# Coursera Machine Learning Project Writeup

Ven Reddy

Thursday, September 24, 2015

# Assignment

Fitness devices such as Jawbone Up, Nike Fuelband, and Fitbit provide a means for enthusiasts to improve their health, find patterns in their behavior. People use these devices to quantify how much of an given activity they do, but not how well they do that particular activity. Our goals is to build a predictor that can tells us how well a person has done a particular exercise.

#### Data

The dataset was obtained from:

Human Activity Recognition website @ http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har

The training data for this project is available here: http://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv

The test data is available here: http://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv

## **Processing**

We need to clean up the data by removing columns that are empty, contain mostly NA, and have little to no impact on the training algorithm.

```
trainingRaw <- read.csv("pml-training.csv")
testingRaw <- read.csv("pml-testing.csv")

trainingRaw <- data.frame(trainingRaw)
trainingRaw <- trainingRaw[, !is.na(colSums(trainingRaw != 0)) & colSums(trainingRaw != 0) > 0]

trainRaw <- trainingRaw %>% select(-starts_with("kurtosis"),-starts_with("skewness"), -starts_with("max -starts_with("min_yaw"), -starts_with("amplitude_yaw"), -starts_with -contains("timestamp"), -contains("window"))
```

#### Modeling using Random Forest and Cross Validation

We now split the training data into two parts. 75% for training and 25% for testing the model. The Random Forest method with 3 fold Cross Validation is used. I had used the 5 fold Cross Validation but the amount of time it took for the improvement in accuracy didn't seem justified; an improvement of approximately of 0.001.

```
# Set seed so we results are reproducible
set.seed(1234)
train <- createDataPartition(trainRaw$classe, p=0.75, list=FALSE)
trainData <- trainRaw[train,]
testData <- trainRaw[-train,]</pre>
```

```
# remove unwanted variables
trc = trainControl( method="cv", number=3, allowParallel=TRUE, verboseIter=TRUE)
# create the model
mtrain <- train(classe ~., trainData, method="rf", trControl=trc)</pre>
## + Fold1: mtry= 2
## - Fold1: mtry= 2
## + Fold1: mtry=27
## - Fold1: mtry=27
## + Fold1: mtry=52
## - Fold1: mtry=52
## + Fold2: mtry= 2
## - Fold2: mtry= 2
## + Fold2: mtry=27
## - Fold2: mtry=27
## + Fold2: mtry=52
## - Fold2: mtry=52
## + Fold3: mtry= 2
## - Fold3: mtry= 2
## + Fold3: mtry=27
## - Fold3: mtry=27
## + Fold3: mtry=52
## - Fold3: mtry=52
## Aggregating results
## Selecting tuning parameters
## Fitting mtry = 27 on full training set
# get predictions and create Confusion matrix to see how well classifier performance is
pred <- predict(mtrain, testData)</pre>
cm <- confusionMatrix(pred, testData$classe)</pre>
```

#### Results

```
print(mtrain)
```

```
## Random Forest
## 14718 samples
      52 predictor
      5 classes: 'A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E'
##
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (3 fold)
## Summary of sample sizes: 9811, 9812, 9813
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
    mtry Accuracy Kappa
                                Accuracy SD Kappa SD
          0.9889253 0.9859891 0.001892565 0.002394077
##
    2
    27
          0.9898085 0.9871065 0.001943737 0.002460195
```

```
##
     52
           0.9848488 0.9808305 0.004177884 0.005288806
##
## Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.
## The final value used for the model was mtry = 27.
print(cm)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction
                 Α
                           C
                                 D
                                      Ε
            A 1395
##
                      7
                           0
                                 0
                                      0
            В
                 0
                    939
                           9
                                      0
##
                                      2
            С
                 0
                      3
                         844
##
                                 8
##
            D
                 0
                      0
                           2
                              795
                                      0
##
            Ε
                 0
                      0
                           0
                                 0
                                    899
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9935
                    95% CI: (0.9908, 0.9955)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.2845
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.9917
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
                                    0.9895
                                             0.9871
                                                      0.9888
                                                                0.9978
## Sensitivity
                          1.0000
## Specificity
                          0.9980
                                    0.9975
                                             0.9968
                                                      0.9995
                                                                1.0000
## Pos Pred Value
                          0.9950
                                   0.9895
                                             0.9848
                                                      0.9975
                                                                1.0000
## Neg Pred Value
                          1.0000
                                   0.9975
                                             0.9973
                                                      0.9978
                                                                0.9995
## Prevalence
                          0.2845
                                   0.1935
                                             0.1743
                                                      0.1639
                                                                0.1837
## Detection Rate
                          0.2845
                                   0.1915
                                             0.1721
                                                      0.1621
                                                                0.1833
## Detection Prevalence
                          0.2859
                                    0.1935
                                             0.1748
                                                      0.1625
                                                                0.1833
## Balanced Accuracy
                           0.9990
                                    0.9935
                                             0.9920
                                                      0.9942
                                                                0.9989
```

The accuracy of the model is almost 99.5% which should be good enough to use as model to predict class type of the testing data.

#### Predict the test cases

```
testingRaw <- data.frame(testingRaw)
testingRaw <- testingRaw[, !is.na(colSums(testingRaw != 0)) & colSums(testingRaw != 0) > 0]

# Remove unwanted columns
testRaw <- testingRaw %>% select(-starts_with("X"), -contains("timestamp"), -contains("window"), -conta
#Predict the classe outcome using our model
```

```
pred2 <- predict(mtrain, testRaw)
print(pred2)</pre>
```

```
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B ## Levels: A B C D E
```

## Conclusion

The answers were sumbitted to Coursera and our model predicted all 20 cases 100% correctly.