

Computational method and numerical algorithms

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

September 22, 2020

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$ Factorization

Assignment

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$ Factorization

Assignment

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Example 1. Consider the system

$$x + y = 3, \quad (1)$$

$$3x - 4y = 2. \quad (2)$$

How to solve this?

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Example 1. Consider the system

$$x + y = 3, \quad (1)$$

$$3x - 4y = 2. \quad (2)$$

How to solve this? **Gaussian Elimination.**

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

Example 1. Consider the system

$$x + y = 3, \quad (1)$$

$$3x - 4y = 2. \quad (2)$$

How to solve this? **Gaussian Elimination.**

1. **Elimination:** $-3 \times (1) + (2)$:

$$x + y = 3, \quad (3)$$

$$-7y = -7. \quad (4)$$

2. **Back Substitution or backsolving:** (4) gives:

$$y = 1.$$

Then, back substitute $y = 1$ into (3) gives:

$$x + 1 = 3 \rightarrow x = 2.$$

Operations in “Elimination”

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of
Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

1. Swap one equation for another.
2. Multiplying an equation by a nonzero constant.
3. Add or subtract a multiple of one equation from another.

An illustration in Matrix form

Example 2. Consider the following system of three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x + 2y - z &= 3, \\2x + y + z &= 3, \\-3x + y + z &= -6.\end{aligned}$$

Sol. Elimination:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 & -6 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}}$$

An illustration in Matrix form

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Example 2. Consider the following system of three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x + 2y - z &= 3, \\2x + y + z &= 3, \\-3x + y + z &= -6.\end{aligned}$$

Sol. Elimination:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 & -6 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 & -6 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 2 →

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

An illustration in Matrix form

Example 2. Consider the following system of three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x + 2y - z &= 3, \\2x + y + z &= 3, \\-3x + y + z &= -6.\end{aligned}$$

Sol. Elimination:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 & -6 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 & -6 \end{array} \right] \\&\xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 7 & -2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}}\end{aligned}$$

An illustration in Matrix form

Example 2. Consider the following system of three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x + 2y - z &= 3, \\2x + y + z &= 3, \\-3x + y + z &= -6.\end{aligned}$$

Sol. Elimination:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c}1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\2 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\-3 & 1 & 1 & -6\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c}1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\-3 & 1 & 1 & -6\end{array}\right] \\&\xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c}1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\0 & 7 & -2 & 3\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c}1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\0 & 0 & 5 & -4\end{array}\right]\end{aligned}$$

An illustration in Matrix form

Example 2. Consider the following system of three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x + 2y - z &= 3, \\2x + y + z &= 3, \\-3x + y + z &= -6.\end{aligned}$$

Sol. Elimination:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 & -6 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 & -6 \end{array} \right] \\&\xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 7 & -2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -4 \end{array} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Back substitution:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 3 - 2y - z, \\-3y &= -3 - 3z, \\5z &= -4.\end{aligned}$$

The operation count in Elimination Step

For a general system of n linear equations in n variables:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

Step1: \rightarrow

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{2n} - \frac{a_{21}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}b_1}{a_{11}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_n - \frac{a_{n1}b_1}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 1:
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 1:

The operation count in Elimination Step

For a general system of n linear equations in n variables:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 1: } a_{11} \neq 0} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{2n} - \frac{a_{21}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}b_1}{a_{11}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_n - \frac{a_{n1}b_1}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 1:
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 1:

The operation count in Elimination Step

For a general system of n linear equations in n variables:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 1: } a_{11} \neq 0} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{2n} - \frac{a_{21}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}b_1}{a_{11}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_n - \frac{a_{n1}b_1}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 1: $n(n-1) + n(n-1)$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 1: $n(n-1)$

The operation count in Elimination Step

For a general system of n linear equations in n variables:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 1: } a_{11} \neq 0} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{2n} - \frac{a_{21}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}b_1}{a_{11}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_n - \frac{a_{n1}b_1}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 1: $n(n-1) + (n-1)$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 1: $n(n-1)$

The operation count in Elimination Step

For a general system of n linear equations in n variables:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 1: } a_{11} \neq 0} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{2n} - \frac{a_{21}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}b_1}{a_{11}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_n - \frac{a_{n1}b_1}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 1: $n(n-1) + (n-1)$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 1: $n(n-1)$
- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 2:
 $(n-1)(n-2) + (n-2),$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 2: $(n-1)(n-2)$
- ▶ ...
- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step

The operation count in Elimination Step

For a general system of n linear equations in n variables:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 1: } a_{11} \neq 0} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{2n} - \frac{a_{21}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}b_1}{a_{11}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_n - \frac{a_{n1}b_1}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 1: $n(n-1) + (n-1)$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 1: $n(n-1)$
- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 2:
 $(n-1)(n-2) + (n-2),$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 2: $(n-1)(n-2)$
- ▶ ...
- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step $n-1$: $2 \times 1 + 1$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step $n-1$: 2×1

The operation count in Elimination Step

For a general system of n linear equations in n variables:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 1: } a_{11} \neq 0} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{2n} - \frac{a_{21}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_2 - \frac{a_{21}b_1}{a_{11}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{12}}{a_{11}} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \frac{a_{n1}a_{1n}}{a_{11}} & b_n - \frac{a_{n1}b_1}{a_{11}} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 1: $n(n-1) + (n-1)$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 1: $n(n-1)$
- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step 2:
 $(n-1)(n-2) + (n-2)$, **Condition:** $a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}/a_{11} \neq 0$.
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step 2: $(n-1)(n-2)$
- ▶ ...
- ▶ Number of multiplications/divisions in Step $n-1$: $2 \times 1 + 1$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions in Step $n-1$: 2×1

Sum them up, we have

- Total Number of multiplications/divisions:

$$\sum_{j=2}^n j^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1) - n$$

- Total Number of additions/subtractions:

$$\sum_{j=2}^n j(j-1) = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

- Total Number of Operations:

$$\frac{1}{3}n(n+1)(2n+1) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n = \frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{6}n$$

The operation Count in Back-substitution Step

After the elimination, we get the following system of equations in matrix form:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

We easily get

$$x_1 = \frac{b_1 - a_{12}x_2 - \cdots - a_{1n}x_n}{a_{11}}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{b_2 - a_{23}x_3 - \cdots - a_{2n}x_n}{a_{22}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}}.$$

The operation Count in Back-substitution Step

After the elimination, we get the following system of equations in matrix form:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

We easily get

$$x_1 = \frac{b_1 - a_{12}x_2 - \cdots - a_{1n}x_n}{a_{11}}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{b_2 - a_{23}x_3 - \cdots - a_{2n}x_n}{a_{22}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{nn}}.$$

- ▶ Number of Multiplications/Divisions: $n + \cdots + 1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
- ▶ Number of additions/subtractions: $(n-1) + \cdots + 0 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
- ▶ Total Number of operations: n^2 .

Computational Complexity of Gaussian Elimination Algorithm

The total number of operations is the sum of $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{6}n$ operations in Elimination Step and n^2 operations in Back Substitution Step, i.e.,

$$\frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{6}n = \mathcal{O}(n^3),$$

operations, which is defined as the **Computational Complexity** of Gaussian Elimination Algorithm.

Example 3. Estimate the time required to carry out back substitution on a system of 500 equations in 500 unknowns, on a computer where elimination takes 1 second.

Computational Complexity of Gaussian Elimination Algorithm

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$ Factorization

Assignment

The total number of operations is the sum of $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{6}n$ operations in Elimination Step and n^2 operations in Back Substitution Step, i.e.,

$$\frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{6}n = \mathcal{O}(n^3),$$

operations, which is defined as the **Computational Complexity** of Gaussian Elimination Algorithm.

Example 3. Estimate the time required to carry out back substitution on a system of 500 equations in 500 unknowns, on a computer where elimination takes 1 second.

Sol. First, each operation in elimination takes

$$\frac{1}{2/3 \times 500^3}.$$

Thus, back substitution takes

$$500^2 / (2/3 \times 500^3) \approx 0.03\text{sec}.$$

Totally, we see the Gaussian elimination takes

$$1 + 0.03 = 1.03\text{sec}.$$

2.2 The LU Factorization

- ▶ An $m \times n$ matrix L is **Lower triangular matrix**: if $l_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j$.
- ▶ An $m \times n$ matrix U is **Upper triangular matrix**: if $u_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$.

Theorem. If a linear system $Ax = b$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ can be solved by the Gaussian elimination, then there exist a lower triangular matrix $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and an upper triangular matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $A = LU$, and this decomposition is called the **LU Factorization** of A .

Example 1. Find the LU factorization of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Example 1. Find the LU factorization of

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{-2 \times R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\xrightarrow{-(-3) \times R_1 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-(-\frac{7}{3}) \times R_2 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = U.
 \end{aligned}$$

What we have done?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A = U.$$

Thus,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

Example 1. Find the LU factorization of

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-2 \times R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\xrightarrow{-(-3) \times R_1 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-(-\frac{7}{3}) \times R_2 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = U.
 \end{aligned}$$

What we have done?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A = U.$$

Thus,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 1. Find the LU factorization of

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{-2 \times R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\xrightarrow{-(-3) \times R_1 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-(-\frac{7}{3}) \times R_2 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = U.
 \end{aligned}$$

What we have done?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A = U.$$

Thus,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -\frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why L is computed in this way?

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Prop.. Let $L_{ij}(c)$ be the lower triangular matrix whose only nonzero entries are 1's on the main diagonal and c in the (i, j) position. Then, $L_{ij}(c)A$ represents the operation “adding c times row j from row i ”. Clearly,

$$L_{ij}(c)L_{ij}(-c) = L_{ij}(-c)L_{ij}(c) = I.$$

Why L is computed in this way?

Prop.. Let $L_{ij}(c)$ be the lower triangular matrix whose only nonzero entries are 1's on the main diagonal and c in the (i, j) position. Then, $L_{ij}(c)A$ represents the operation “adding c times row j from row i ”. Clearly,

$$L_{ij}(c)L_{ij}(-c) = L_{ij}(-c)L_{ij}(c) = I.$$

Revisiting **Example 1**. The LU factorization:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_{21}(-2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_{31}(3)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_{32}(7/3)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

$$L = (L_{32}(7/3)L_{31}(3)L_{21}(-2))^{-1} = L_{21}(2)L_{31}(-3)L_{32}(-7/3).$$

How to record L : Replace the **resulting zero** directly by the **inverse of the multiplier** in each elimination step.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_{21}(-2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ \textcircled{2} & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_{31}(-(-3))} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ \textcircled{2} & -3 & 0 \\ \textcircled{-3} & 7 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{L_{32}(-(-7/3))} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ \textcircled{2} & -3 & 0 \\ \textcircled{-3} & \textcircled{-7/3} & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Forward and Backward substitutions with the LU factorization

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

How do we use LU factorization in solving $Ax = LUx = b$?

The substitution is a two-step procedure?

- Forward substitution to solve $Lc = b$ for c ;
- Backward substitution to solve $Ux = c$ for x .

For example, let us solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -\frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix},$$

Firstly, forward solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -\frac{7}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} c = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 = 3 \\ c_2 = 3 - 2c_1 = -3 \\ c_3 = -6 + 3c_1 + \frac{7}{3}c_2 = -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Secondly, backward solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -3 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 = 3 - 2x_2 + x_3 = 3 \\ x_2 = 1 \\ x_3 = 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$ Factorization

Assignment

Complexity of the LU factorization

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Suppose now we need to solve k linear systems,

$$Ax = b_1,$$

$$Ax = b_2,$$

$$\vdots,$$

$$Ax = b_k.$$

Computational Complexity of Gaussian Elimination:

Computational Complexity of LU factorization:

Complexity of the LU factorization

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Suppose now we need to solve k linear systems,

$$Ax = b_1,$$

$$Ax = b_2,$$

$$\vdots,$$

$$Ax = b_k.$$

Computational Complexity of Gaussian Elimination: $O(\frac{2k}{3}n^3)$

Computational Complexity of LU factorization:

Complexity of the LU factorization

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Suppose now we need to solve k linear systems,

$$Ax = b_1,$$

$$Ax = b_2,$$

$$\vdots,$$

$$Ax = b_k.$$

Computational Complexity of Gaussian Elimination: $O(\frac{2k}{3}n^3)$

Computational Complexity of LU factorization: $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + 2kn^2$

Nonexistence of LU Factorization

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Prove that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

does not have an LU factorization.

Nonexistence of LU Factorization

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Prove that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

does not have an LU factorization.

Sol. Suppose otherwise, there exist L and U such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ l_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ 0 & u_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ l_{21}u_{11} & l_{21}u_{12} + u_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, we get

$$u_{11} = 0, \quad l_{21}u_{11} = 1,$$

which is impossible.

Maximum or Infinity norm: $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$ for vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

The **infinity norm** of an $n \times n$ matrix A is

$$\|A\|_\infty = \text{maximum absolute row sum,}$$

The 1-norm of $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is

$$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|.$$

The 1-norm of an $n \times n$ matrix A is

$$\|A\|_1 = \text{maximum absolute column sum.}$$

One property of norm is

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|.$$

Let x_a be an approximate solution of the linear system $Ax = b$. Then,

- ▶ **Residual:** $r = b - Ax_a$;
- ▶ **Backward error:** $\|r\|_\infty = \|b - Ax_a\|_\infty$.
- ▶ **Forward error:** $\|x - x_a\|_\infty$.
- ▶ **Relative Backward error:** $\|r\|_\infty / \|b\|_\infty$.
- ▶ **Relative Forward error:** $\|x - x_a\|_\infty / \|x\|_\infty$.

Example 1. Find the (relative) forward and (relative) backward errors for the approximation solution $[-1, 3.0001]$ of the system

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 &= 2, \\ 1.0001x_1 + x_2 &= 2.0001.\end{aligned}$$

Sol. We can easily get the true solution $x = (1, 1)^T$: By definition, the backward error is

$$\|b - Ax_a\|_\infty = \|(-0.0001, 0.0001)^T\|_\infty = 0.0001.$$

The forward error is

$$\|x_a - x\|_\infty = \|(0, 2.0001)^T\|_\infty = 2.0001.$$

The relative backward error is

$$0.0001/\|b\|_\infty = 0.0001/2.0001 \approx 0.005\%.$$

The relative forward error is

$$2.0001/\|x\|_\infty = 200.01\%.$$

The error magnification factor then is $200.01\%/0.005\% = 40004.0001$.

The **condition number** of a square matrix A , $\text{cond}(A)$, is the maximum possible error magnification factor for solving $Ax = b$, over all right-hand sides b .

Theorem. The condition number of the $n \times n$ matrix A is

$$\text{cond}(A) = \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|.$$

Proof. Let $r = Ax_a - b$ for $b = Ax$. Then,

$$\frac{\|x - x_a\|}{\|x\|} = \frac{\|A^{-1}r\|}{\|x\|} = \frac{\|A^{-1}r\| \cdot \|A\|}{\|A\| \cdot \|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|A\| \cdot \|r\|}{\|Ax\|}$$

Thus, for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{\frac{\|x - x_a\|}{\|x\|}}{\frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|}} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|A\|.$$

Thus,

$$\text{cond}(A) \leq \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|.$$

Example 2. Evaluate the condition number of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1.0001 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Sol. One easily gets

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -10000 & 10000 \\ 10001 & -10000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\text{cond}(A) = \|A\|_{\infty} \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 2.0001 \times 20001 = 40004.0001.$$

Example 3. Let H denote the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix with $H_{ij} = 1/(i+j-1)$. Use MATLAB to show the condition number of H , and to solve the equation $Hx = H(1, \dots, 1)^T$ for $n = 6, 10$.

Sol. True solution is $x = (1, \dots, 1)$

When $n = 10$, one finds that $\text{cond}(A) \approx 3.54 \times 10^{13}$, and

When $n = 6$, one finds that $\text{cond}(A) \approx 2.91 \times 10^7$, and

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.99999999999923 \\ 1.00000000002184 \\ 0.999999999985267 \\ 1.000000000038240 \\ 0.999999999957855 \\ 1.000000000016588 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.99999999875463 \\ 1.00000010746631 \\ 0.99999771299818 \\ 1.00002077769598 \\ 0.99990094548472 \\ 1.00027218303745 \\ 0.99955359665722 \\ 1.00043125589482 \\ 0.99977366058043 \\ 1.00004976229297 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Swamping: a typical example

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1, \\ x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4.\end{aligned}$$

Sol1. Exact Gaussian Elimination:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1, \\ (2 - 10^{20})x_2 &= 4 - 10^{20} \rightarrow x_2 = \frac{4 - 10^{20}}{2 - 10^{20}} \approx 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol2. IEEE double precision:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1 \\ -10^{20}x_2 &= -10^{20} \rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol3. IEEE double precision after row exchange:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4, \\ 10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1 \rightarrow (1 - 2 \times 10^{-20})x_2 = 1 - 4 \times 10^{-20} \rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Swamping: a typical example

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1, \\ x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4.\end{aligned}$$

Sol1. Exact Gaussian Elimination:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow x_1 = \frac{-2 \times 10^{20}}{2 - 10^{20}} \approx 2, \\ (2 - 10^{20})x_2 = 4 - 10^{20} &\rightarrow x_2 = \frac{4 - 10^{20}}{2 - 10^{20}} \approx 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol2. IEEE double precision:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1 \\ -10^{20}x_2 &= -10^{20} \rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol3. IEEE double precision after row exchange:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4, \\ 10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow (1 - 2 \times 10^{-20})x_2 = 1 - 4 \times 10^{-20} \rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Swamping: a typical example

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1, \\ x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4.\end{aligned}$$

Sol1. Exact Gaussian Elimination:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow x_1 = \frac{-2 \times 10^{20}}{2 - 10^{20}} \approx 2, \\ (2 - 10^{20})x_2 = 4 - 10^{20} &\rightarrow x_2 = \frac{4 - 10^{20}}{2 - 10^{20}} \approx 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol2. IEEE double precision:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow x_1 = 0, \\ -10^{20}x_2 = -10^{20} &\rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol3. IEEE double precision after row exchange:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4, \\ 10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow (1 - 2 \times 10^{-20})x_2 = 1 - 4 \times 10^{-20} \rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Swamping: a typical example

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 &= 1, \\ x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4.\end{aligned}$$

Sol1. Exact Gaussian Elimination:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow x_1 = \frac{-2 \times 10^{20}}{2 - 10^{20}} \approx 2, \\ (2 - 10^{20})x_2 = 4 - 10^{20} &\rightarrow x_2 = \frac{4 - 10^{20}}{2 - 10^{20}} \approx 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol2. IEEE double precision:

$$\begin{aligned}10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow x_1 = 0, \\ -10^{20}x_2 = -10^{20} &\rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Sol3. IEEE double precision after row exchange:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 &= 4, \rightarrow x_1 = 2 \\ 10^{-20}x_1 + x_2 = 1 &\rightarrow (1 - 2 \times 10^{-20})x_2 = 1 - 4 \times 10^{-20} \rightarrow x_2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

2.4 The $PA = LU$ Factorization

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 3 & -3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\text{Exchange}]{\text{Pivoting.}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 & -3 \end{array} \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{Two Elim. Steps}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & -3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\text{Exchange}]{\text{Pivoting}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{One Elim. Step}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Backsolver}} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Suppose in the i -th Elimination step, we encounter the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_{i-1,i-1} & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{ii} & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{i+1,i} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{pi} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{ni} & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

In partial pivoting protocol:

1. determine which is the maximum number in $\{|a_{ji}|\}_{j=i}^n$. Suppose $|a_{pi}|$ is the maximum one.

Suppose in the i -th Elimination step, we encounter the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_{i-1,i-1} & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{pi} & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{i+1,i} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{ij} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{ni} & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

In partial pivoting protocol:

1. determine which is the maximum number in $\{|a_{ji}|\}_{j=i}^n$. Suppose $|a_{pi}|$ is the maximum one.
2. exchange the p -th row and the i -th row

Suppose in the i -th Elimination step, we encounter the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_{i-1,i-1} & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{pi} & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{i+1,i} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{ij} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & a_{ni} & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

In partial pivoting protocol:

1. determine which is the maximum number in $\{|a_{ji}|\}_{j=i}^n$. Suppose $|a_{pi}|$ is the maximum one.
2. exchange the p -th row and the i -th row
3. eliminate the rest elements in column i .

A permutation matrix is an $n \times n$ matrix consists of all zeros, except for a single 1 in every row and column.

Theorem. Fundamental Theorem of Permutation Matrices. Let P be the $n \times n$ permutation matrix formed by a particular set of row exchanges applied to the identity matrix. Then, for any $n \times n$ matrix A , PA is the matrix obtained by applying exactly the same set of row exchanges to A .

For example, the following permutation matrix

$$P_{132} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

performs an exchange of Row 2 and Row 3.

A permutation matrix is an $n \times n$ matrix consists of all zeros, except for a single 1 in every row and column.

Theorem. Fundamental Theorem of Permutation Matrices. Let P be the $n \times n$ permutation matrix formed by a particular set of row exchanges applied to the identity matrix. Then, for any $n \times n$ matrix A , PA is the matrix obtained by applying exactly the same set of row exchanges to A .

For example, the following permutation matrix

$$P_{132} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

performs an exchange of Row 2 and Row 3.

In general: suppose $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a rearrangement of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, the following permutation matrix

$$P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n},$$

is a rearrangement of rows of identity matrix I_n , so that, the i -th row of $P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ is the **row of I_n .**

A permutation matrix is an $n \times n$ matrix consists of all zeros, except for a single 1 in every row and column.

Theorem. Fundamental Theorem of Permutation Matrices. Let P be the $n \times n$ permutation matrix formed by a particular set of row exchanges applied to the identity matrix. Then, for any $n \times n$ matrix A , PA is the matrix obtained by applying exactly the same set of row exchanges to A .

For example, the following permutation matrix

$$P_{132} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

performs an exchange of Row 2 and Row 3.

In general: suppose $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a rearrangement of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, the following permutation matrix

$$P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n},$$

is a rearrangement of rows of identity matrix I_n , so that, the i -th row of $P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ is the a_i -th row of I_n .

How to get P and L ?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{132}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

How to get L and P ?

$$L_{32}(-1/2)P_{132}L_{31}(-1/2)L_{21}(1/2)P_{321}A = U.$$

How to get P and L ?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{132}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

How to get L and P ?

$$L_{32}(-1/2)L_{21}(-1/2)P_{132}L_{21}(1/2)P_{321}A = U.$$

How to get P and L ?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{132}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

How to get L and P ?

$$L_{32}(-1/2)L_{21}(-1/2)L_{31}(1/2)P_{132}P_{321}A = U.$$

How to get P and L ?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{132}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

How to get L and P ?

$$L_{32}(-1/2)L_{21}(-1/2)L_{31}(1/2)P_{132}P_{321}A = U.$$

Thus, we have:

$$P = P_{132}P_{321} = \quad , \quad L = L_{31}(-1/2)L_{21}(1/2)L_{32}(1/2).$$

How to get P and L ?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{132}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

How to get L and P ?

$$L_{32}(-1/2)L_{21}(-1/2)L_{31}(1/2)P_{132}P_{321}A = U.$$

Thus, we have:

$$P = P_{132}P_{321} = P_{312}, \quad L = L_{31}(-1/2)L_{21}(1/2)L_{32}(1/2).$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P=P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P=P_{132}P]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

How to get P and L ?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P_{132}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

How to get L and P ?

$$L_{32}(-1/2)L_{21}(-1/2)L_{31}(1/2)P_{132}P_{321}A = U.$$

Thus, we have:

$$P = P_{132}P_{321} = P_{312}, \quad L = L_{31}(-1/2)L_{21}(1/2)L_{32}(1/2).$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P=P_{321}]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{21}(1/2), L_{31}(-1/2)]{2 \text{ Elims.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[P=P_{132}P]{\text{Swap}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[L_{32}(-1/2)]{1 \text{ Elim.}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solving $Ax = b$

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Suppose we have found the PLU Factorization: $PA = LU$, then we can solve $Ax = b$ in the following way:

1. Find c such that $Lc = Pb$;
2. Find x such that $Ux = c$;
3. x solves $PAx = Pb$.

Complexity:

Solving $Ax = b$

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Suppose we have found the PLU Factorization: $PA = LU$, then we can solve $Ax = b$ in the following way:

1. Find c such that $Lc = Pb$;
2. Find x such that $Ux = c$;
3. x solves $P Ax = Pb$.

Complexity: the same as the usual LU Factorization,

$$\mathcal{O}(n^3).$$

Assignment II

Wangtao Lu
Zhejiang University

Chapter 2: System of Equations

2.1 Gaussian Elimination

2.2 The LU Factorization

2.3 Sources of Error

2.4 The $PA = LU$
Factorization

Assignment

Assignment II:

Page 79, 2.1, Computer Problems: 1.

P85, 2.2 Computer Problems: 1.

P94, 2.3 Computer Problems: 1.

P102, 2.4 Exercises: 2.

Due date: October 14, 2020.