


# Distilling Large Vision-Language Model with Out-of-Distribution Generalizability

Xuanlin Li\*, Yunhao Fang\*, Minghua Liu, Zhan Ling, Zhuowen Tu, Hao Su  
University of California San Diego




## Overview

- Goal: Distill visual representations in large vision-language teachers into lightweight student models**, while allowing students to possess **strong open-vocabulary generalization ability towards out-of-distribution (OOD) concepts**.
  - Potential for deployment on mobile / IoT devices and robotics scenarios.
  - Experiments are conducted on small-to-medium datasets, allowing for fast research & development (R&D) cycles and is practical for lower-data regimes like 3D and robotics.
- Main Findings: 2 principles to enhance student's OOD generalizability:**
  - Better imitate teacher's visual representation space**, and carefully promote **better vision-language alignment coherence** with the teacher.
  - Enrich teacher's language representations** with more finegrained & meaningful attributes to effectively distinguish between different labels, both during distillation and inference. **This can be accomplished by prompting LLMs like ChatGPT.**



- However, teacher-student coherence in **local visual space structures** and **relative visual feature relationships** is crucial for student OOD generalization, as they *implicitly enhance vision-language alignments*.
- We find that **Contrastive losses** like  $L_{im-cst}$  are especially helpful for student OOD generalization, as it **enables much better student-teacher proximity in both local and relative visual feature structures**.



$$M_{rel}(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \mathbf{1}[i = \argmin_j ||T_{img}(\mathbf{x}_j) - S(\mathbf{x}_i)||_2^2]}{|\mathcal{X}|}$$

$$M_{neigh}(\mathcal{X}, k) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} |\text{KNN}(S, \mathbf{x}_i, k) \cap \text{KNN}(T_{img}, \mathbf{x}_i, k)|}{k|\mathcal{X}|}$$

$M_{rel} \uparrow$   $M_{neigh} \uparrow$	$\mathcal{X}_{train}$	$\mathcal{X}_{ood}$	$k=3$	$k=5$	$k=10$
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{mse}$	0.030	0.004	0.13 / 0.06	0.18 / 0.07	0.27 / 0.08
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{mse} + \mathcal{L}_{im-cst}$	0.305	0.022	0.20 / 0.10	0.25 / 0.11	0.34 / 0.13

## Teacher-Student Vision-Language Alignments

- Explicitly** enhance teacher-student vision-language alignment coherency.
- Carefully** preserve teacher's vision-language alignment structure **by accounting for teacher misalignments** (through e.g.,  $L_{vprox}$ ).

$$\mathcal{L}_{vprox}(\mathbf{x}, k) = I(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{KL}(P_{T, \text{topk}}(\cdot|\mathbf{x}) || P_{S, \text{topk}}(\cdot|\mathbf{x}))$$

$$I(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}[\argmax_y P_T(y|\mathbf{x}) = \text{label}(\mathbf{x})]$$

$$P_{\cdot, \text{topk}}(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{y \in Y_{\text{topk}}}}{\sum_{y \in Y_{\text{topk}}} P_{\cdot}(y|\mathbf{x})}; Y_{\text{topk}} = \text{argtopk}_y P_T(y|\mathbf{x})$$

$M_{valign} \downarrow$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=5$
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{mse}$	0.20 / 0.50	0.68 / 1.45	2.67 / 4.73
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{mse} + \mathcal{L}_{im-cst}$	0.18 / 0.43	0.62 / 1.3	2.52 / 4.24
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{mse} + \mathcal{L}_{im-cst} + \mathcal{L}_{vprox}$	0.17 / 0.39	0.59 / 1.17	2.17 / 4.20

$$M_{valign}(\mathcal{X}, k) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} \# \text{reverse\_pairs}(\text{arrS}(i, k))}{|\mathcal{X}|}$$

$$\text{arrS}(i, k) = [||S(\mathbf{x}_i) - T_{\text{txt}}(l(y_j))||_2]_{j \in \mathcal{I}(i, k)}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(i, k) = \text{argtopk}([-||T_{\text{img}}(\mathbf{x}_i) - T_{\text{txt}}(l(y_j))||_2]_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Y}|})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{cls}(\mathbf{x}, y) = \sum_{y'} -\mathbf{1}_{y'=y} \log P_S(y'|\mathbf{x})$$

$$P_S(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(\cos(S(\mathbf{x}), T_{\text{txt}}(l(y))))/\tau}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp(\cos(S(\mathbf{x}), T_{\text{txt}}(l(y'))))/\tau)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{mse}(\mathbf{x}) = ||S(\mathbf{x}) - T_{\text{img}}(\mathbf{x})||_2^2$$


$$\mathcal{L}_{im-cst}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(-||S(\mathbf{x}) - T_{\text{img}}(\mathbf{x})||_2^2/\tau)}{\sum_{\mathbf{x}'} \exp(-||S(\mathbf{x}) - T_{\text{img}}(\mathbf{x}')||_2^2/\tau)}$$

	Food101	SUN397
$\mathcal{L}_{mse}$	0.24 / 28.4°	0.36 / 34.9°
$\mathcal{L}_{mse}(\text{RN50})$	0.24 / 28.4°	0.35 / 34.4°
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{mse}$	0.45 / 39.2°	0.71 / 49.8°
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{mse} + \mathcal{L}_{im-cst}$	0.65 / 47.6°	0.82 / 53.8°
$\mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{im-cst}$	1.29 / 69.2°	1.28 / 68.9°

Average MSE / degree difference between student & teacher visual features for students trained with different strategies. Student: RN18; Teacher: CLIP ViT-L/14

## Teacher-Student Visual Space Alignments

- Precisely matching teacher and student's high-dimensional visual spaces is inherently challenging** (with high MSE feature matching loss).
- In this case, **minimizing student-teacher visual feature distance does NOT yield the best OOD generalization ability for students**.



## Language Representation Enrichment

- Prompt ChatGPT to enrich label descriptions:** "Use a single sentence to describe the appearance and shape of {cls}. Only describe the shape and appearance."
- ChatGPT-enriched descriptions are significantly more informative than e.g., auxiliary captions generated by OFA**, supplying comprehensive details to distinguish finegrained categories.



Original Description:

"A photo of an Acura Integra Type R 2001"


ChatGPT-Enriched:

"A photo of an Acura Integra Type R 2001. The 2001 Acura Integra Type R features a compact and sporty design with a sleek, aerodynamic body, sharp angles, and a distinctive rear spoiler."

Auxiliary Caption from OFA:

"A white car is parked in a field."

- ChatGPT-enriched language representation space confers more **independent & meaningful attributes to distinguish labels**, allowing **OOD text features to be more precisely aligned with image features**.



## Applications (e.g., Robotics)



	$\mathcal{X}_{id}$	$\mathcal{Y}_{id}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{ood}$	$\mathcal{Y}_{ood}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{ood}$
Closed-Set	96.4 / 96.5	NA / 86.2	NA / 87.7
$\mathcal{L}_{cls}$	96.9 / 97.2	79.3 / 85.3	71.7 / 87.3
+ $\mathcal{L}_{im-cst}$	<b>99.2 / 99.2</b>	84.0 / 91.9	76.3 / 88.3
+ Semantic Enrich	98.2 / 99.0	<b>84.3 / 92.0</b>	<b>83.0 / 89.6</b>

(a) Overall accuracy over all YCB objects

	$\mathcal{X}_{id}$	$\mathcal{Y}_{id}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{ood}$	$\mathcal{Y}_{ood}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{ood}$
Closed-Set	91.6 / 91.9	NA / 57.8	NA / 35.9
$\mathcal{L}_{cls}$	94.0 / 94.4	46.5 / 54.7	23.3 / 32.8
+ $\mathcal{L}_{im-cst}$	<b>98.1 / 98.0</b>	55.3 / <b>70.8</b>	<b>23.7 / 47.3</b>
+ Semantic Enrich	97.2 / 97.4	<b>55.6 / 70.8</b>	11.7 / <b>50.7</b>

(b) F1-measure over objects that exist in observations.