初始代码运行结果:

```
(base) ubuntu@k8s-master:~/workspace/pythonProject2/mianshi/workshop$ sudo ./workshop
Matrix multiply iteration 1: cost 3.755 seconds
Matrix multiply iteration 2: cost 2.852 seconds
Matrix multiply iteration 3: cost 2.406 seconds
Matrix multiply iteration 4: cost 2.345 seconds
Matrix multiply iteration 5: cost 2.188 seconds
Matrix multiply iteration 6: cost 2.174 seconds
Matrix multiply iteration 7: cost 2.245 seconds
Killed
(base) ubuntu@k8s-master:~/workspace/pythonProject2/mianshi/workshop$
```

平均耗时: 2.566 s

优化后代码运行结果:

```
(llm) ubuntu@k8s-master:~/workspace/pythonProject2/test/workshop$ sudo ./workshop
Matrix multiply iteration 3: 0.402 s (42692.11 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 4: 0.383 s (44848.36 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 5: 0.399 s (43041.41 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 6: 0.384 s (44726.07 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 7: 0.388 s (44232.30 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 9: 0.385 s (44594.80 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 10: 0.377 s (45528.43 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 11: 0.381 s (45120.01 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 12: 0.390 s (43996.30 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 13: 0.396 s (43392.61 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 14: 0.378 s (45467.90 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 15: 0.375 s (45759.10 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 16: 0.391 s (43960.58 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 17: 0.400 s (42932.05 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 18: 0.389 s (44203.79 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 19: 0.394 s (43635.44 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 20: 0.401 s (42809.29 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 22: 0.401 s (42839.59 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 23: 0.384 s (44684.24 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 27: 0.391 s (43959.85 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 28: 0.395 s (43443.64 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 29: 0.404 s (42476.54 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 30: 0.392 s (43880.49 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 31: 0.380 s (45228.67 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 32: 0.386 s (44561.52 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 33: 0.389 s (44168.14 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 34: 0.382 s (44956.65 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 35: 0.388 s (44237.82 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 37: 0.384 s (44724.85 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 39: 0.393 s (43668.42 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 40: 0.404 s (42575.61 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 41: 0.401 s (42852.58 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 42: 0.409 s (41974.07 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 43: 0.411 s (41796.19 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 44: 0.408 s (42068.68 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 45: 0.396 s (43379.27 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 46: 0.397 s (43324.93 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 47: 0.386 s (44472.64 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 48: 0.387 s (44352.98 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 49: 0.389 s (44174.54 MFLOPS)
```

```
Matrix multiply iteration 50: 0.410 s (41931.90 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 52: 0.409 s (42024.62 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 53: 0.421 s (40817.70 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 54: 0.413 s (41645.24 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 55: 0.392 s (43878.22 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 56: 0.415 s (41408.44 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 57: 0.402 s (42751.47 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 58: 0.386 s (44504.21 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 59: 0.393 s (43665.30 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 60: 0.390 s (44016.00 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 61: 0.404 s (42479.33 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 62: 0.412 s (41745.76 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 63: 0.401 s (42805.48 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 64: 0.407 s (42190.85 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 65: 0.404 s (42532.68 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 66: 0.404 s (42556.09 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 67: 0.404 s (42570.25 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 69: 0.390 s (44038.25 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 70: 0.395 s (43506.34 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 71: 0.400 s (42910.49 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 72: 0.397 s (43263.41 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 73: 0.391 s (43896.56 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 75: 0.415 s (41358.14 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 76: 0.419 s (40957.00 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 77: 0.411 s (41850.07 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 78: 0.407 s (42232.96 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 79: 0.402 s (42732.00 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 80: 0.406 s (42356.80 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 82: 0.397 s (43239.23 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 83: 0.398 s (43118.81 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 84: 0.400 s (42917.05 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 86: 0.396 s (43385.27 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 87: 0.396 s (43381.50 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 88: 0.392 s (43873.63 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 89: 0.407 s (42249.68 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 90: 0.401 s (42808.74 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 91: 0.405 s (42408.08 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 92: 0.407 s (42249.78 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 93: 0.413 s (41581.22 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 94: 0.403 s (42606.40 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 95: 0.418 s (41091.71 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 96: 0.397 s (43285.56 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 97: 0.381 s (45119.04 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 98: 0.390 s (44090.88 MFLOPS)
Matrix multiply iteration 100: 0.381 s (45036.47 MFLOPS)
```

平均耗时: 0.39621 s

加速比: 2.566 / 0.396 ≈ 6.47

优化方案:

1、内存分配与对齐

- 问题:循环内反复 malloc/free,且手动对齐复杂。
- 方案: 一次性用 posix memalign 分配 256 字节对齐内存,循环内复用。
- 收益:避免系统调用开销、减少内存碎片、代码更简洁。
- 涉及文件: main.c

2、高精度稳定计时

- 问题: gettimeofday 受系统时间调整影响。
- 方案: 封装 now sec(), 使用单调时钟 clock gettime(CLOCK MONOTONIC)。
- 收益: 计时结果更稳定、精度达到纳秒级。
- 涉及文件: main.c

3、访存局部性优化

- 问题: 原始三重循环对 B 的列访问导致频繁 cache miss。
- **方案**: 预先转置 $B \rightarrow BT$, 内核按行顺序访问 BT; 接口配合调整。
- 收益:提高缓存命中率,显著提升性能。
- **涉及文件:** mul.c (新增 transpose_mat、修改 mul_kernel)、mul.h (函数声明调整)、main.c (调用转置并传 BT)

4、线程模型精简

- 问题:线程参数冗余、缺少 pthread_create/join 返回值检查。
- **方案**: 删掉未用字段,仅保留必要矩阵指针与索引,对线程创建/回收结果进行错误检查。
- 收益:消除内存泄漏,提升鲁棒性与可读性。
- 涉及文件: thrmodel.c (核心修改)、mul.h (参数列表同步精简)

5、代码健壮性

- 问题: cgroup 配置写死且失败即退出; 写文件用 sizeof(str) 可能写出多余字节。
- **方案**: 改为"尝试-失败-警告"的容错策略;使用 strlen()写入准确字节数并封装 safe write str()。
- 收益:提升可移植性,避免在非 root/非 cgroup 环境直接崩溃。
- 涉及文件: util.c

6、编译器优化提示

- 问题:未向编译器提供别名信息与常量性质提示。
- 方案: 为指针参数添加 restrict: 将不可变量改为 static const。
- 收益:编译器可更积极地进行矢量化与指令调度。
- 涉及文件: mul.h、mul.c (参数加 restrict)、main.c (常量改为 static const)