

New Methods to Attack the 4D Yang-Mills Mass Gap

December 2025

Abstract

We develop three new rigorous approaches to the 4D Yang-Mills mass gap problem. Each method reduces the problem to a concrete, verifiable mathematical statement. We prove partial results and identify the precise technical gaps that remain.

Contents

1	Method 1: Stochastic Geometric Analysis	1
1.1	Key Idea	1
1.2	Setup	1
1.3	Mass Gap via Spectral Gap	2
1.4	New Attack: Log-Sobolev Inequality	2
1.5	The Key Reduction	2
1.6	Partial Result	3
1.7	Open Problem	3
2	Method 2: Reflection Positivity Bootstrap	3
2.1	Key Idea	3
2.2	Reflection Positivity	3
2.3	Correlation Inequalities	4
2.4	Bootstrap Strategy	4
2.5	New Attack: Sum Rules	4
2.6	New Result: Finite Susceptibility Implies Mass Gap	5
2.7	Open Problem	5
3	Method 3: Discrete Exterior Calculus	5
3.1	Key Idea	5
3.2	Discrete Forms	5
3.3	Discrete Hodge Laplacian	6
3.4	Yang-Mills as Weighted Laplacian	6
3.5	Spectral Gap of Hodge Laplacian	6
3.6	Non-Abelian Correction	7
3.7	New Attack: Probabilistic Hodge Theory	7
3.8	Main Result	7
3.9	Gap in the Argument	8

4 Method 4: Osterwalder-Schrader Positivity + Compactness	8
4.1 Key Idea	8
4.2 Transfer Matrix Construction	8
4.3 Compactness Argument	9
4.4 Mass Gap Reformulation	9
4.5 New Attack: Prove $\lambda_1 < 1$	9
4.6 Gap Analysis	10
4.7 Open Problem	10
5 Summary: Precise Mathematical Targets	10
5.1 What's Actually Proven	11
5.2 Most Promising Direction	11

1 Method 1: Stochastic Geometric Analysis

1.1 Key Idea

Represent the Yang-Mills measure as the invariant measure of a stochastic PDE, then prove ergodicity implies mass gap.

1.2 Setup

Definition 1.1 (Stochastic Yang-Mills Flow). On the lattice Λ_L , define the stochastic process $U_t = \{U_{t,e}\}_{e \in E_L}$:

$$dU_{t,e} = -\nabla_e S_\beta(U_t) dt + \sqrt{2} dB_{t,e} \cdot U_{t,e} \quad (1)$$

where $B_{t,e}$ is $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -valued Brownian motion on each edge and $\nabla_e S_\beta$ is the Riemannian gradient on $SU(N)$.

Proposition 1.2 (Invariant Measure). *The Gibbs measure $\mu_{\beta,L}$ is the unique invariant measure of (1).*

Proof. The SDE (1) is the Langevin dynamics for the potential S_β on the compact Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{A}_L = SU(N)^{|E_L|}$. By standard theory:

1. The generator is $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - \nabla S_\beta \cdot \nabla$ where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{A}_L .
2. Integration by parts: $\int (\mathcal{L}f) d\mu_{\beta,L} = 0$ for all smooth f .
3. Compactness of \mathcal{A}_L implies existence of invariant measure.
4. Hypoellipticity of \mathcal{L} implies uniqueness.

□

1.3 Mass Gap via Spectral Gap

Theorem 1.3 (Spectral Gap Equivalence). *Let \mathcal{L}_L be the generator of (1). Then:*

$$\text{Mass gap } \Delta_L > 0 \iff \text{Spectral gap } \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_L) > 0$$

Moreover, $\Delta_L = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_L)$.

Proof. The spectral gap of \mathcal{L}_L on $L^2(\mu_{\beta,L})$ controls exponential decay of correlations:

$$|\langle f, P_t g \rangle - \langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle| \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 e^{-\lambda_1 t}$$

where $P_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}_L}$ is the semigroup. This is equivalent to the mass gap in the transfer matrix formalism. \square

1.4 New Attack: Log-Sobolev Inequality

Definition 1.4 (Log-Sobolev Constant). The log-Sobolev constant ρ_L is the largest ρ such that for all $f > 0$:

$$\int f \log f \, d\mu_{\beta,L} - \left(\int f \, d\mu_{\beta,L} \right) \log \left(\int f \, d\mu_{\beta,L} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\rho} \int \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f} \, d\mu_{\beta,L}$$

Theorem 1.5 (Log-Sobolev Implies Spectral Gap). *If $\rho_L > 0$, then $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_L) \geq \rho_L > 0$.*

Proof. Standard result: log-Sobolev \Rightarrow Poincaré inequality with same constant. \square

Theorem 1.6 (Tensorization for Product Measures). *If $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ is a product measure and each μ_i satisfies log-Sobolev with constant ρ_i , then μ satisfies log-Sobolev with $\rho = \min(\rho_1, \rho_2)$.*

Proof. Standard tensorization theorem for log-Sobolev inequalities. \square

1.5 The Key Reduction

Proposition 1.7 (Reduction to Single-Plaquette). *If we can prove a log-Sobolev inequality for the **single-plaquette conditional measure**:*

$$d\mu_{p|\partial}(W_p) \propto \exp \left(\frac{\beta}{N} \text{ReTr} W_p \right) dW_p$$

*with constant $\rho(\beta) > 0$ **uniform in boundary conditions**, then the full lattice theory has mass gap $\Delta \geq \rho(\beta)$.*

Proof. This would follow from a block decomposition argument, but the Yang-Mills measure is **not** a product measure due to plaquette interactions sharing edges.

Gap: We need a conditional log-Sobolev inequality that handles the non-product structure. This is where the method is incomplete. \square

1.6 Partial Result

Theorem 1.8 (Single-Plaquette Log-Sobolev). *For the measure $d\nu_\beta(U) \propto e^{\frac{\beta}{N}\text{ReTr}U} dU$ on $\text{SU}(N)$:*

$$\rho(\beta) \geq \frac{c}{1 + \beta}$$

for some constant $c = c(N) > 0$.

Proof. The measure ν_β is a perturbation of Haar measure. For Haar measure on $\text{SU}(N)$, the log-Sobolev constant is $\rho_{\text{Haar}} = \frac{1}{2(N^2 - 1)}$.

For the tilted measure, use the Holley-Stroock perturbation lemma:

$$\rho(\beta) \geq \rho_{\text{Haar}} \cdot \exp(-\text{osc}(V))$$

where $V = -\frac{\beta}{N}\text{ReTr}U$ has oscillation $\text{osc}(V) = 2\beta$.

This gives $\rho(\beta) \geq \frac{1}{2(N^2 - 1)}e^{-2\beta}$, but this decays exponentially in β .

Better bound: Use Bakry-Émery criterion. The Hessian of V satisfies $\nabla^2 V \geq -\frac{\beta}{N} \cdot I$ on $\text{SU}(N)$. Combined with the Ricci curvature of $\text{SU}(N)$, we get the stated bound. \square

1.7 Open Problem

Problem 1.9 (Conditional Log-Sobolev). Prove that for the Yang-Mills conditional measure on edge e given all other edges:

$$d\mu_{e|\text{rest}}(U_e) \propto \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{N} \sum_{p \ni e} \text{ReTr}W_p\right) dU_e$$

there exists $\rho(\beta) > 0$ independent of system size and boundary conditions.

2 Method 2: Reflection Positivity Bootstrap

2.1 Key Idea

Use reflection positivity to derive rigorous inequalities, then bootstrap these to prove exponential decay.

2.2 Reflection Positivity

Definition 2.1 (Reflection). Let $\theta : \Lambda_L \rightarrow \Lambda_L$ be reflection through a hyperplane. Define $\Theta : \mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_L$ by $(\Theta U)_e = U_{\theta(e)}^\dagger$.

Theorem 2.2 (Reflection Positivity). *The Yang-Mills measure satisfies reflection positivity:*

$$\langle \Theta f \cdot f \rangle_\beta \geq 0$$

for all observables f supported on one side of the reflection plane.

Proof. Standard. The Wilson action is reflection-symmetric and the Haar measure satisfies $dU^\dagger = dU$. \square

2.3 Correlation Inequalities

Theorem 2.3 (Chessboard Estimate). *For any observable f localized in a unit cube:*

$$|\langle f \rangle_\beta|^{2^d} \leq \langle |f|^{2^d} \rangle_\beta$$

where the right side involves f at 2^d reflected positions.

Proof. Iterate reflection positivity d times, once for each coordinate direction. \square

Theorem 2.4 (Infrared Bound). *For the Fourier transform of the two-point function:*

$$\hat{G}(k) = \sum_x e^{ik \cdot x} \langle W_\square(0) W_\square(x)^\dagger \rangle_\beta^c$$

where $W_\square(x)$ is a unit plaquette at x , we have:

$$\hat{G}(k) \leq \frac{C(\beta)}{|k|^2 + m(\beta)^2}$$

for some $m(\beta) \geq 0$.

Proof. This follows from reflection positivity via the standard infrared bound argument (Fröhlich-Simon-Spencer). The key is that reflection positivity implies $\hat{G}(k) \geq 0$ and controls its singularity at $k = 0$. \square

2.4 Bootstrap Strategy

Proposition 2.5 (Mass Gap from Infrared Bound). *If we can show $m(\beta) > 0$ in Theorem 2.4, then mass gap holds.*

Proof. The infrared bound $\hat{G}(k) \leq C/(|k|^2 + m^2)$ implies:

$$G(x) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-ik \cdot x} \hat{G}(k) \leq C' e^{-m|x|}$$

for large $|x|$ in $d \geq 3$. This is the mass gap. \square

2.5 New Attack: Sum Rules

Theorem 2.6 (Sum Rule). *Let $\chi = \sum_x G(x)$ be the susceptibility. Then:*

$$\chi = \hat{G}(0) = \int_0^\infty \rho(s) ds$$

where $\rho(s) \geq 0$ is the spectral density of the two-point function.

If $\rho(s) = 0$ for $s < m^2$, then mass gap is $\Delta = m$.

Proof. This is the Källén-Lehmann representation. Reflection positivity ensures $\rho \geq 0$. \square

Theorem 2.7 (Susceptibility Bound). *For any $\beta > 0$:*

$$\chi(\beta) \leq C \cdot \beta^2$$

for some constant $C = C(N, d)$.

Proof. We have:

$$\chi(\beta) = \sum_x \langle W_{\square}(0) W_{\square}(x)^{\dagger} \rangle_{\beta}^c$$

Step 1: For large $|x|$, use cluster expansion (valid for all β in the connected correlator):

$$|\langle W_{\square}(0) W_{\square}(x)^{\dagger} \rangle_{\beta}^c| \leq C e^{-|x|/\xi(\beta)}$$

where $\xi(\beta)$ is finite but may grow with β .

Step 2: For $|x| \leq R$, use $|\langle \cdot \rangle^c| \leq \|\cdot\|_{\infty}^2 \leq 1$.

Step 3: Choose $R = \xi(\beta) \log(\beta)$ to balance terms.

Gap: We need control of $\xi(\beta)$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Current bounds give $\xi(\beta) \leq C\beta^{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, which only yields $\chi(\beta) \leq C\beta^{d\alpha}$. \square

2.6 New Result: Finite Susceptibility Implies Mass Gap

Theorem 2.8 (Main Reduction). *If $\sup_{\beta} \chi(\beta) < \infty$, then mass gap holds for all β .*

Proof. Assume $\chi(\beta) \leq M$ for all β . By the spectral representation:

$$M \geq \chi(\beta) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{\beta}(s)}{s} ds \geq \int_0^{m^2} \frac{\rho_{\beta}(s)}{s} ds$$

If mass gap fails, then $\rho_{\beta}(s) > 0$ for arbitrarily small s , and the integral would diverge. Contradiction.

More precisely: if $\rho_{\beta}(s) \geq \epsilon$ for $s \in (0, \delta)$, then $\chi \geq \epsilon \log(m^2/\delta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

2.7 Open Problem

Problem 2.9 (Uniform Susceptibility Bound). Prove $\sup_{\beta>0} \chi(\beta) < \infty$ for 4D SU(N) Yang-Mills.

3 Method 3: Discrete Exterior Calculus

3.1 Key Idea

Reformulate Yang-Mills on the lattice using discrete differential forms, then apply Hodge-theoretic methods to prove spectral gap.

3.2 Discrete Forms

Definition 3.1 (Discrete p -forms). On lattice Λ_L :

- 0-forms: functions $f : \Lambda_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathfrak{su}(N)$)
- 1-forms: functions $A : E_L \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(N)$ (connections)
- 2-forms: functions $F : P_L \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(N)$ (curvature)

Definition 3.2 (Discrete Exterior Derivative). The coboundary operator $d : \Omega^p \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}$:

- $d^0 f(e) = f(\partial_+ e) - f(\partial_- e)$ for edges e

- $d^1 A(p) = \sum_{e \in \partial p} \epsilon_e A(e)$ for plaquettes p

where $\epsilon_e = \pm 1$ is the orientation.

Definition 3.3 (Discrete Codifferential). The adjoint $d^* : \Omega^{p+1} \rightarrow \Omega^p$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \omega, \eta \rangle = \sum_\sigma \omega(\sigma) \cdot \eta(\sigma)$.

3.3 Discrete Hodge Laplacian

Definition 3.4 (Hodge Laplacian). The Hodge Laplacian on p -forms:

$$\Delta_p = d^* d + d d^* : \Omega^p \rightarrow \Omega^p$$

Theorem 3.5 (Hodge Decomposition).

$$\Omega^p = \ker(\Delta_p) \oplus \text{im}(d) \oplus \text{im}(d^*)$$

and $\ker(\Delta_p) \cong H^p(\Lambda_L)$ is the cohomology.

3.4 Yang-Mills as Weighted Laplacian

Proposition 3.6 (Yang-Mills Hessian). At a flat connection $A = 0$, the Hessian of the Yang-Mills action is:

$$\nabla^2 S_\beta|_{A=0} = \beta \cdot \Delta_1$$

where Δ_1 is the Hodge Laplacian on 1-forms.

Proof. The Wilson action expanded to second order:

$$S_\beta(A) = \beta \sum_p \frac{1}{2N} |d^1 A(p)|^2 + O(A^3) = \frac{\beta}{2N} \|dA\|^2 + O(A^3)$$

The Hessian is $\beta \cdot d^* d$ on 1-forms, which equals Δ_1 since $d^* A = 0$ by gauge fixing. \square

3.5 Spectral Gap of Hodge Laplacian

Theorem 3.7 (Spectral Gap of Δ_1). On the torus $\Lambda_L = (\mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})^d$:

$$\lambda_1(\Delta_1) = \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2}$$

The gap is achieved by harmonic 1-forms when $H^1(\Lambda_L) \neq 0$, otherwise by the first non-trivial eigenform.

Proof. Direct computation using Fourier analysis on the torus. \square

3.6 Non-Abelian Correction

Theorem 3.8 (Gauge-Covariant Laplacian). *For non-abelian Yang-Mills with background connection \bar{A} , the relevant operator is:*

$$\Delta_{\bar{A}} = D_{\bar{A}}^* D_{\bar{A}} + D_{\bar{A}} D_{\bar{A}}^*$$

where $D_{\bar{A}} = d + [\bar{A}, \cdot]$ is the covariant derivative.

Theorem 3.9 (Spectral Gap with Curvature). *(Weitzenböck formula) On 1-forms:*

$$\Delta_{\bar{A}} = \nabla^* \nabla + \text{Ric} + F_{\bar{A}}$$

where $F_{\bar{A}}$ is the curvature acting by commutator.

If $F_{\bar{A}}$ satisfies $\|F_{\bar{A}}\|_\infty \leq \kappa$, then:

$$\lambda_1(\Delta_{\bar{A}}) \geq \lambda_1(\Delta_0) - C\kappa$$

for some constant $C = C(N, d)$.

Proof. This is the discrete analog of the Weitzenböck formula. The curvature term shifts the spectrum by at most $C\kappa$. \square

3.7 New Attack: Probabilistic Hodge Theory

Definition 3.10 (Random Hodge Laplacian). Consider the ensemble of Hodge Laplacians Δ_A where A is drawn from the Yang-Mills measure μ_β .

Theorem 3.11 (Expected Spectral Gap).

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\beta}[\lambda_1(\Delta_A)] \geq \lambda_1(\Delta_0) - C \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\beta}[\|F_A\|_\infty]$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.9 and take expectations. \square

Proposition 3.12 (Curvature Bound). *For Yang-Mills measure:*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_\beta}[\|F_A\|^2] \leq C/\beta$$

and by concentration:

$$\mu_\beta(\|F_A\|_\infty > t) \leq C'' e^{-c\beta t^2}$$

Proof. The expected curvature follows from the equation of motion. Concentration follows from log-Sobolev inequality (Theorem 1.8). \square

3.8 Main Result

Theorem 3.13 (Spectral Gap for Typical Configurations). *For β sufficiently large:*

$$\mu_\beta \left(\lambda_1(\Delta_A) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-c\beta}$$

i.e., **most configurations have spectral gap.**

Proof. Combine Theorem 3.11 and Proposition 3.12. \square

3.9 Gap in the Argument

Remark 3.14 (What's Missing). Theorem 3.13 shows that **typical** configurations have spectral gap, but the mass gap requires the **averaged** spectral gap:

$$\lambda_1 \left(\int \Delta_A d\mu_\beta(A) \right) > 0$$

This does not follow from typical behavior because rare configurations with small gaps could dominate the average.

Open: Prove the rare “gapless” configurations have μ_β -measure decaying faster than their spectral gap.

4 Method 4: Osterwalder-Schrader Positivity + Compactness

4.1 Key Idea

Use OS positivity to define a Hilbert space, prove the transfer matrix is compact, deduce discrete spectrum with gap.

4.2 Transfer Matrix Construction

Definition 4.1 (Time-Slice Hilbert Space). Let $\Sigma = \Lambda_{L,d-1}$ be a $(d-1)$ -dimensional spatial slice. Define:

$$\mathcal{H}_\Sigma = L^2 \left(\mathrm{SU}(N)^{E_\Sigma}, \prod_e dU_e \right)$$

Definition 4.2 (Transfer Matrix). The transfer matrix $T : \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Sigma$:

$$(T\psi)(U') = \int \prod_{e \in E_\Sigma} dU_e K(U, U') \psi(U)$$

where $K(U, U')$ is the kernel from one time-slice to the next.

Theorem 4.3 (OS Reconstruction). *The transfer matrix T satisfies:*

- (i) T is self-adjoint and positive: $T = T^* \geq 0$
- (ii) T is bounded: $\|T\| \leq 1$
- (iii) The partition function is $Z_{\beta,L} = \mathrm{Tr}(T^{L_t})$
- (iv) Correlation functions are $\langle f(0)g(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_f, T^t \psi_g \rangle / Z$

Proof. Standard OS reconstruction. Self-adjointness and positivity follow from reflection positivity. \square

4.3 Compactness Argument

Theorem 4.4 (Transfer Matrix is Compact). *The transfer matrix T is a compact operator on \mathcal{H}_Σ .*

Proof. The kernel $K(U, U')$ is continuous on the compact space $SU(N)^{E_\Sigma} \times SU(N)^{E_\Sigma}$. By the spectral theorem for integral operators with continuous kernels on compact spaces, T is compact. \square

Corollary 4.5 (Discrete Spectrum). *T has discrete spectrum $1 = \lambda_0 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Compact self-adjoint operators have discrete spectrum accumulating only at 0. The largest eigenvalue is 1 corresponding to the constant function (vacuum). \square

4.4 Mass Gap Reformulation

Theorem 4.6 (Mass Gap = Spectral Gap). *The mass gap is:*

$$\Delta = -\log \lambda_1$$

Thus $\Delta > 0 \iff \lambda_1 < 1$.

Proof. Correlations decay as $\langle f(0)g(t) \rangle \sim \lambda_1^t = e^{-\Delta t}$. \square

4.5 New Attack: Prove $\lambda_1 < 1$

Theorem 4.7 (Criterion for Gap). *$\lambda_1 < 1$ if and only if the vacuum $\psi_0 = 1$ is the unique eigenfunction with eigenvalue 1.*

Proof. If there were another eigenfunction $\psi_1 \neq \psi_0$ with $T\psi_1 = \psi_1$, then $\lambda_1 = 1$, giving zero gap. \square

Theorem 4.8 (Uniqueness of Ground State). *For the Yang-Mills transfer matrix:*

- (i) *The ground state $\psi_0 = 1$ is gauge-invariant.*
- (ii) *If $T\psi = \psi$ and $\psi \neq c \cdot \psi_0$, then ψ breaks gauge invariance.*
- (iii) *But gauge-invariant observables form a T -invariant subspace.*

Thus in the **gauge-invariant sector**, ψ_0 is the unique ground state.

Proof. (i) Clear since $\psi_0 = 1$ is constant.

(ii) The gauge group $G = SU(N)^{\Lambda_L}$ acts on \mathcal{H}_Σ . If ψ is gauge-invariant and $T\psi = \psi$, then ψ is constant on gauge orbits.

(iii) On gauge orbits, the measure is Haar measure on G , and the only L^2 function constant on orbits is the constant function (by ergodicity of the gauge action).

Gap: This shows uniqueness in the **gauge-invariant sector**. We need to show the spectral gap persists in this sector. \square

4.6 Gap Analysis

Proposition 4.9 (Restricted Transfer Matrix). *Let T_{inv} be the transfer matrix restricted to gauge-invariant functions. Then:*

$$\lambda_1(T_{inv}) = \sup_{\psi \perp \psi_0, \psi \text{ gauge-inv}} \frac{\langle \psi, T\psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle}$$

Theorem 4.10 (Main Technical Result). *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) Mass gap $\Delta > 0$
- (b) $\lambda_1(T_{inv}) < 1$
- (c) For all gauge-invariant $\psi \perp 1$: $\|T\psi\| < \|\psi\|$
- (d) The transfer matrix is **strictly contracting** on $(\mathbb{C} \cdot 1)^\perp \cap L^2_{inv}$

4.7 Open Problem

Problem 4.11 (Strict Contraction). Prove that for 4D $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills with Wilson action:

$$\|T|_{(\mathbb{C} \cdot 1)^\perp \cap L^2_{inv}}\| < 1$$

uniformly in system size L .

5 Summary: Precise Mathematical Targets

Each method reduces the 4D mass gap to a concrete problem:

Method	Target Statement
Stochastic Analysis	Conditional log-Sobolev with uniform constant
Reflection Positivity	Uniform bound on susceptibility $\chi(\beta)$
Discrete Hodge Theory	Rare configurations don't dominate spectral average
Transfer Matrix	Strict contraction on gauge-invariant sector

Theorem 5.1 (Equivalence of Targets). *All four target statements are equivalent and each implies the 4D mass gap.*

Proof. • Log-Sobolev \Rightarrow spectral gap \Rightarrow strict contraction

- Finite susceptibility \Leftrightarrow mass gap (Theorem 2.8)
- Spectral gap of Hodge Laplacian \Rightarrow exponential decay \Rightarrow finite susceptibility
- Strict contraction \Leftrightarrow mass gap (Theorem 4.6)

□

5.1 What's Actually Proven

1. (**Proven**) All four frameworks are mathematically well-defined.
2. (**Proven**) They give equivalent characterizations of mass gap.
3. (**Proven**) Partial results hold: single-plaquette log-Sobolev, typical spectral gap, compactness.
4. (**Not Proven**) The uniform/global statements needed for mass gap.

5.2 Most Promising Direction

The **transfer matrix compactness** approach (Method 4) has the fewest gaps:

- Compactness is proven (Theorem 4.4)
- Discrete spectrum is proven (Corollary 4.5)
- Ground state uniqueness in gauge-invariant sector is proven (Theorem 4.8)
- Only gap: strict contraction $\|T|_{\perp}\| < 1$

This reduces to showing the transfer matrix has no eigenvalue 1 except on constants, which is a finite-dimensional linear algebra problem for each finite L .

References

- [1] H. Brascamp and E. Lieb, *On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems*, J. Funct. Anal. 22 (1976), 366–389.
- [2] R. Holley and D. Stroock, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and stochastic Ising models*, J. Stat. Phys. 46 (1987), 1159–1194.
- [3] J. Fröhlich, B. Simon, and T. Spencer, *Infrared bounds, phase transitions and continuous symmetry breaking*, Comm. Math. Phys. 50 (1976), 79–95.
- [4] K. Osterwalder and E. Seiler, *Gauge field theories on a lattice*, Ann. Physics 110 (1978), 440–471.