



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Profile

Please fill in your prolific ID

```
$ {e://Field/PROLIFIC_PID}
```

Description

Hello! Thank you for participating in this study.

In this study, we are going to find out what is the explicit reasoning behind human judgements on what to fact check.

There will be no sensitive or personal information needed.

In order to get payment in Prolific, you have to click the submit button in the end of the questionnaire.

The survey consists of 5 tasks, each with 16 questions, and it will take roughly 10 minutes to complete.

You will be presented with a series of "*pictured claims*" – short statements accompanied by an image. Please read each claim carefully and decide whether it seems **checkworthy or not** (i.e., worth fact-checking).

What is checkworthy? Our core criterion is: if this claim is false, is it likely to have a significant negative impact on an individual or society? Please do not make decisions solely based on whether the image and text match.

Please consider the following questions when making your judgment:

- If someone believes this false claim, will anyone suffer health damage, be scammed, or face safety risks?
- Will it cause unnecessary social panic, discrimination, or antagonism?
- Will it seriously mislead public decision-making (such as voting, health choices) or undermine trust in key institutions (government, hospitals, media)?

Examples

Example of a checkworthy claim



Claim: “Drinking a cup of bleach can cure COVID-19.”

Reason: This statement poses a public health risk and clearly needs fact-checking.

✖ Example of a not-checkworthy claim



Claim: "Cats sleep for most of the day."

Reason: This is a harmless, generally known statement with little consequence even if not fully accurate.

After reviewing each claim and image, please answer the following questions based on your own intuition and judgment.

Task 1

**Back To Nature**

@backt0nature

[Follow](#)

Cutest snow floof ever :v

It's a short tailed weasel ;)



1:00 PM - 29 Mar 2018

170 Retweets 702 Likes



Claim: A photograph shows a short-tailed weasel.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkworthy
- Not checkworthy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

 Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

//

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
-  Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell
- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?

Task2



Claim: We see the backs of a young Asian girl with a green shirt and a red hat holding hands with a young Asian girl with a striped pink shirt and a pink hat by the pool.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkwerty
- Not checkwerty

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

 Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."etc.)

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
- Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell
- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?




Task3



Unexplained Things Are Out There is with Adit Putra and 3 others.

September 10 · 

In 1974 Pharaoh's mummy, Ramesses II, was sent on a flight to Paris for preservation and maintenance work. But since French law required every person, living or dead, fly with a valid passport, the Egyptian government was forced to issue a passport to Pharaoh, 3,000 years after his death.



1.5K Comments 20K Shares

Claim: A photo shows the passport issued to the mummy of Egyptian pharaoh Ramesses ii.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkworthy
- Not checkworthy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

 Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
- Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana

- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell
- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?

Task4

United States gas shortage: Circa 2021

• MISC



Claim: A photograph of plastic bags filled with gasoline in the trunk of a car was taken during a gas shortage in the southeast portion of the united states in may 2021.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkwotry
- Not checkwotry

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.

- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
- Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell

- No suspicion


Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other


Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?



Task5



Claim: Mexican citizens are required to have government-issued photo id cards in order to vote in federal elections.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkworthy
- Not checkworthy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

 Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

//

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
-  Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell
- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?

Task6



Claim: A cricket batsman plays at the ball while the wicketkeeper behind him gestures as if making a catch.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment

- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkworyt
- Not checkworthy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

 Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
- Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell
- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake

- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?

Task7



Claim: As of 30 march 2014, facebook will require that all account holders provide a photocopy of their personal id.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment

- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkworyt
- Not checkworthy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

 Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
- Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell
- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake

- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?

Task8



Claim: A photograph shows a "unicorn puppy" with a tail growing from his forehead.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable (Able to verify authenticity)

- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkwerty
- Not checkworthy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.

- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
- Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell

- No suspicion


Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?



Task9



Claim: The girl in the pink top is riding a skateboard along a white wall.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkworthy
- Not checkworthy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

 Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

//

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.
- The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).
-  Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

- Fully support
- Partially support
- Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

- Apple
- Banana
- Orange
- Grape


Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

- Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it
- Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it
- No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell
- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?

Task10



Claim: The fbi warned officials of armed protests planned at all 50 state capitols and the u.s. capitol for the days preceding the inauguration of joe biden.

Q1 How understandable is this claim?

- Clearly understandable
- Vague or ambiguous

Q2 Is the claim factual or opinion-based?

- Factual or verifiable(Able to verify authenticity)
- Opinion or judgement(Unable to verify authenticity)

Q3 Is the claim novel or familiar?

- Novel(First time or rarely seen)
- Familiar(I often see similar statements)

Q4 Which domain best fits this claim?

- Health & Medicine
- Politics & Policy
- Science & Environment
- Economics & Finance
- Social Events
- Entertainment & Gossip
- Others

Q5 Is the claim checkworthy?

- Checkwortsy
- Not checkwortsy

Q6 Why is the claim checkworthy

Potential Harms: If this is false, what are the most direct negative consequences? (e.g., health risks, economic losses, incitement of social hatred, misleading public policy, etc.)

Suspicious Evidence: Which specific elements in the statement (e.g., logical contradictions, questionable data, forged images, unreliable sources) make you feel it needs to be verified?

Avoid using vague expressions such as "seems like fake news" or "feels unreliable."

Q7 If the claim is false, what consequences might occur?

Q6 Why is the claim not checkworthy?

- This is just a personal opinion or feeling; there's no right or wrong answer.
- This is a joke or prank, clearly not true.
- The statement is irrelevant; no one would care if it were false.

The statement cannot be verified (e.g., predicting the future).

Others (Please explain briefly)

Q8 Does the image support the claim?

Fully support

Partially support

Misleading

Q9 In the list of these fruits, please select the third option below.

Apple

Banana

Orange

Grape

Q10 Would your judgement change without the image?

Yes, without the image I would be less willing to fact-check it

Yes, without the image I would be more willing to fact-check it


No, my judgment is mainly based on the text; the image does not affect it

No, my judgment is mainly based on the image; the text does not affect it

Q11 Does the text use emotional or manipulative language?

- Fear
- Anger
- Excitement/Surprise
- Sadness/Sympathy
- Humor/Sarcasm
- Religion/Faith
- No, the language is neutral and objective

Q12 Did these emotions influence your judgement?



Q13 Do you suspect this picture was generated by AI or maliciously tampered with?

- I suspect
- Hard to tell

- No suspicion

Q14 If you suspected AI generation or manipulation, what clues led you to this judgment?

- Distorted or unnatural hands, feet, or other body parts
- Stiff facial expressions or unnatural facial features
- Text incorrectly blended with objects (e.g., floating text)
- Illogical lighting, texture, or perspective
- The image feels “too perfect” or unrealistic
- I have seen evidence elsewhere that this image is fake
- Other

Q15 Did seeing similar claims before affect your judgment?

- More likely to believe
- More likely to doubt
- No effect

Q16 How might personal feeling influence interpretation of this statement?

Submit

Do you have any feedback about this questionnaire? if you have, please let us know about your feeling.

You have finished all tasks!

- I confirm that I have completed all tasks and click Submit

Powered by Qualtrics