locks

- Lock::acquire
 - wait until lock is free, then take it
- Lock::release
 - release lock, waking up anyone waiting for it
- 1. At most one lock holder at a time (safety)
- 2. If no one holding, acquire gets lock (progress)
- 3. If all lock holders finish and no higher priority waiters, waiter eventually gets lock (progress)

Question: Why only Acquire/Release

 Suppose we add a method to a lock, to ask if the lock is free. Suppose it returns true. Is the lock:

- Free?
- Busy?
- Don't know?

Too Much Milk, #4

Locks allow concurrent code to be much simpler:

```
lock.acquire();
if (!milk)
  buy milk
lock.release();
```

Lock Example: Malloc/Free

```
char *malloc (n) {
    heaplock.acquire();
    p = allocate memory
    heaplock.release();
    return p;
}

void free(char *p) {
    heaplock.acquire();
    put p back on free list
    heaplock.release();
    return p;
}
```

Rules for Using Locks

- Lock is initially free
- Always acquire before accessing shared data structure
 - Beginning of procedure!
- Always release after finishing with shared data
 - End of procedure!
 - Only the lock holder can release
 - DO NOT throw lock for someone else to release
- Never access shared data without lock
 - Danger!

Will this code work?

```
if (p == NULL) {
                                newP() {
   lock.acquire();
                                    p = malloc(sizeof(p));
   if (p == NULL) {
                                   p->field1 = ...
     p = newP();
                                   p->field2 = ...
                                   return p;
   lock.release();
use p->field1
```

Example: Bounded Buffer

```
tryget() {
                                     tryput(item) {
                                       lock.acquire();
    item = NULL;
    lock.acquire();
                                       if ((tail – front) < size) {</pre>
    if (front < tail) {</pre>
                                          buf[tail % MAX] = item;
      item = buf[front % MAX];
                                          tail++;
      front++;
                                       lock.release();
    lock.release();
    return item;
Initially: front = tail = 0; lock = FREE; MAX is buffer capacity
```

Question

 If tryget returns NULL, do we know the buffer is empty?

 If we poll tryget in a loop, what happens to a thread calling tryput?

Condition Variables . Puls

• Waiting inside a critical section (

Called only when holding a lock

2 ตัว ชาง lock obj ๆ บากรเช่งมาชากับ

รากรสรสิญฝน

- Wait: atomically release lock and relinquish processor
 - Reacquire the lock when wakened
- Signal: wake up a waiter, if any
- Broadcast: wake up all waiters, if any

Condition Variable Design Pattern

```
methodThatWaits() {
                                   methodThatSignals() {
  lock.acquire();
                                     lock.acquire();
  // Read/write shared state
                                     // Read/write shared state
                                     // If testSharedState is now true
  while (!testSharedState()) {
     cv.wait(&lock);
                                     cv.signal(&lock);
  // Read/write shared state
                                     // Read/write shared state
  lock.release();
                                     lock.release();
```

Example: Bounded Buffer

```
put(item) {
get() {
  lock.acquire();
                                    lock.acquire();
  while (front == tail) {
                                    while ((tail – front) == MAX) {
    empty.wait(lock);
                                      full.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[tail % MAX] = item;
  front++;
                                    tail++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
  lock.release();
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = 0; MAX is buffer capacity empty/full are condition variables

Pre/Post Conditions

- What is state of the bounded buffer at lock acquire?
 - front <= tail</pre>
 - front + MAX >= tail
- These are also true on return from wait
- And at lock release
- Allows for proof of correctness

18 W. 8

Pre/Post Conditions

```
methodThatSignals() {
lock.acquire();
                                              methodThatWaits() {
                                                                  lock.acquire(); Sismilis lock
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               // Pre-condition: State is consistent 👸 😘
                                                                   // Pre-condition: State is consistent
                                                                // Read/write shared state โคกราปิปเพื่อ
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               // Read/write shared state
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       If testSharedState is now true
                                                                 cv.signal(&lock); 大気がいいいがり、ハロ WARNING: signal keeps lock 21000
| การบารเรา (รับการ Yesture y
                                                // have changed! But
// testSharedState is TRUE
// and pre-condition is true
| lock.release(); | วารการ์สาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดสาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้สาดให้
```

Condition Variables

Motions fixetionalistich

- ALWAYS hold lock when calling wait, signal, broadcast
 - Condition variable is sync FOR shared state
 - ALWAYS hold lock when accessing shared state
- Condition variable is memoryless Marmonsignal
 - If signal when no one is waiting, no op
 - If wait before signal, waiter wakes up
- Wait atomically releases lock
 - What if wait, then release?
 - What if release, then wait?

Janlou zatrazilasams wait

10%

Condition Variables, cont'd

अध्यात्र माण्याक

- When a thread is worken up from wait, it may not run immediately with your schedules your found of schedules your found your
 - Signal/broadcast put thread on ready list
 - When lock is released, anyone might acquire it
- Wait MUST be in a loop while (needToWait()) { condition.Wait(lock);
- Simplifies implementation
 - Of condition variables and locks
 - Of code that uses condition variables and locks

เจ้ากลับลู่ แนารถปล

Java Manual

When waiting upon a Condition, a "spurious wakeup" is permitted to occur, in general, as a concession to the underlying platform semantics. This has little practical impact on most application programs as a Condition should always be waited upon in a loop, testing the state predicate that is being waited for.

Structured Synchronization

- Identify objects or data structures that can be accessed by multiple threads concurrently
 - In OS/161 kernel, everything!
- Add locks to object/module
 - Grab lock on start to every method/procedure
 - Release lock on finish
- If need to wait
 - while(needToWait()) { condition.Wait(lock); }
 - Do not assume when you wake up, signaller just ran
- If do something that might wake someone up
 - Signal or Broadcast
- Always leave shared state variables in a consistent state
 - When lock is released, or when waiting

Remember the rules

- Use consistent structure
- Always use locks and condition variables
- Always acquire lock at beginning of procedure, release at end
- Always hold lock when using a condition variable
- Always wait in while loop
- Never spin in sleep()

Mesa vs. Hoare semantics

Mesa

- Signal puts waiter on ready list
- Signaller keeps lock and processor

Hoare

- Signal gives processor and lock to waiter
- When waiter finishes, processor/lock given back to signaller
- Nested signals possible!

FIFO Bounded Buffer (Hoare semantics)

```
put(item) {
get() {
                                    lock.acquire();
  lock.acquire();
  if (front == tail) {
                                    if ((tail – front) == MAX) {
    empty.wait(lock);
                                      full.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[last % MAX] = item;
  front++;
                                    last++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
                                   // CAREFUL: someone else ran
  lock.release();
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = 0; MAX is buffer capacity empty/full are condition variables

FIFO Bounded Buffer (Mesa semantics)

- Create a condition variable for every waiter
- Queue condition variables (in FIFO order)
- Signal picks the front of the queue to wake up
- CAREFUL if spurious wakeups!

- Easily extends to case where queue is LIFO, priority, priority donation, ...
 - With Hoare semantics, not as easy

FIFO Bounded Buffer (Mesa semantics, put() is similar)

```
get() {
  lock.acquire();
                                   delete self;
                                   item = buf[front % MAX];
  myPosition = numGets++;
  self = new Condition;
                                   front++;
                                   if (next = nextPut.remove()) {
  nextGet.append(self);
  while (front < myPosition
                                      next->signal(lock);
       || front == tail) {
    self.wait(lock);
                                   lock.release();
                                   return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = numGets = 0; MAX is buffer capacity nextGet, nextPut are queues of Condition Variables

Implementing Synchronization

Concurrent Applications

Semaphores

Locks

Condition Variables

Interrupt Disable

Atomic Read/Modify/Write Instructions

Multiple Processors

Hardware Interrupts

Implementing Synchronization

Take 1: using memory load/store

See too much milk solution/Peterson's algorithm

Take 2:

```
Lock::acquire()
    { disable interrupts }
Lock::release()
    { enable interrupts }
```

Lock Implementation, Uniprocessor

```
Lock::acquire() {
                                  Lock::release() {
  disableInterrupts();
                                     disableInterrupts();
  if (value == BUSY) {
                                     if (!waiting.Empty()) {
    waiting.add(myTCB);
                                       next = waiting.remove();
    myTCB->state = WAITING;
                                       next->state = READY;
    next = readyList.remove();
                                       readyList.add(next);
    switch(myTCB, next);
                                     } else {
                                       value = FREE;
    myTCB->state = RUNNING;
  } else {
                                     enableInterrupts();
    value = BUSY;
  enableInterrupts();
```

Multiprocessor

- Read-modify-write instructions
 - Atomically read a value from memory, operate on it, and then write it back to memory
 - Intervening instructions prevented in hardware
- Examples
 - Test and set
 - Intel: xchgb, lock prefix
 - Compare and swap
- Any of these can be used for implementing locks and condition variables!

Spinlocks

A spinlock is a lock where the processor waits in a loop for the lock to become free

- Assumes lock will be held for a short time
- Used to protect the CPU scheduler and to implement locks

```
Spinlock::acquire() {
   while (testAndSet(&lockValue) == BUSY)
   ;
}
Spinlock::release() {
   lockValue = FREE;
   memorybarrier();
}
```

How many spinlocks?

- Various data structures
 - Queue of waiting threads on lock X
 - Queue of waiting threads on lock Y
 - List of threads ready to run
- One spinlock per kernel?
 - Bottleneck!
- Instead:
 - One spinlock per lock
 - One spinlock for the scheduler ready list
 - Per-core ready list: one spinlock per core

What thread is currently running?

- Thread scheduler needs to find the TCB of the currently running thread
 - To suspend and switch to a new thread
 - To check if the current thread holds a lock before acquiring or releasing it
- On a uniprocessor, easy: just use a global
- On a multiprocessor, various methods:
 - Compiler dedicates a register (e.g., r31 points to TCB running on the this CPU; each CPU has its own r31)
 - If hardware has a special per-processor register, use it
 - Fixed-size stacks: put a pointer to the TCB at the bottom of its stack
 - Find it by masking the current stack pointer

Lock Implementation, Multiprocessor

```
Lock::acquire() {
                                      Lock::release() {
  disableInterrupts();
                                        disableInterrupts();
  spinLock.acquire();
                                        spinLock.acquire();
  if (value == BUSY) {
                                        if (!waiting.Empty()) {
    waiting.add(myTCB);
                                           next = waiting.remove();
                                          scheduler->makeReady(next);
    suspend(&spinlock);
  } else {
                                        } else {
                                           value = FREE;
    value = BUSY;
                                        spinLock.release();
  spinLock.release();
                                        enableInterrupts();
 enableInterrupts();
```

Compare Implementations

```
Semaphore::P() {
                                      Semaphore::V() {
  disableInterrupts();
                                        disableInterrupts();
  spinLock.acquire();
                                        spinLock.acquire();
  if (value == 0) {
                                        if (!waiting.Empty()) {
    waiting.add(myTCB);
                                          next = waiting.remove();
    suspend(&spinlock);
                                          scheduler->makeReady(next);
                                        } else {
  } else {
                                           value++;
    value--;
                                        spinLock.release();
  spinLock.release();
                                        enableInterrupts();
 enableInterrupts();
```

Lock Implementation, Multiprocessor

```
Sched::suspend(SpinLock *lock) {
                                    Sched::makeReady(TCB *thread) {
  TCB *next;
  disableInterrupts();
                                      disableInterrupts ();
                                      schedSpinLock.acquire();
  schedSpinLock.acquire();
  lock->release();
                                      readyList.add(thread);
                                      thread->state = READY;
  myTCB->state = WAITING;
  next = readyList.remove();
                                      schedSpinLock.release();
  thread switch(myTCB, next);
                                      enableInterrupts();
  myTCB->state = RUNNING;
  schedSpinLock.release();
  enableInterrupts();
```

Lock Implementation, Linux

- Most locks are free most of the time
 - Why?
 - Linux implementation takes advantage of this fact
- Fast path
 - If lock is FREE, and no one is waiting, two instructions to acquire the lock
 - If no one is waiting, two instructions to release the lock
- Slow path
 - If lock is BUSY or someone is waiting, use multiproc impl.
- User-level locks
 - Fast path: acquire lock using test&set
 - Slow path: system call to kernel, use kernel lock

Lock Implementation, Linux

```
struct mutex {
                              // atomic decrement
/* 1: unlocked; 0: locked;
                              // %eax is pointer to count
  negative: locked,
                              lock decl (%eax)
  possible waiters */
                              jns 1f // jump if not signed
atomic t count;
                                    // (if value is now 0)
spinlock t wait lock;
                              call slowpath_acquire
struct list head wait list;
                              1:
};
```

Semaphores

- Semaphore has a non-negative integer value
 - P() atomically waits for value to become > 0, then decrements
 - V() atomically increments value (waking up waiter if needed)
- Semaphores are like integers except:
 - Only operations are P and V
 - Operations are atomic
 - If value is 1, two P's will result in value 0 and one waiter
- Semaphores are useful for
 - Unlocked wait: interrupt handler, fork/join

Semaphore Bounded Buffer

```
put(item) {
 get() {
   fullSlots.P();
                                    emptySlots.P();
    mutex.P();
                                    mutex.P();
   item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[last % MAX] = item;
   front++;
                                    last++;
    mutex.V();
                                    mutex.V();
                                    fullSlots.V();
   emptySlots.V();
    return item;
Initially: front = last = 0; MAX is buffer capacity
mutex = 1; emptySlots = MAX; fullSlots = 0;
```

Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 1)

```
wait(lock) {
  lock.release();
  semaphore.P();
  lock.acquire();
signal() {
  semaphore.V();
```

Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 2)

```
wait(lock) {
  lock.release();
  semaphore.P();
  lock.acquire();
signal() {
  if (semaphore is not empty)
    semaphore.V();
```

Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 3)

```
wait(lock) {
  semaphore = new Semaphore;
  queue.Append(semaphore); // queue of waiting threads
  lock.release();
  semaphore.P();
  lock.acquire();
signal() {
  if (!queue.Empty()) {
    semaphore = queue.Remove();
    semaphore.V(); // wake up waiter
```

Communicating Sequential Processes (CSP/Google Go)

- A thread per shared object
 - Only thread allowed to touch object's data
 - To call a method on the object, send thread a message with method name, arguments
 - Thread waits in a loop, get msg, do operation
- No memory races!

Example: Bounded Buffer

```
put(item) {
get() {
  lock.acquire();
                                    lock.acquire();
  while (front == tail) {
                                    while ((tail – front) == MAX) {
    empty.wait(lock);
                                      full.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[tail % MAX] = item;
  front++;
                                    tail++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
  lock.release();
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = 0; MAX is buffer capacity empty/full are condition variables

Bounded Buffer (CSP)

```
while (cmd = getNext()) {
  if (cmd == GET) {
    if (front < tail) {</pre>
                                   } else { // cmd == PUT
                                       if ((tail – front) < MAX) {</pre>
       // do get
       // send reply
                                         // do put
       // if pending put, do it
                                        // send reply
      // and send reply
                                        // if pending get, do it
                                        // and send reply
    } else
      // queue get operation
                                       } else
                                        // queue put operation
```

Locks/CVs vs. CSP

- Create a lock on shared data
 - = create a single thread to operate on data
- Call a method on a shared object
 - = send a message/wait for reply
- Wait for a condition
 - = queue an operation that can't be completed just yet
- Signal a condition
 - = perform a queued operation, now enabled

Remember the rules

- Use consistent structure
- Always use locks and condition variables
- Always acquire lock at beginning of procedure, release at end
- Always hold lock when using a condition variable
- Always wait in while loop
- Never spin in sleep()