

# Risk Analysis (QF609, AY2022-2023)

## Exam Preparation Questions

1. For (a) and (b):

$$\begin{aligned}
 PV &= \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{25,000}{(1+6\%)^{\frac{i}{2}}} + \frac{1,000,000}{(1+6\%)^{10}} = 931,839 \\
 \text{Macaulay Duration} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{25,000 \cdot \frac{i}{2}}{(1+6\%)^{\frac{i}{2}}} + \frac{1,000,000 \cdot 10}{(1+6\%)^{10}}}{931,839} = 7.9034 \\
 \text{Modified Duration} &= \frac{\text{Macaulay Duration}}{1 + \text{yield}} = \frac{7.9034}{1 + 6\%} = 7.4560 \\
 \text{Convexity} &= \frac{1}{PV} \cdot \frac{d^2 PV}{dy^2} = \frac{1}{931,839} \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{(\frac{i}{2} + 1) \cdot \frac{i}{2} \cdot 25,000}{(1+6\%)^{\frac{i}{2}+2}} + \frac{1,000,000 \cdot (10+1) \cdot 10}{(1+6\%)^{10+2}} \right] = 71.39
 \end{aligned}$$

Similary, for (c):

Yield	6%	3%	8%
PV	931,839	1,173,779	805,279
Macaulay Duration	7.9034	8.1714	7.7131
Modified Duration	7.4560	7.9334	7.1417
Convexity	71.39	78.95	66.63

Finally, for (d):

$$\Delta PV \approx PV \cdot \left[ -MD \cdot \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{convexity} \cdot (\Delta y)^2 \right]$$

Hence, the estimated impacts are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 PV(\text{yield} = 3\%) - PV(\text{yield} = 6\%) &\approx 931,839 \cdot [-7.4560 \cdot (-0.03) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 71.39 \cdot (-0.03)^2] = 238,370 \\
 PV(\text{yield} = 8\%) - PV(\text{yield} = 6\%) &\approx -125,651
 \end{aligned}$$

where the exact impacts can be calculated from results in (a)-(c) which are 241,940 and -126,560, respectively.

2. (a) *Combined Duration* =  $\frac{100}{130} \cdot 5 + \frac{30}{130} \cdot 4.5 = 4.8846$ .  
 (b) *Leverage – adjusted D – Gap* =  $4.8846 - \frac{140}{130} \cdot 6 = -1.5769$ .  
 (c) The bond notional amount to be purchased should be such that the resulted leveraged adjusted D-Gap should be zero. Let this notional amount be  $X$ . We have

$$\frac{100 \cdot 5 + 30 \cdot 4.5 + \frac{X}{1,000,000} \cdot 931,839 \cdot 7.9034}{100 + 30 + \frac{X}{1,000,000} \cdot 931,839} = \frac{140}{100 + 30 + \frac{X}{1,000,000} \cdot 931,839} \cdot 6 = 27.8355$$

3. (a) Applying linear interpolation to the CDF, the 1%-percentile of  $R$ , denoted by  $r_{1\%}$ , is given by

$$\frac{0.01 - 0.005}{r_{1\%} - (-0.2)} = \frac{0.125 - 0.005}{-0.1 - (-0.2)}$$

This gives  $r_{1\%} = -0.1958$ . As a result, the 1-day VaR is given by  $|100 \cdot -0.1958| = 19.58$ .

- (b) In this case, the VaR is equal to  $\left| 100 \cdot [1.8\% + \sqrt{0.8181\%} \cdot z_{1\%}] \right| = 19.24$  where  $z_{1\%} = -2.32635$  is the 1%-percentile of the standard normal distribution.

4.

$$Expected\ Value = 1,000,000 \cdot (0.0356\% + 0.0267\% + 0.0133\%) = 756$$

$$\begin{aligned} Variance &= (1,000,000 \ 1,000,000 \ 1,000,000) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0.00007 & 0.0001 & -0.000045 \\ 0.0001 & 0.0004 & -0.00008 \\ -0.000045 & -0.00008 & 0.000178 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1,000,000 \\ 1,000,000 \\ 1,000,000 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 598,000,000 \end{aligned}$$

$$VaR_{1d,99\%} = \left| 756 + z_{0.01} \cdot \sqrt{598,000,000} \right| = 56133$$

where  $z_{0.01} = -2.32635$  is the 1% percentile of the standard normal distribution.

5. Suppose we have the following Cholesky Decomposition:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.35 \\ 0.2 & 0.35 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & c & 0 \\ d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ b & c & 0 \\ d & e & f \end{pmatrix}^T$$

It is clear that:

- (a)  $a = 1$  as the length of each row in the factor loading matrix has to be 1.
- (b)  $b = 0.4$ , by observing the dot product between the two different rows gives the respective correlation coefficient. In this case,  $b$  effectively corresponds to the correlation between the first and second variate. In addition,  $c = \sqrt{1 - 0.4^2} = 0.9165$  as the length of each row in the factor loading matrix has to be 1.
- (c) Similarly,  $d = 0.2$ . For  $e$  and  $f$ , they can be obtained from the following two conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot d + c \cdot e &= 0.35 \\ d^2 + e^2 + f^2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

This gives  $e = 0.2946$  and  $f = 0.9345$ .

6. (a) This is given by  $A = E \cdot \sqrt{\Lambda}$ , where  $E$  is the matrix with its columns being the eigenvectors and  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix with its diagonal entries being the corresponding eigenvalues:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9720 & 0.2061 & 0.1002 & 0.0504 & 0.0142 \\ 0.9863 & 0.1395 & -0.0323 & -0.0744 & -0.0329 \\ 0.9905 & 0.0000 & -0.1324 & 0.0000 & 0.0376 \\ 0.9863 & -0.1395 & -0.0323 & 0.0744 & -0.0329 \\ 0.9720 & -0.2061 & 0.1002 & -0.0504 & 0.0142 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) Let's denote the rank-reduced factor loading matrix by  $\hat{A}$ , which can be obtained by

- removing the last two columns of  $A$  (or if you want to keep it as a 5-by-5 matrix, simply setting all entries of the last two columns to 0)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.9720 & 0.2061 & 0.1002 \\ 0.9863 & 0.1395 & -0.0323 \\ 0.9905 & 0.0000 & -0.1324 \\ 0.9863 & -0.1395 & -0.0323 \\ 0.9720 & -0.2061 & 0.1002 \end{pmatrix}$$

- rescale the entries in the resulted matrix in the last step - effectively dividing each row by a constant such that the diagonal entries of  $\hat{A} \cdot \hat{A}^T$  are all 1 (which make it a proper correlation matrix)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.9733 & 0.2063 & 0.1004 \\ 0.9896 & 0.1400 & -0.0324 \\ 0.9912 & 0.0000 & -0.1325 \\ 0.9896 & -0.1400 & -0.0324 \\ 0.9733 & -0.2063 & 0.1004 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c) This is simply given by the product  $\hat{A} \cdot \hat{A}^T$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.0000 & 0.9888 & 0.9514 & 0.9311 & 0.9148 \\ 0.9888 & 1.0000 & 0.9852 & 0.9608 & 0.9311 \\ 0.9514 & 0.9852 & 1.0000 & 0.9852 & 0.9514 \\ 0.9311 & 0.9608 & 0.9852 & 1.0000 & 0.9888 \\ 0.9148 & 0.9311 & 0.9514 & 0.9888 & 1.0000 \end{pmatrix}$$

7. (a) The first 3 PCs are simply  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ .

(b) Let  $x = (0.3 \ 0.2 \ 0.15 \ 0.15 \ 0.1)$ . The projected coordinates of the data point onto the first 3 PCs are respectively given by  $x \cdot e_1 = 0.4021$ ,  $x \cdot e_2 = 0.1369$ , and  $x \cdot e_3 = 0.0448$ .