### Using INCLUDE to Cover Queries



Kimberly L. Tripp

OWNER/PRESIDENT - SQLSKILLS.COM

@kimberlyltripp www.sqlskills.com/blogs/kimberly

#### Module Overview



Using INCLUDE for covering

**Best uses for INCLUDE** 



#### INCLUDE for Better Covering

Prior to 2016, index key limited to 900 bytes/16 columns

2016: CL index key limited to 900 bytes/32 columns

2016: NC index key limited to 1,700 bytes/32 columns

Leaf-level can include non-key columns, with NO limitations

You can cover EVERYTHING!

But just because you can, doesn't mean you should...



```
SELECT [e].[LastName], [e].[FirstName],
   [e].[MiddleInitial], [e].[Phone]
FROM [dbo].[Employee] AS [e]
WHERE [e].[LastName] LIKE '[S-Z]%';
```

Table has a clustered index on EmployeeID (an identity)

```
CREATE INDEX [NCIndexLNOnly]
ON [dbo].[Employee]
([LastName]);
```

Only useful for HIGHLY selective queries (which is NOT this one!)

#### Actual structure on disk:

Tree level(s): LastName, EmployeeID

Leaf-level: LastName, EmployeeID



```
SELECT [e].[LastName], [e].[FirstName],
  [e].[MiddleInitial], [e].[Phone]
FROM [dbo].[Employee] AS [e]
WHERE [e].[LastName] LIKE '[S-Z]%';
```

Table has a clustered index on EmployeeID (an identity)

```
CREATE INDEX [NCIndexCoversAll4Cols]
ON [dbo].[Employee] ([LastName],
[FirstName], [MiddleInitial], [Phone]);
```

OK, but a bit overkill...

#### Actual structure on disk:

Tree level(s): LastName, FirstName, MiddleInitial, Phone, EmployeeID

Leaf-level: LastName, FirstName, MiddleInitial, Phone, EmployeeID



```
SELECT [e].[LastName], [e].[FirstName],
  [e].[MiddleInitial], [e].[Phone]
FROM [dbo].[Employee] AS [e]
WHERE [e].[LastName] LIKE '[S-Z]%';
```

Table has a clustered index on EmployeeID (an identity)

```
CREATE INDEX [NCIndexLNinKeyInclude30therCols]
ON [dbo].[Employee] ([LastName])
INCLUDE ([FirstName], [MiddleInitial], [Phone]);
```

The science...

#### Actual structure on disk:

Tree level(s): LastName, EmployeeID

Leaf-level: LastName, EmployeeID, FirstName, MiddleInitial, Phone



```
SELECT [e].[LastName], [e].[FirstName],
   [e].[MiddleInitial], [e].[Phone]
FROM [dbo].[Employee] AS [e]
WHERE [e].[LastName] LIKE '[S-Z]%';
```

Table has a clustered index on EmployeeID (an identity)

```
CREATE INDEX [NCIndexCoveringLnFnMiIncludePhone]
ON [dbo].[Employee] ([LastName], [FirstName], [MiddleInitial])
INCLUDE ([Phone]);
```

Debatable, but this might be my choice (the <u>art</u> of indexing)

#### Actual structure on disk:

Tree level(s): LastName, FirstName, MiddleInitial, EmployeeID

Leaf-level: LastName, FirstName, MiddleInitial, EmployeeID, Phone



#### Demo



**Best uses for INCLUDE** 





#### Option 1: not an option

## Options 2, 3, and 4 have the same-sized LEAF-LEVEL

- Index sizes are similar
- For THIS query ALL of these will have the same number of reads

# Options 2, 3, and 4 have different B-tree structures

Different seek patterns are possible

So, what's the difference?





Option 2: all columns are in the tree, no benefit for this query

Option 3: too little in the tree for OTHER queries but PERFECT for this one

**QUERY TUNING** 

Option 4: more likely to happen after reviewing other existing indexes, other queries, and/or missing index DMVs

SERVER TUNING (index consolidation)



# What We Covered



Using INCLUDE for covering

**Best uses for INCLUDE** 

