

## Lab 1 Report | xuej41 | 400515671

**A. GameMechs::setInitBoard():** Input size  $n$  – assume  $\text{boardX} = \text{boardY} = n$  when both dimensions become large

Find  $\Theta$

```
42 void GameMechs::setInitBoard() // private helper function
43 {
44     for(int i = 0; i < boardSizeY; i++)  $\Theta(n)$ 
45     {
46         for(int j = 0; j < boardSizeX; j++)  $\Theta(n)$ 
47         {
48             if(i == 0 || i == (boardSizeY - 1) || j == 0 || j == (boardSizeX - 1))
49                 gameBoard[i][j] = '#';
50             else
51                 gameBoard[i][j] = ' ';
52         }
53     }
54 }
```

There is a nested for loop that both iterate from  $i$  to  $n$  ( $\text{boardSizeX/Y} = n$ ). Therefore the  $\Theta$  for each for loop is  $\Theta(n)$ .

The if statement within the nested for loops only executes once per cycle, so  $\Theta$  is  $\Theta(1)$ .

Therefore  $\Theta$  of the entire `GameMechs::setInitBoard()` function is  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

**B. objPosArrayList::insertHead():** Input size  $n$  – list size (a.k.a. size of the Snake)

Find  $\Theta_{\text{best}}$ ,  $\Theta_{\text{worst}}$ ,  $O$ , and  $o$

```
31 void objPosArrayList::insertHead(const objPos &thisPos)
32 {
33     if(listSize == arrayCapacity) return;  $\Theta(1)$ 
34     // The rest will be our estimation of Theta worst case
35     for(int i = listSize; i > 0; i--)  $\Theta(n)$ 
36     {
37         list[i] = list[i-1]; // can do this because of copy constructor
38     }
39     list[0].setX(thisPos.getX());
40     list[0].setY(thisPos.getY());
41     list[0].setNum(thisPos.getNum());
42     list[0].setPF(thisPos.getPF());
43     list[0].setSym(thisPos.getSym());  $\Theta(1)$ 
44     //list[0].printObjPos();
45     listSize++;
46 }
```

$\Theta_{\text{best}} = \Theta(1)$  because the best case scenario is when `listSize == arraySize`, because then the function returns immediately without executing anything else.

$\Theta_{\text{worst}} = \Theta(n)$  because in the absolute worst case, `listSize < arrayCapacity`, which means there is space to insert a head. This causes the for loop to iterate through each element in the list (shifting everything forward). Everything else has a constant time complexity. Therefore the final time complexity is just  $\Theta(n)$ .

$O$  = upper bound on time complexity =  $\Theta_{\text{worst}} = \mathbf{O(n)}$ .

$o$  = time complexity that grows strictly slower than the given function =  $\mathbf{o(n^2)}$ . We cannot claim that the complexity grows slower than  $n$ , because the function always takes at least  $O(n)$  time to perform the operation in the worst case. Technically  $o$  can be anything greater than  $n$  ( $n \log n$ ,  $n^2$ ,  $n^3$  etc).

### C. `objPosArrayList::removeTail()`: Input size $n$ – list size (a.k.a. size of the Snake)

Find  $\Theta$

```
159 objPos objPosArrayList::removeTail()
160 {
161     if(listSize <= 0)
162         return objPos(-99,0,0,0,0); // objPos constructor is called
163
164     listSize--; // lazy delete
165
166     return list[listSize]; // return the lazy-deleted element
167     // returning objPos instance through the stack will invoke copy constructor of objPos
168 }
```

Handwritten annotations in red:

- A bracket from line 161 to 162 is labeled  $\Theta(1)$ .
- A bracket from line 164 to 165 is labeled  $\Theta(1)$ .
- A bracket from line 166 to 167 is labeled  $\Theta(1)$  shallow copy.

Everything in the `removeTail()` function has a time complexity of  $\Theta(1)$ . The last line of the function invokes the copy constructor of `objPos`, which uses shallow copy:

```
27 objPos::objPos(const objPos& thisPos) // copy constructor
28 {
29     x = thisPos.x;
30     y = thisPos.y;
31     number = thisPos.number;
32     prefix = thisPos.prefix;
33     symbol = thisPos.symbol;
34
35     reward = thisPos.reward;
36 }
```

Everything in the copy constructor has a time complexity of  $\Theta(1)$  as well. Therefore  $\Theta = \mathbf{\Theta(1)}$ .

#### D. objPosArrayList::insert(): Input size n – list size (a.k.a. size of the Snake)

Find  $\Theta$ best ,  $\Theta$ worst , O, and o

```
69 void objPosArrayList::insert(const objPos &thisPos, int index)
70 {
71     if(listSize == arrayCapacity) return;
72     if(index > listSize) index = listSize;
73     if(index < 0) index = 0;
74     for(int i = listSize; i > index; i--)
75     {
76         list[i] = list[i-1];
77     }
78     list[index].setX(thisPos.getX());
79     list[index].setY(thisPos.getY());
80     list[index].setNum(thisPos.getNum());
81     list[index].setPF(thisPos.getPF());
82     list[index].setSym(thisPos.getSym());
83     //list[index].printObjPos();
84     listSize++;
85 }
86
87
88
89
90
```

$\Theta(1)$

$O(n)$

$\Theta(1)$

$\Theta$ best =  $\Theta(1)$  because if  $\text{index} == \text{listSize}$ , the for loop will not run, resulting in a constant time complexity.

$\Theta$ worst =  $\Theta(n)$  for the same reasons as  $\Theta$ worst for part B.

O =  $\Theta$ worst =  $O(n)$ .

o =  $o(n^2)$  because it grows strictly slower than O, which is at most  $O(n)$ .

#### E. Player::drawPlayer() and Player::undrawPlayer(): Input size n – size of the Snake

Find  $\Theta$

```
164 void Player::drawPlayer()
165 {
166     objPos targetPos;
167     int scanSize = myPos->getSize(); // get the list size
168     myPos->resetReadPos();
169     for(int i = 0; i < scanSize; i++)
170     {
171         targetPos = myPos->getNext();
172         boardRef[targetPos.getY()][targetPos.getX()] = targetPos.getSym();
173     }
174 }
175
176
177
178 void Player::undrawPlayer() // private helper function
179 {
180     objPos targetPos;
181     int scanSize = myPos->getSize(); // get the list size
182     myPos->resetReadPos();
183     for(int i = 0; i < scanSize; i++)
184     {
185         targetPos = myPos->getNext();
186         boardRef[targetPos.getY()][targetPos.getX()] = ' ';
187     }
188 }
189
```

default constructor

$\Theta(1)$

$\Theta(n)$

same here

Both functions work similarly. The default constructor is called, which has a time complexity of  $\Theta(1)$ , the function `getSize()` is called, which simply returns a size value and whose time complexity is also  $\Theta(1)$ , and the function `resetReadPos` is called which also simply returns a value and whose time complexity is  $\Theta(1)$ .

Then there is a for loop which has time complexity  $\Theta(n)$  as it iterates through each element in the snake's length, and performs actions of  $\Theta(1)$  complexity.

Therefore both functions have a total  $\Theta$  of  $\Theta(n)$ .

**F. ScreenDrawer::Draw():** Input size  $n$  – assume `boardX = boardY = n` when both dimensions become large. Assume `MacUILib_clearScreen()` and `MacUILib_printf()` have  $\Theta(1)$ .

Find  $\Theta$

```

16 void ScreenDrawer::Draw() const
17 {
18     // Clear the Screen
19     MacUILib_clearScreen(); ]  $\Theta(1)$ 
20
21     // redraw all items;
22     binRef->drawItem(); ]  $\Theta(1)$ 
23     playerRef->drawPlayer(); ]  $\Theta(n)$ 
24
25     // Get the Game Board 2D array
26     char** drawTarget = gmRef->getBoardRef();
27     objPos target = binRef->getItem(); ]  $\Theta(1)$ 
28
29     // Draw it on the screen
30     for(int i = 0; i < gmRef->getBoardSizeY(); i++)
31     {
32         for(int j = 0; j < gmRef->getBoardSizeX(); j++)
33         {
34             MacUILib_printf("%c", drawTarget[i][j]);
35         }
36         MacUILib_printf("\n");
37     } ]  $\Theta(n^2)$ 
38
39     // Append any required debugging message below
40     MacUILib_printf("Player Score: %d\n", playerRef->getScore());
41     MacUILib_printf("Food Reward: %d\n", target.getReward());
42     //MacUILib_printf("Object: <%d, %d>, ID=%c%d\n", target.getX(), target.getY(), target.getPF(), target.getNum());
43 } ]  $\Theta(1)$ 

```

As shown by the analysis above,  $\Theta = \Theta(n^2)$ . Every other line of code calls on a function of time complexity  $\Theta(1)$ , except for `drawPlayer()` which has a time complexity of  $\Theta(n)$  because the function contains a for loop.

### G. Player::checkSelfCollision(): Input size n – size of the Snake

Find  $\Theta_{\text{best}}$ ,  $\Theta_{\text{worst}}$ ,  $O$ , and  $o$

```
139 bool Player::checkSelfCollision() // private
140 {
141     // Make sure snake is long enough to kill itself
142     int length = myPos->getSize();
143     if(length < 4) return false;
144
145     // Then check for self collision
146     myPos->resetReadPos();
147     objPos tempPos;
148     objPos headPos = myPos->getNext();
149
150     for(int i = 1; i < length; i++)
151     {
152         tempPos = myPos->getNext();
153         if(headPos.isOverlap(&tempPos))
154         {
155             // set game end.
156             return true;
157         }
158     }
159
160     return false;
161 }
```

Handwritten annotations on the right side of the code block:

- A red bracket groups lines 142-143, labeled  $\Theta(1)$ .
- A red bracket groups lines 146-148, labeled  $\Theta(1)$ .
- A red bracket groups the for loop (lines 150-158), labeled  $O(n)$ .
- A red bracket groups the final return statement (line 160), labeled  $\Theta(1)$ .

$\Theta_{\text{best}} = \Theta(1)$ . If  $\text{length} < 4$ , the function returns false immediately and therefore has a time complexity of  $\Theta(1)$ . If  $\text{length} \geq 4$ , the for loop may detect a collision on the first cycle, which therefore also has a time complexity of  $\Theta(1)$ .

$\Theta_{\text{worst}} = \Theta(n)$ . The function checks each segment of the snake and no collision is detected, so it runs through the entire for loop and returns false at the very end.

$O = \Theta_{\text{worst}} = O(n)$ .

$o = o(n^2)$ . The time complexity of  $o$  grows strictly slower than  $O$ , which is at most  $O(n)$ .

H. `Player::movePlayer()`: Input size  $n$  – size of the Snake. Assume `MacUILib_hasChar()` and `MacUILib_getChar()` have  $\Theta(1)$ . Assume `checkCollision()` always returns false, and assume killable is always false. Find  $\Theta$  if possible. If not possible, use the most suitable Landau notation to describe its complexity. You may use the runtime estimations from previous determined function calls.

```

67 void Player::movePlayer()
68 {
69     updatePlayerFSM();
70     if(myDir == STOP) return;
71
72     undrawPlayer();
73
74     objPos currHeadPos = myPos->getHead();
75     int inX = currHeadPos.getX();
76     int inY = currHeadPos.getY();
77
78     switch(myDir)
79     {
80     case UP:
81         if(--inY < 1)
82             inY = gmRef->getBoardSizeY() - 2;
83         break;
84
85     case DOWN:
86         if(++inY > (gmRef->getBoardSizeY() - 2))
87             inY = 1;
88         break;
89
90     case LEFT:
91         if(--inX < 1)
92             inX = gmRef->getBoardSizeX() - 2;
93         break;
94
95     case RIGHT:
96         if(++inX > (gmRef->getBoardSizeX() - 2))
97             inX = 1;
98         break;
99
100     default:
101         break;
102     }
103
104     currHeadPos.setX(inX);
105     currHeadPos.setY(inY); // TARGET
106
107     myPos->insertHead(currHeadPos); // insert new head
108
109     if(!checkCollision())
110         myPos->removeTail();
111
112     if(killable)
113         if(checkSelfCollision())
114             gmRef->setGameLost();

```

Handwritten annotations in red:

- $\Theta(1)$  next to line 70.
- $\Theta(n)$  next to line 72.
- $\Theta(1)$  next to lines 74-76.
- $\Theta(1)$  next to the switch statement (lines 78-102).
- $\Theta(1)$  next to line 104.
- $\Theta(n)$  next to line 107.
- $\Theta(1)$  next to line 109.
- $\Theta(n)$  and  $\Omega(n)$  next to line 110.
- $\Theta(1)$  next to line 112.
- $\Theta(1)$  next to line 113.
- $\Theta(1)$  next to line 114.

No, it is not possible to find  $\Theta$  because on line 70, if `myDir == STOP`, the function returns immediately. Therefore the best case is  $\Omega(1)$ .

If `myDir != STOP`, the time complexity is  $O(n)$  due to the functions noted in the image.

## I. ItemBin::generateItem(): Input size $n$ – size of the Snake

Find  $\Theta$  if possible. If not possible, use the most suitable Landau notation to describe its complexity.

```
53 void ItemBin::generateItem()
54 {
55     // Step 1: Get Player Ref from GameMech for Player pos
56     Player** pList = gmRef->getPlayerListRef();
57     objPosList *playerPos = pList[0]->getPlayerPos();
58
59     //int bitVec[gmRef->getBoardSizeX()][gmRef->getBoardSizeY()];
60
61     // to prevent stack overflow
62     int xsize = gmRef->getBoardSizeX();
63     int ysize = gmRef->getBoardSizeY();
64     int** bitVec = new int*[xsize];
65     for(int i = 0; i < xsize; i++)
66     {
67         bitVec[i] = new int[ysize];
68         for(int j = 0; j < ysize; j++)
69             bitVec[i][j] = 0;
70     }
71
72     int playerLength = playerPos->getSize();
73
74     objPos target;
75     playerPos->resetReadPos();
76
77     for(int i = 0; i < playerLength; i++)
78     {
79         target = playerPos->getNext();
80         bitVec[target.getX()][target.getY()] = 1;
81     }
82
83     int randCandidateX = 0;
84     int randCandidateY = 0;
85     int randCandidate = 0;
86
87     // Step 2: Generate another food object
88     // Coordinate Generation
89     // x [2, BoardX-3]
90     // y [2, BoardY-3]
91
92     do
93     {
94         randCandidateX = rand() % (gmRef->getBoardSizeX() - 4);
95         randCandidateY = rand() % (gmRef->getBoardSizeY() - 4);
96         while(bitVec[randCandidateX][randCandidateY] != 0);
97     } while(1);
98
99     undrawItem();
100
101     myItem->setX(randCandidateX);
102     myItem->setY(randCandidateY);
103
104     // Prefix Generation
105     // PF [a-z, A-Z]
106     randCandidate = rand() % 26 + 'A'; // 26 alfabets
107     if(rand() % 2) randCandidate += 32; // randomly lowercase
108     myItem->setPF((char)randCandidate);
109
110     // Number Generation
111     // Number [00, 99]
112     myItem->setNum(rand() % 100);
113
114     drawItem();
115
116     for(int i = 0; i < xsize; i++)
117         delete[] bitVec[i];
118     delete[] bitVec;
119 }
```

Handwritten annotations in red:

- Line 57:  $\Theta(1)$
- Lines 62-70:  $O(n^2)$ , assuming  $x/y\text{size} = n$
- Line 72:  $\Theta(1)$
- Lines 77-81:  $O(n)$
- Lines 83-85:  $\Theta(1)$
- Lines 92-97:  $O(\infty)$
- Lines 101-117:  $\Theta(1)$
- Lines 116-118:  $O(n)$

No, it is not possible to find  $\Theta$ . Due to the do while loop on line 94, the worst case time complexity is technically  $O(\infty)$  (or undefined), where it would continuously generate random positions and check the condition without ever finding a valid spot.

The best case  $\Omega$  is  $\Omega(n^2)$  due to the nested for loop on line 65, given the do while loop runs less than  $n^2$  times.

All the other code here is irrelevant for time complexity but their analysis is in the image above.