Question 1 (2 points)

Saving...

\$

Using all the given data, test the Euler equation using lagged returns R_t and R_{t-1} as instruments. What is the asymptotic GMM estimate of ρ and its z test-statistic value based on the null that ρ = 1? Find the closest estimates.

- A) 0.83, 1.97
- B) 0.98, 1.65
- C) 1.02, 0.55
- O) 1.05, 0.40

Question 2 (1 point)

Saved

Using all the given data, test the Euler equation using lagged returns R_t and R_{t-1} as instruments. What is the asymptotic GMM estimate of the relative risk aversion parameter and its standard error based on the null that the parameter = 0? Find the closest estimates.

- a) 7.3, 5.4
- b) -7.3, 5.4
- c) 2.9, 3.1
- O d) -2.9. 3.1

Question 3 (2 points)

Saved

Based on GMM estimation in Q1 and Q2, what is the J-statistic and its p-value in the test of the model?

- A) 0.0026, 0.96
- B) 0.8447, 0.36
- C) 1.9536, 0.16
- D) 2.7938, 0.09

Question 4 (2 points)

Saved

Suppose the returns R_{t+1} , R_t , R_{t-1} , etc. are autocorrelated, will the GMM method yield similar asymptotic estimates?

- A) Larger estimates
- B) Similar estimates
- C) Smaller estimates
- O D) Unable to yield estimates

Question 5 (2 points)

Saved

Suppose the moment restriction is developed as the following instead:

$$E_t \left[\rho(R_{t+1}) C_{t+1}^{\gamma} - C_t^{\gamma} \right] = 0$$

will the GMM estimation and testing yield similar results as those in Q1,2,3?

	0.	· ·	•	
A) No, because of wrong specification				
B) No, because argument may be non-stationary				
C) Yes, because it is the same specification				
O) Yes, asymptotically there is no difference				