# Task 3 - problem solving

# 1. Problem

#### Root causes

- 1. QA disinterest in actual quality of the product
- 2. Developers prioritisations fixing bugs vs new features
- 3. QA not taking issues seriously every issue fix should be tested (regressions)

#### Possible solutions

- 1. Involvement of QA they are not doing their jobs :)
  - QA disinterest and laziness
  - Overall development process issues
- 2. Refinement of the issues creation process avoid useless issues
  - Issue tracking system may not support such tooling
  - QA may not be interested in searching for duplicates or creating reproducer/steps to reproduce
- 3. Redefine the QA priorities QA participation in more general scope of development
  - QA disinterest as they are not interested by far
  - Developers disinterest in participation

# 2. Problem

### **Root causes**

- 1. Cl is running all sort of tests including the ones that are not necessary for a change done in the fix / release
- 2. The acceptance tests may consist of unrelevant time-wasting tests that are not part of the performance requirements or specification
- 3. Running whole test suite for an emergency fix may not be possible due to the time aspect

- 1. Split the acceptance test suite to the smaller clusters of tests
  - Long tests may be unpossible to split
  - Acceptance test suite may break due to the separation

- 2. Reduce the time by removing long tasks that are not as important / allow to configure testing specifications
  - Problem stating what exactly should be configurable
  - Easy and transparent configuration may require additional effort
- 3. Emergency fixes should have a separate CI to be always available in such cases
  - No enough resources for another integration framework
  - May be a resource wasting depending of how often emergency fixes happen

#### **Root causes**

- 1. Other teams do not care about test passing
- 2. Other teams are not doing code reviews
- 3. Other teams are not finding their own errors with enough time left before release

#### Possible solutions

- 1. Pressure other teams not to commit unstable changes
  - Refusal of the participation from the other teams
  - No tooling or required knowledge in other teams how to avoid such situations
- 2. Mandatory code review before a feature gets passed to release branches
  - This might result in work priority change
  - The development will take more time
- 3. Enhance communications between teams to find problems asap
  - Refusal of participations from both sides
  - Time and priorities management prior to the release

# 4. Problem

# Root causes

- 1. Unskilled engineers
- 2. Bad working specification
- 3. Lack of right team management

- 1. Organize testing oriented courses to get some experience
  - May be time-consuming and expensive

- A problem with their attitude
- 2. Create a better specification
  - Might result into a misunderstanding
  - No eligible time and / or people for specification creation
- 3. Consider hiring more experienced people
  - No people for such position
  - Current testers may take it offesively

#### **Root causes**

- 1. Prioritization of work reponsibilities
- 2. Enforcement of main mandatory responsibilities for each team member
- 3. Enhance overall awareness about client's needs for team memebers

#### Possible solutions

- 1. Use issue-tracking system that allows for issues to set the priority
  - May still be setting invalid priorities
  - Getting used to a new tracking system takes some time
- 2. Explain team members the company mission and what their work should prioritize
  - May not consider customer issues as priority
  - o Resistence to drop automatization focus as it make their work easier
- 3. Do a meetup regularly to talk about recent issues possibly including customer
  - Refusal of participation
  - Refusal of actively following and prioritize discussed issues

# 6. Problem

### **Root causes**

- Developer is over confident and stubborn
- 2. Developer is not open to discussion
- 3. Missing third party view to the problem of whether comments should be fixed

- 1. Teach the developer to not pressure other team members
  - He could get insecure/angry after receiving a lot of critic

- May stop making code reviews
- 2. Teach the developer soft skills communication as important part of everyday work
  - May refuse to participate as he thinks he is always right
  - Even more communication may not change his mind on the specific comment problems
- 3. Require code review from more than one person / review the reviews
  - Duplicates the same work
  - May refuse the other reviewers comments as well

#### **Root causes**

- 1. Small amount of branch levels separate branches for fixes
- 2. No testing done before merging changes to the main branch use CI
- 3. Review each fix before the actual merge to the mainline production branch

#### Possible solutions

- 1. Introduce more levels of development branches
  - Could result in breaking up the current flow
  - Complications in the development process
- 2. Introduce CI for fix testing
  - Development can be slower
  - More responsibilities for the developers
- 3. Specify checklist of requirements of each fix to follow before merge to the mainline branch (CI pass, reviews...)
  - Problem to specify what exactly should the requirements be
  - o Development may slow down, as there will be more tasks to do than only push fix

# 8. Problem

### **Root causes**

- 1. Lack of understanding of the product (whether developers of manager side)
- 2. Missing agreement between both sides
- 3. Lack of the third party view for the problem

### Possible solutions

1. Specify the arguments for both sides and come up with agreement through the discussion

- No enough valid arguments on either of sides
- Refusal to eventualy come to compromise
- 2. Include third party independent opinion to the situation
  - It may take long time for the reviewer to understand product priorities
  - Sides may still refuse to follow advices and arguments
- 3. Discuss the problem with actual customers that use the product
  - Refusal of participation from the customer side
  - o Customers may be unsure of what exactly their priorities are

#### **Root causes**

- 1. Not sufficiently defined responsibilities for individual teams in the company
- 2. Lack of management and knowledge of the organization structure in top level management
- 3. Lack of proper way for employees to notify management about such problems

#### Possible solutions

- 1. Define in proper and well documented way responsibilities for team hierarchies
  - o May be problem to define where are clusters of teams for individual responsibilities
  - May be necessary to split / group some of the teams
- Allow employees to contact high management (directly / undirectly through thier managers) above their concerns
  - o Depending on the selected medium the ammount of requests can be large
  - o Prioritazion of concers
- 3. Prioritize for top management more interaction and learning in the end employees work
  - Employees may be resitant to allow management study their work duties
  - May require higher anticipation and study from the management

# 10. Problem

# **Root causes**

- 1. Too small code coverage
- 2. Too fast development cycle not allowing proper testing
- 3. Too many new features pushed with every release

- 1. Writing more tests better code coverage
  - Resistance from the actual developers as they need to focus on development
  - May require hiring new people
- 2. Prolong development cycle to allow testing periods
  - More time spent tesing may require less features delivered with each release
  - May result into customer disatisfaction with the product
- 3. Testing in the customer environment
  - Customers may be resistant to share their environment specifications
  - o Could not be possible to reproduce issues even in the cutomers environment

#### **Root causes**

- 1. Lack of product knowledge in Technical Support
- 2. Uninterest in product from Technical Support
- 3. Technical support ability to close issues (invalid closes)

### Possible solutions

- 1. Enhance the Technical support product knowledge through training courses
  - May be problem to find people to teach
  - Time aspect as this can be a long process
- 2. Enhance Technical Support communications with other teams (unsure whether to close issue)
  - Refusal of contribution from other teams
  - May slow down development (maintainance) process
- 3. Temporarily prohibit Technical Support teams from closing issues
  - May slow down the process
  - Requirement of other teams to check Technical support decisions

# 12. Problem

### **Root causes**

- 1. No reliable dupliation detection
- No tracking in place of issues / problems
- 3. Lack of knowledge exchanging capabilities

- 1. Start using issue tracking system
  - o May be hard to move from current development process state
  - May take time to really take advantage of it
- 2. Possibly implement own duplication detection for the problems
  - Still there is need to track the problems in one place
  - Lack of knowledge to perform such task which would perform efficiently
- 3. Enhance communication between DEV and QA, use the same tracking system
  - Cooperation may be difficult as cycles in teams may differ
  - May take more time to decide whether problem really is a duplicate

#### **Root causes**

- 1. The assigner did not ensure that I am familiar with code reviews
- 2. The assigner did not check who is he assigning
- 3. Management does not encourage any kind of code review courses

- 1. Talk to the team leader
  - He tells me to look up for some reviewing tutorials on the Internet
  - He tells me to look at some other code review reports and follow the patterns
- 2. Talk to the colleague
  - He does not care and wants it done
  - He reassigns the task to someone else, but I need to get familiar with code reviews anyway
- 3. Ask management for the code review course as it can help other developers as well
  - Management can refuse as developers should be already familiar with code reviews
  - May be a waste of resources in case not many developers are interested