## UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

# MATH5856 Introduction to Statistics and Statistical Computations

## Tutorial Problems week 12 solutions

1. (a)

$$f(\theta|x) = \theta^{\sum(x_i-1)} (1-\theta)^n \frac{\theta^{p-1} (1-\theta)^{q-1}}{Be(p,q)}$$
$$= \frac{\theta^{\sum(x_i-1)+p-1} (1-\theta)^{n+q-1}}{Be(p,q)}$$

hence  $f(\theta|x) \sim Be(\sum x_i - n + p, n + q)$ .

(b)

$$f(\theta|x) \propto e^{-n\theta} \theta^{\sum x_i} e^{-\theta} = e^{-(n+1)\theta} \theta^{\sum x_i}$$

hence  $f(\theta|x) \sim gamma(\sum x_i + 1, n + 1)$ .

2.  $x|\theta \sim Bin(100, \theta)$ ,

$$f(\theta|x) \propto \theta^3 (1-\theta)^{100-3} \theta (1-\theta)^{199}$$
  
=  $\theta^4 (1-\theta)^{296}$ 

then  $f(\theta|x) \sim Be(5, 297)$ .

Posterior distributions will have parameter p=4 and q=98 of beta distribution, or solve  $E(\theta)=p/(p+q)=4/102$  and  $var(\theta)=\frac{pq}{(p+q)^2(p+q+1)}=0.003658$  for p. The prior must be from Beta(p',q') distribution then p'+x=4 and q'+n-x=98, therefore p'=1 and q'=1.

3. prior has distribution N(10,0.25) and likelihood is  $N(\theta,1)$  then from the conjuate table gives that  $p(\theta|x) \sim N(\frac{10*4+12*31/3}{4+12},\frac{1}{4+12}) = N(10.25,0.0625)$  thus

$$p(\theta|x > 10) = 0.84.$$

```
> # Suggestion of a nonlinear trend
  > firearm.lm<-lm(Rate ~ Year, data=firearm)</pre>
  > summary(firearm.lm)
  Call: lm(formula = Rate ~ Year, data = firearm)
  Residuals:
       Min
                1Q
                     Median
                                 3Q
                                      Max
   -0.3814 -0.1682 -0.01667 0.2207 0.307
  Coefficients:
                   Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
  (Intercept) 306.3199
                           29.8444
                                     10.2639
                                                 0.0000
         Year
                -0.1521
                            0.0150
                                     -10.1424
                                                 0.0000
  Residual standard error: 0.251 on 13 degrees of freedom
  Multiple R-Squared: 0.8878
  F-statistic: 102.9 on 1 and 13 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 1.527e
  -007
  Correlation of Coefficients:
       (Intercept)
  Year -1
  > # The F-test and partial t-test for the slope indicate
  > # strong evidence of a linear trend
  > par(mfrow=c(2,3))
  > # Split graphsheet into six, two rows and three
  > # columns so that linear model diagnostic plots
  > # can fit on one graphsheet.
  > plot(firearm.lm)
5. > height < -c(68, 61, 63, 70, 69, 65, 72)
  > weight<-c(155,99,115,205,170,125,220)
  > hw.lm<-lm(height ~ weight)</pre>
  > summary(hw.lm)
  Call: lm(formula = height ~ weight)
  Residuals:
```

4. > plot(Year, Rate)

```
2
                    3
                                   5
                                          6
     1
 1.191 -1.085 -0.4345 -1.027 0.9257 0.7219 -0.2924
Coefficients:
              Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 53.7330 1.4802
                               36.3007 0.0000
     weight 0.0844 0.0092
                                9.1897 0.0003
Residual standard error: 1.03 on 5 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.9441
F-statistic: 84.45 on 1 and 5 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 0.00025
Correlation of Coefficients:
       (Intercept)
weight -0.9648
> hwnewdata.df<-data.frame(weight=100)</pre>
> hw.pred<-predict(hw.lm,newdata=hwnewdata.df,
+ se.fit=T)
> hw.pred
$fit:
       1
 62.1691
$se.fit:
         1
 0.6416656
$residual.scale:
[1] 1.029776
$df:
[1] 5
> pointwise(hw.pred,coverage=0.95)
$upper:
        1
 63.81855
```

```
$fit:
         1
   62.1691
  $lower:
          1
   60.51964
  >resid<-height-hw.lm$fitted
  > plot(hw.lm$fitted,resid,xlab="Fitted values",
  + ylab="Residuals")
6. > cheese.dat<-read.table("cheese.dat", header=T)
  > pairs(cheese.dat)
  #all predictors seem to have a linear relationship with taste
  >cheese.lm<-lm(taste~., data=cheese.dat)
  >summary(cheese.lm)
  Call:
  lm(formula = taste ~ ., data = cheese.dat)
  Residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                              3Q
                                     Max
  -17.391 -6.612 -1.009 4.908 25.449
  Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
  (Intercept) -28.8768
                          19.7354 -1.463 0.15540
  acetic
                0.3277
                          4.4598
                                   0.073 0.94198
  H2S
                3.9118
                          1.2484
                                   3.133 0.00425 **
              19.6705
                          8.6291 2.280 0.03108 *
  lactic
  Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
  Residual standard error: 10.13 on 26 degrees of freedom
```

Multiple R-Squared: 0.6518, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6116 F-statistic: 16.22 on 3 and 26 DF, p-value: 3.81e-06

#F test is the test for the hypothesis that at least
#one of the predictor should be included in the
# model, this has a p-value of almost 0, suggesting
#strong evidence that at least one predictor is important

#T-test suggest the exclusion of acetic first, so we fit
#the model without this predictor

>cheese1.lm<-lm(taste~.-acetic, data=cheese.dat)
> summary(cheese1.lm)

### Call:

lm(formula = taste ~ . - acetic, data = cheese.dat)

#### Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -17.343 -6.530 -1.164 4.844 25.618

#### Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) -27.592 8.982 -3.072 0.00481 \*\*

H2S 3.946 1.136 3.475 0.00174 \*\*

lactic 19.887 7.959 2.499 0.01885 \*

Signif. codes: 0 \*\*\* 0.001 \*\* 0.01 \* 0.05 . 0.1

Residual standard error: 9.942 on 27 degrees of freedom Multiple R-Squared: 0.6517, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6259 F-statistic: 25.26 on 2 and 27 DF, p-value: 6.551e-07

#all remaining predictors are significant at 0.05 level,
#so the best model is the one without acetic

### 7. Proof of a) is obvious.

b)  $a^T Y = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i Y_i$  and hence

$$E(a^{T}Y) = E(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}Y_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}E(Y_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\mu_{i}$$

$$= a^{T}\mu$$

c)  $(AY)_i = \sum_{m=1}^k A_{im} Y_m$  and so

$$E((AY)_i) = E(\sum_{m=1}^k A_{im} Y_m)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^k A_{im} E(Y_m)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^k A_{im} \mu_m$$

$$= (A\mu)_i.$$

8. a)

$$Var(a^{T}Y) = Var(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}Y_{i})$$

$$= E\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}Y_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\mu_{i}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$= E\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(Y_{i} - \mu_{i})\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$= E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i}a_{j}(Y_{i} - \mu_{i})(Y_{j} - \mu_{j})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i}a_{j}E((Y_{i} - \mu_{i})(Y_{j} - \mu_{j}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i}a_{j}V_{ij}$$

$$= a^{T}Va.$$

b)

$$Cov(Z_{i}, Z_{j}) = Cov \left( \sum_{q=1}^{k} A_{iq} Y_{q}, \sum_{r=1}^{k} A_{jr} Y_{r} \right)$$

$$= E \left( \left( \sum_{q=1}^{k} A_{iq} (Y_{q} - \mu_{q}) \right) \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k} A_{jr} (Y_{r} - \mu_{r}) \right)$$

$$= E \left( \sum_{q=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} A_{iq} A_{jr} (Y_{r} - \mu_{r}) (Y_{q} - \mu_{q}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} A_{iq} A_{jr} V_{qr}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{k} A_{iq} \sum_{r=1}^{k} V_{qr} A_{jr}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{k} A_{iq} (VA^{T})_{qj}$$

$$= (AVA^{T})_{ij}$$