

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

**FINAL EXAMINATION**

JUNE 2006

**MATH3811**  
**STATISTICAL INFERENCE**

- (1) TIME ALLOWED – 2 Hours
- (2) TOTAL NUMBER OF QUESTIONS – 4
- (3) ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS
- (4) THE QUESTIONS ARE **NOT** OF EQUAL VALUE
- (5) TOTAL NUMBER OF MARKS – 100
- (6) THIS PAPER MAY BE RETAINED BY THE CANDIDATE

All answers must be written in ink. Except where they are expressly required pencils may only be used for drawing, sketching or graphical work.

1. [28 marks] Suppose a sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  from the density

$$f(x, \theta) = \begin{cases} (1 + \theta)x^\theta, & x \in (0, 1), \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

is given where  $\theta > -1$  is the unknown parameter.

- Calculate  $E(X_1)$ .
- Find a minimal sufficient statistic  $T$  for  $\theta$ . Give reasons for your answer.
- Calculate the Fisher information about  $\theta$  contained in the statistic  $T$  that you found in b). Show that it is equal to  $\frac{n}{(1+\theta)^2}$ .
- Find the MLE of  $\theta$  and also the MLE of  $h(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ .
- Find the Cramer-Rao lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $h(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ .
- Write down the score function. Find out if an UMVUE of  $h(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$  exists and if it exists, write it down. Is there an unbiased estimator of  $h(\theta) = \theta$  whose variance attains the Cramer-Rao bound? Explain your answers.
- State the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{h}_{mle} - h(\theta))$  for  $h(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ .

**Hint:** The “delta method” implies that for any smooth function  $h(\theta)$  :

$$\sqrt{n}(h(\hat{\theta}_{mle}) - h(\theta_0)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, (\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta}(\theta_0))^2 I^{-1}(\theta_0)),$$

$$I(\theta) = E\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}[\ln f(x, \theta)]\right\}^2 = E\left\{-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}[\ln f(x, \theta)]\right\}.$$

2. [28 marks]

- Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  be i.i.d. observations, each from a Poisson distribution:

$$f(y|\lambda) = e^{(-\lambda)} \cdot \lambda^y / (y!), \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The prior on  $\lambda$  is believed to be Gamma(2,3).

(Note that, for any values of  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , the density of the Gamma( $\alpha, \beta$ ) distribution is given by

$$\tau(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \lambda^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\lambda/\beta) & , \lambda > 0; \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\alpha-1} dx$  is the Gamma function with the property  $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$ .)

- i) Find the posterior density  $h(\lambda|\mathbf{X})$  of  $\lambda$  given  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ . Show that, like the prior, the posterior density is also a member of the Gamma family.
- ii) Find the Bayesian estimator of  $\lambda$  for quadratic error-loss with respect to the prior  $\tau(\lambda)$ .
- b) In a preliminary testing of a random number generator, the following ten values were generated:

$$x_1 = 0.621, x_2 = 0.503, x_3 = 0.203, x_4 = 0.477, x_5 = 0.710,$$

$$x_6 = 0.581, x_7 = 0.329, x_8 = 0.480, x_9 = 0.554, x_{10} = 0.382.$$

Carry out a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to determine if the hypothesis of a Uniform  $[0,1]$  distribution can be accepted. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

You can use the following extract of Table F for one-sample Kolmogorov tests:

n	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.02	0.01
8	.358	.410	.454	.507	.542
9	.339	.387	.430	.480	.513
10	.323	.369	.409	.457	.489

(Each table entry is the value of the Kolmogorov statistic  $D_n$  for sample of size  $n = 8, 9, 10$  with the corresponding p-value given on the top row.)

3. [22 marks] Let  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  be i.i.d. random variables, each with a density

$$f(x, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x\theta}} e^{\{-\frac{1}{2}[\frac{\ln(x)}{\theta}]^2\}}, & x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta > 0$  is a parameter. (This is called the log-normal density.)

- a) Prove that the family  $L(\mathbf{X}, \theta)$  has a monotone likelihood ratio in  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln X_i)^2$ .
- b) Argue that there is a uniformly most powerful (UMP)  $\alpha$ -size test of the hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$  and exhibit its structure.
- c) Using the density transformation formula (or otherwise) show that

$$Y_i = \ln X_i$$

has a  $N(0, \theta^2)$  distribution.

- d) Using c) (or otherwise), find the threshold constant in the test and hence determine completely the uniformly most powerful  $\alpha$ -size test  $\varphi^*$  of

$$H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_1 : \theta > \theta_0.$$

Calculate the power function  $E_\theta \varphi^*$  and sketch a graph of it.

Please see over ...

## 4. [22 marks]

- a) In an agricultural experiment, three blocks of similar size and location have been selected. On each block, four varieties (A, B, C and D) of potatoes have been grown on plots of equal size. The yields of potato from the 12 plots have been registered in the table below:

	Variety A	Variety B	Variety C	Variety D
Block 1	68	67	71	77
Block 2	82	83	86	89
Block 3	56	59	64	60

Analyze these data using the Friedman test. At 10% level of significance, decide if the hypothesis of equal yields for the four varieties can be accepted.

- b) Two groups of students attempt a test with the following results:

Group 1: 91, 83, 76, 81

Group 2: 72, 61, 74, 63, 82

Using a (large sample) Wilcoxon test and  $\alpha = 0.05$ , test the hypothesis of no difference between the two groups.

**Some useful formulae**

1. Friedman's Test:

$$F = \frac{12l}{K(K+1)} \sum_{i=1}^K (\bar{R}_i - \frac{K+1}{2})^2 = \frac{12}{lK(K+1)} \sum_{i=1}^K R_i^2 - 3l(K+1)$$

has  $\chi_{K-1}^2$  as a limiting distribution under the null hypothesis.

2.  $r$ th order statistic ( $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of the sample of size  $n$  from a distribution with a density  $f_X(\cdot)$  and a cdf  $F_X(\cdot)$  :

$$f_{X_{(r)}}(y_r) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F_X(y_r)]^{r-1} [1 - F_X(y_r)]^{n-r} f_X(y_r)$$

Joint density of the couple  $(X_{(i)}, X_{(j)}), 1 \leq i < j \leq n$  :

$$f_{X_{(i)}, X_{(j)}}(x, y) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(j-1-i)!(n-j)!} f_X(x) f_X(y) [F_X(x)]^{i-1} [F_X(y) - F_X(x)]^{j-1-i} [1 - F_X(y)]^{n-j}$$

for  $-\infty < x < y < \infty$ .

3. Wilcoxon: Two independent samples  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ ,  $W_{m+n} = \sum_{i=1}^m R(X_i)$ . Then  $\frac{W_{m+n} - m(m+n+1)/2}{\sqrt{mn(m+n+1)/12}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$ .

4. Bayesian inference:

$$h(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{X}|\theta)\tau(\theta)}{g(\mathbf{X})}, g(\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\Theta} f(\mathbf{X}|\theta)\tau(\theta)d\theta.$$

5. Density transformation:  $Y = W(X) \longrightarrow f_Y(y) = f_X(W^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{d(W^{-1}(y))}{dy} \right|$ .