## Algebra II: Rings and Fields

**MATH 340** 

Steven Xia

November 3, 2022

## 1 Beginning

- **Definition 1.1.** A **group** is a pairing of a set and a binary operation such that the operation is associative, and each element of the set has both an inverse and an identity.
- Remark 1.1.1. Considering an identity element is defined both as a left and a right identity, it can be proven that it is unique for the group.
- Remark 1.1.2. Considering the binary operation is well defined, it can be proven that the inverse is distinct for every element of the set.
- **Definition 1.1.1.** A **commutative group** is a group where the binary operation is commutative.
- **Definition 1.2.** A **ring** is a commutative group with another operation defined such that the two operations are similar to "addition" and "multiplication" of the integers. The multiplication operation must be associative and distributive.
- **Definition 1.2.1.** A **commutative ring** is a ring where multiplication is commutative.

**Definition 1.2.2.** We say that a ring has **unity** if there is a multiplicative identity.

Remark 1.2.1. For any ring R with additive identity 0, it can

be proven that 0a = 0 for all  $a \in R$ . Remark 1.2.2. Using Remark 1.2.1, it can be proven that -ab =

(-a)b=a(-b) for all  $a,b\in R$ .

**Definition 1.2.3.** For some non-zero  $a, b \in R$ , we say a and b are **zero divisors** if ab = 0.

Remark 1.2.3. For some non-zero  $a \in R$ , it can be proven that a is a left zero divisor if and only if there exists non-zero  $b, c \in R$  such that  $b \neq c$  and ab = ac.

Remark 1.2.4. It follows from Remark 1.2.3, that if a ring R

all  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $a \neq 0$ . **Definition 1.2.4.** A **unit** in a ring with unity is an element which has a multiplicative inverse.

does not have any zero divisors, then  $ab = ac \implies b = c$  for

Remark 1.2.5. A unit cannot be a zero-divisor.

**Definition 1.3.** An **integral domain** is a commutative ring with unity and no zero divisors.

**Definition 1.4.** A **field** is a commutative ring where every non-zero element is a unit, and the additive and multiplicative identities are not equal.

## 2 Developing

**Definition 2.1.** The **characteristic** of a ring is the lowest integer c such that  $\underbrace{1+1+\cdots+1}_{}=0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.1.** If the characteristic of a ring is composite, it must have zero divisors.

exists positive integers m, n such that c = mn and m, n < c. Consider, using the distributivity of multiplication, that  $\underbrace{(1+1+\cdots+1)}_{m \text{ times}}\underbrace{(1+1+\cdots+1)}_{n \text{ times}} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let c be the characteristic of some ring where there

**Theorem 2.1.2** (Euler's Theorem). Let  $R^*$  be the finite set of the units in a ring. For all  $a \in R^*$ ,  $a^{|R^*|} = 1$ .

*Proof.* We have  $R^* = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\} = \{ar_1, \dots, ar_n\}$  since mul-

tiplication is one-to-one. Then,  $r_1 \cdots r_n = (ar_1) \cdots (ar_n) = a^n(r_1 \cdots r_n) \implies a^n = 1.$ 

either 0, a zero divisor, or a unit.

Proof. For an element r that is not zero or a zero divisor, we

the ring is finite, we have  $r^{e_1} = r^{e_2}$  for some  $e_1 < e_2$ . Then,  $r^{e_1} = r^{e_2} = r^{e_1}r^{e_2-e_1} \implies r^{e_2-e_1} = 1$ . Therefore,  $r \cdot r^{e_2-e_1-1} = 1$ .

have the following set of non-zero elements  $\{r, r^2, \dots\}$ . Since

 $Remark\ 2.1.1.$  Theorem 2.1.3 shows every finite integral domain is a field.

**Definition 2.2.** A quadratic ring extension  $R[\gamma]$  of some ring R is created by adding an element  $\gamma$  to R such that  $\gamma^2 = c$  for some  $c \in R$  and  $\gamma \notin R$ .

Remark 2.2.1. Elements in  $R[\gamma]$  are denoted  $a + \gamma b$  for  $a, b \in R$ . This means elements in  $R[\gamma]$  can be seen as elements in  $R \times R$ .

**Theorem 2.2.1.** The norm  $map^1N : R[\gamma] \to R$  is defined as  $N(a + \gamma b) = a^2 - cb^2$  and has the property that  $N(a + \gamma b)$  is a

unit in R if and only if  $a + \gamma b$  is a unit in  $R[\gamma]$ .

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{We}$  have not yet formally defined a  $norm\ map.$ 

*Proof.* We see that  $N(a+\gamma b)^{-1}$  exists if and only if  $N(a+\gamma b)$  is a unit. Then,  $(a+\gamma b)(a-\gamma b)=N(a+\gamma b)$  so  $(a+\gamma b)\left[(a-\gamma b)N(a+\gamma b)^{-1}\right]=1$ .

Remark 2.2.2. Theorem 2.2.1 shows the quadratic ring extension of any field or integral domain maintains that status.

**Definition 2.3.** An element in an integral domain is called **irreducible** if it cannot be written as a product of two non-units.

**Definition 2.4.** Elements a, b in an integral domain R are called **associates** if there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that a = ub.

**Definition 2.5.** An integral domain has **unique factorization** if every element can be written as a product of irreducibles which are unique up to order and associates.

**Theorem 2.5.1.** A ring R has unique factorization if all irreducible elements are prime.

ducible elements are prime.  $Proof. \text{ Let } x = a_1 \cdots a_n = b_1 \cdots b_m. \text{ Since } a_1 \text{ is prime, we know}$ 

that it divides one of  $b_i$ . Without loss of generality, let  $b_1 = ca_1$ .

However, since  $b_1$  is irreducible and  $a_1 \neq 1$ , we have c = 1. Then, we can repeat this process on  $a_2 \cdots a_n = b_2 \cdots b_m$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A **polynomial ring** R[x] of some ring R is created by using polynomials of the variable x using coefficients from R.

Remark 2.6.1. For some field F, Euclidean division works on F[x] because all non-zero coefficients are units. It then follows that irreducible elements are prime, so unique factorization exists in F[x].

**Theorem 2.6.1** (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra). The only irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  are linear.

Remark 2.6.2. It follows from Theorem 2.6.1 that the only irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  are linear or quadratic. This can be proven using  $\mathbb{R}[x] \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$  and that multiplying some linear  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  with its conjugate results in some  $F(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  with  $\deg(F(x)) = 2$ .

**Definition 2.7.** A subset I of ring R is called an **ideal** if for all  $a, b \in I$  and  $r \in R$ ,  $a + b, -a, ra, ar \in I$ .

**Definition 2.8.** For a commutative ring R and  $a \in R$ , a **principal ideal** generated by a is defined as  $aR = \{ar : r \in R\}$ . For some  $a, b \in R$ , we can also generate  $(a, b)R = \{xa + yb : x, y \in R\}$ .

Remark 2.8.1. For  $a \in R$  with integral domain R, aR = 1R = R if and only if a is a unit.

Remark 2.8.2. For  $a, b \in R$ ,  $b \mid a \implies bR \subseteq aR$ . Furthermore, aR = bR if and only if a and b are associates.

Remark 2.8.3. For  $a, b \in R$ , if a is irreducible and  $b \mid a$ , then  $aR \subseteq bR \subseteq R$  so either aR = bR or bR = R. Therefore, aR is

not properly contained in any other principal ideal. Also, if a is not irreducible, then  $aR \subset bR \subset R$ .

Theorem 2.8.1. If an element  $a \in R$  cannot be written as a

finite product of irreducibles, then R has an infinite ascending

chain of principal ideals. Proof. Assume that a cannot be written as a finite product of irreducibles. Then,  $a=r_1a_1=r_1r_2a_2=\ldots$  for non-units  $r_i,a_i$ 

and reducible  $a_i$ . This implies  $aR \subset a_1R \subset a_2R \subset \cdots$ .  $\square$ Remark 2.8.4. This tells us that every element in  $\mathbb{N}$  has a factorization into irreducibles since every proper divisor is "smaller" so there cannot be an infinite chain.

**Definition 2.9.** An integral domain R is a **principal ideal** domain if every ideal in R is a principal ideal.

*Proof.* If  $I = \{0\}$ , then  $I = 0\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, we prove with

**Proposition 2.9.1.** The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal domain.

 $I \neq \{0\}$ . Then, there exists a positive element in I. Let a be the the least positive element in I and we claim that  $I = a\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $b \in I$  be some other element in I. Then we have b = qa + r for  $0 \le r < a$ . This also means b - qa = r so  $r \in I$ . However, by the minimality of a, this implies r = 0 so b is a multiple of a and  $b \in a\mathbb{Z}$ .

Corollary 2.9.1. For field F, F[x] is a principal ideal domain. Theorem 2.9.1. For a principal ideal domain, every ascending

Theorem 2.9.1. For a principal ideal domain, every ascending chain of ideals stabilizes.

*Proof.* Let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$  be an ascending chain of ideals in a principal ideal domain R. Then,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$  is a principal ideal aR. For some j,  $a \in I_j$  so  $aR = I_j = I_{j+1} = \cdots$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let I, J be ideals of R. Then, I + J is the smallest ideal which contains both I and J. Therefore,  $I + J = \{a + b : a \in I \text{ and } b \in J\}$ .

Remark 2.10.1. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal domain,  $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = I$ 

 $d\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $d\mathbb{Z} = \{xa + yb : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Therefore,  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  since it is the least positive element (by proof of Theorem 2.9.1). **Definition 2.11.** An ideal I of ring R is a **prime ideal** if

 $ab \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

Remark 2.11.1. An element  $p \in R$  is prime if and only if pR is prime.

Remark 2.11.2. Not all prime ideals are principal (eg.  $(x, y) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ ).

**Definition 2.12.** An ideal I in ring R is called **maximal** if for any ideal  $J \subseteq R$  where  $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$ , it follows that I = J or J = R.

 $Remark\ 2.12.1.$  In a principal ideal domain, the principal ideal generated by an irreducible element is maximal.

**Theorem 2.12.1.** In an integral domain, maximal ideals are prime.

*Proof.* Let I be a maximal ideal of ring R with  $bc \in I$  and

 $b \notin I$ . Then, we have  $I \subsetneq I + bR \subseteq R$  so, by the maximality of I, I + bR = R. This also means that  $1 \in I + bR$  so 1 = a + br for  $a \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Multiplying through by c, this gives us  $c = ac + bcr \in I$  since  $a, bc \in I$ .

Remark 2.12.2. For a principal ideal domain R, this gives us that  $a \in R$  is irreducible implies aR is maximal implies aR is

prime implies a is prime. Therefore, by Theorem 2.5.1, every principal ideal domain has unique factorization.

Definition 2.13. A ring is a unique factorization domain if

every non-zero non-unit can be written uniquely as a product of irreducible elements, up to order and associates. Duplicate of Definition 2.5; don't ask why.

Remark 2.13.1. Unique factorization domains exist which are not principal ideal domains. For example,  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $2\mathbb{Z}[x] + x\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .