

State Prices

Wang Wei Mun

Lee Kong Chian School of Business
Singapore Management University

October 16, 2023

Economic Environment

- Financial market consists of n risky assets with random returns
- Financial market has $k \geq 2$ “states of nature”, where each state corresponds to unique set of outcomes for asset returns
- Let $\pi_s > 0$ be probability for state s , where $\sum_{s=1}^k \pi_s = 1$
- Let X_{si} be payoff (or liquidation value) for one share of i 'th asset in state s , and let \mathbf{X} be $k \times n$ matrix that shows payoffs for one share of each asset, in each possible state of nature:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{k1} & \cdots & X_{kn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Complete Market

- Financial market is **complete** if $n \geq k$ and \mathbf{X} has k linearly independent columns and rows $\implies \mathbf{X}$ has rank k
- If $n > k$, then can form k portfolios with linearly independent payoffs \implies assume that $n = k$, so that \mathbf{X} is invertible
- Let $\mathbf{Y} = [Y_1, \dots, Y_k]'$ be any $k \times 1$ vector of desired payoffs in each possible state of nature
- Let $\mathbf{N} = [N_1, \dots, N_k]'$ be $k \times 1$ vector of required shares in each asset, in order to create portfolio that delivers \mathbf{Y} :

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{N} \implies \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$$

- Hence if market is complete, then can always create appropriate portfolio to deliver any set of desired payoffs

State Prices

- Let $\mathbf{P} = [P_1, \dots, P_k]'$ be $k \times 1$ vector of initial price for one share of each asset
- Assuming no arbitrage, portfolio that delivers desired payoffs of \mathbf{Y} must have initial price of $P_Y = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$
- Let \mathbf{e}_s be **elementary security** (also known as **primitive security** or **Arrow–Debreu security**) that delivers payoff of one in state s , and zero in all other states
- Initial price of elementary security is known as **state price**, which represents present value of receiving one unit of future consumption in given state of nature:

$$p_s = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{e}_s \quad \forall \quad s = 1, \dots, k$$

Pricing Kernel

- There exists unique set of state prices in complete market
- Investors who are non-satiated will always be willing to pay for more consumption, so state prices must be strictly positive
- Assuming no arbitrage, initial price of portfolio that delivers desired payoffs of \mathbf{Y} can be expressed in terms of state prices:

$$P_Y = \sum_{s=1}^k p_s Y_s = \sum_{s=1}^k \pi_s \left(\frac{p_s}{\pi_s} \right) Y_s = \sum_{s=1}^k \pi_s M_s Y_s = E[\tilde{M} \tilde{Y}]$$

- Hence there exists unique pricing kernel in complete market, which must have value of $M_s = p_s/\pi_s > 0$ in state s

Risk-Neutral Probabilities – Part 1

- Price of riskless asset with payoff of one in every state:

$$P_f = \sum_{s=1}^k p_s = \sum_{s=1}^k \pi_s M_s = E[\tilde{M}] = \frac{1}{R_f}$$

- Define $\hat{\pi}_s = R_f p_s > 0$ for $s = 1, \dots, k$, and interpret as set of (adjusted) state probabilities since $\sum_{s=1}^k \hat{\pi}_s = 1$
- Then initial price of portfolio that delivers payoffs given by \mathbf{Y} :

$$P_Y = \sum_{s=1}^k p_s Y_s = \frac{1}{R_f} \sum_{s=1}^k \hat{\pi}_s Y_s = \frac{1}{R_f} \hat{E}[\tilde{Y}]$$

Risk-Neutral Probabilities – Part 2

- Here $\hat{E}[\cdot]$ is expectation under probability distribution of $\hat{\pi}$
- Then all portfolios have same expected return under probability distribution of $\hat{\pi}$, equal to risk-free rate:

$$R_Y = \frac{1}{P_Y} \hat{E}[\tilde{Y}] = R_f$$

- Interpret $\hat{\pi}$ as **risk-neutral probability distribution**, for which pricing kernel is non-random: $\hat{M}_s = R_f^{-1}$ for all s
- Hence expected payoffs under risk-neutral probability distribution must be discounted by risk-free rate
- Then π represents **physical probability distribution**

Risk-Neutral Probabilities – Part 3

- Notice that $\hat{\pi}$ puts more (less) weight on states where pricing kernel is larger (smaller) than average, compared to π :

$$\hat{\pi}_s = R_f p_s = R_f M_s \pi_s = \left(\frac{M_s}{E[\tilde{M}]} \right) \pi_s$$

- Hence $\hat{\pi}$ puts more weight on “bad” states (where consumption is low and marginal utility is high), and less weight on “good” states, compared to π
- Interpret $\hat{\pi}$ as risk-adjusted probability distribution, in order to eliminate risk premium and induce risk-neutral behavior

Binomial Model – Part 1

- Consider “binomial” model with two states of nature
- Risky stock has initial price of S , which can either rise to uS or drop to dS , where $u > d$
- Riskless bond has initial price of $P_f = R_f^{-1}$, where $u > R_f > d$
- Vector of initial prices and matrix of final payoffs:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} S \\ P_f \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} uS & 1 \\ dS & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Vector of state prices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_u & p_d \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{X}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 - dP_f}{u - d} & \frac{uP_f - 1}{u - d} \end{bmatrix}$$

Binomial Model – Part 2

- Vector of risk-neutral probabilities:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\pi}_u & \hat{\pi}_d \end{bmatrix} = R_f \begin{bmatrix} p_u & p_d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{R_f - d}{u - d} & \frac{u - R_f}{u - d} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Pricing formula for portfolio that delivers Y_u and Y_d :

$$P_Y = p_u Y_u + p_d Y_d = \frac{1}{R_f} (\hat{\pi}_u Y_u + \hat{\pi}_d Y_d)$$

- Binomial model is often used for option-pricing
- Not very realistic with just one time period, but becomes more realistic when extended to multiple time periods

Example: Binomial Model – Part 1

- Stock has initial price of 6 and final payoff of 10 or 5
- Riskless bond has risk-free rate of 1.05
- Vector of initial prices and matrix of final payoffs:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ \frac{1}{1.05} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Vector of state prices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_u & p_d \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & \frac{1}{1.05} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -5 & 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.248 & 0.705 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Binomial Model – Part 2

- Vector of risk-neutral probabilities:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\pi}_u & \hat{\pi}_d \end{bmatrix} = 1.05 \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.248 & 0.705 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.26 & 0.74 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Alternatively, using stock returns of $u = \frac{5}{3}$ and $d = \frac{5}{6}$, and risk-free rate of $R_f = 1.05$:

$$\hat{\pi}_u = \frac{1.05 - \frac{5}{6}}{\frac{5}{3} - \frac{5}{6}} = \frac{26}{120} \times \frac{6}{5} = 0.26$$
$$\hat{\pi}_d = \frac{\frac{5}{3} - 1.05}{\frac{5}{3} - \frac{5}{6}} = \frac{37}{60} \times \frac{6}{5} = 0.74$$