

Electron-electron scattering implementation in DMD code

I. DENSITY MATRIX DYNAMICS WITH ELECTRON-ELECTRON SCATTERING

A. General master equaiton

According to Eq. (11.38), (11.51), (3.23) and (1.11) in thesis of R. Rosati, for electron-electron scattering (I also have derived it in another write-up Lindblad_ElecElec_derivation.pdf)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \rho_{12}}{\partial t}|_{ee} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{345} [(I - \rho)_{13} P_{32,45} \rho_{45} - (I - \rho_{45}) P_{45,13}^* \rho_{32}] + H.C., \\
 P_{12,34} &= 2 \sum_{56,78} (I - \rho)_{65} \mathcal{A}_{15,37} \mathcal{A}_{26,48}^* \rho_{78}, \\
 \mathcal{A}_{1234} &= \frac{1}{2} (A_{1234} - A_{1243}) \\
 A_{1234} &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}} \left(g_{1234} \delta_{1234}^{1/2} + g_{2143} \delta_{2143}^{1/2} \right), \\
 g_{1234} &= \langle 1(r) | \langle 2(r') | V(r - r') | 3(r) \rangle | 4(r') \rangle \\
 &= V(q_{13}, \omega_{13}) \delta_{k_1+k_2, k_3+k_4} \langle 1|3 \rangle \langle 2|4 \rangle,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\delta_{1234} = \delta^G(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)$ is Gaussian function, $q_{13} = k_1 - k_3$ and $\omega_{13} = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3$. Moreover, since $V(q, \omega) = V(-q, -\omega)$, we have

$$A_{1234} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}} g_{1234} \delta_{1234}^{1/2}.$$

B. Direct and exchange parts

Expand the first term of Eq. 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{345} (I - \rho)_{13} P_{32,45} \rho_{45} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{3456789} (I - \rho)_{13} (I - \rho)_{76} (A_{3648} - A_{3684}) (A_{2759} - A_{2795})^* \rho_{89} \rho_{45} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{3456789} (I - \rho)_{13} (I - \rho)_{76} \left[\begin{array}{c} A_{3648} A_{2759}^* + A_{3684} A_{2795}^* \\ -A_{3648} A_{2795}^* - A_{3684} A_{2759}^* \end{array} \right] \rho_{89} \rho_{45}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By exchange the indices 8 and 4, and 9 and 5, we can easily find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{4589} A_{3648} A_{2759}^* \rho_{89} \rho_{45} &= \sum_{4589} A_{3684} A_{2795}^* \rho_{89} \rho_{45}, \\
 \sum_{4589} A_{3648} A_{2795}^* \rho_{89} \rho_{45} &= \sum_{4589} A_{3684} A_{2759}^* \rho_{89} \rho_{45}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can define the direct and exchange parts of P as

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{1234}^d &= \sum_{56,78} (I - \rho)_{65} A_{1537} A_{2648}^* \rho_{78}, \\
 P_{1234}^{ex} &= \sum_{56,78} (I - \rho)_{65} A_{1537} A_{2684}^* \rho_{78}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the direct part is consistent with Eq. 99 of PRB 72, 125347 (2005) in semiclassical limit.

For two degenerate bands, energy will depends only on k point, so that (in static limit for screening)

$$A_{1234} = V(q_{13}) \delta_{k_1+k_2, k_3+k_4} \langle 1|3 \rangle \langle 2|4 \rangle \delta^{1/2} (\epsilon_{k_1} + \epsilon_{k_2} - \epsilon_{k_3} - \epsilon_{k_4}).$$

Suppose ρ is k -diagonal,

$$P_{12,34}^d = \left\{ V(q_{13})^2 \langle 1|3 \rangle \langle 2|4 \rangle \delta_{k_1+k_5, k_3+k_7} (\epsilon_{k_1} + \epsilon_{k_5} - \epsilon_{k_3} - \epsilon_{k_7}) \times \text{Tr} \left[\langle 8\delta_{k_8, k_7} | 6\delta_{k_6, k_5} \rangle (I - \rho)_{65}^{k_5} \langle 5|7 \rangle \rho_{n_7 n_8}^{k_7} \right] \right\}.$$

Therefore, our formula reduces to Eq. 9 in PRB 79, 125206 (2009) if neglecting the exchange contribution.

C. k -diagonal approximation

Approximate ρ is k -diagonal,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{n_1 n_2}^{k_1}}{\partial t} |_{ee} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum \left[\begin{array}{c} (I - \rho)_{n_1 n_3}^{k_1} P_{n_1 n_3, n_4 n_5}^{k_1, k_4} \rho_{n_4 n_5}^{k_4} \\ - (I - \rho)_{n_3 n_4}^{k_4} P_{n_3 n_4, n_1 n_5}^{k_4, k_1, *} \rho_{n_5 n_2}^{k_1} \end{array} \right] + H.C., \\ P_{n_1 n_2, n_3 n_4}^{k_1, k_3} &= \sum_{k_5 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8} (I - \rho)_{n_6 n_5}^{k_5} \mathcal{A}_{n_1 n_5 n_3 n_7}^{k_1 k_5 k_3 k_7} \mathcal{A}_{n_2 n_6 n_4 n_8}^{k_1 k_5 k_3 k_7, *} \rho_{n_7 n_8}^{k_7}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}_{1234} = \mathcal{A}_{3412}^*$, we can define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n_1 n_2, n_3 n_4}^{k_1, k_3} &= P_{n_1 n_2, n_3 n_4}^{k_3, k_1, *} \\ &= \sum_{k_5 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8} (I - \rho)_{n_5 n_6}^{k_5} \mathcal{A}_{n_1 n_5 n_3 n_7}^{k_3 k_5 k_1 k_7, *} \mathcal{A}_{n_2 n_6 n_4 n_8}^{k_3 k_5 k_1 k_7} \rho_{n_8 n_7}^{k_7} \\ &= \sum_{k_5 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8} (I - \rho)_{n_5 n_6}^{k_5} \mathcal{A}_{n_3 n_7 n_1 n_5}^{k_1 k_7 k_3 k_5} \mathcal{A}_{n_4 n_8 n_2 n_6}^{k_1 k_7 k_3 k_5, *} \rho_{n_8 n_7}^{k_7} \\ &= 5 \longleftrightarrow 7, 6 \longleftrightarrow 8 \\ &= \sum_{k_7 n_7 n_8 n_5 n_6} \rho_{n_6 n_5}^{k_5} \mathcal{A}_{n_3 n_5 n_1 n_7}^{k_1 k_5 k_3 k_7} \mathcal{A}_{n_4 n_6 n_2 n_8}^{k_1 k_5 k_3 k_7, *} (I - \rho)_{n_7 n_8}^{k_7} \\ &= \sum_{k_5 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8} \rho_{n_6 n_5}^{k_5} \mathcal{A}_{n_3 n_5 n_1 n_7}^{k_1 k_5 k_3 k_7} \mathcal{A}_{n_4 n_6 n_2 n_8}^{k_1 k_5 k_3 k_7, *} (I - \rho)_{n_7 n_8}^{k_7}. \end{aligned}$$

So $Q_{n_1 n_2, n_3 n_4}^{k_1, k_3} = P_{n_1 n_2, n_3 n_4}^{k_3, k_1, *} = P_{n_3 n_4, n_1 n_2}^{k_1, k_3}$ with ρ being replaced by $1 - \rho$. The advantage of this definition is that we will only need matrices with $ik_1 \leq ik_3$. Two additional things I want to mention are (i) in my implementation, I reordered the indices of matrices A or \mathcal{A} : $A_{1234} \rightarrow A_{1324}$ for doing matrix multiplications easier; (ii) matrix A is calculated without prefactor $\sqrt{2\pi/\hbar}$, I put this prefactor outside for $d\rho/dt$ instead.

II. SEMICLASSICAL LIMIT

A. Master equation

If $\rho = f$, we have

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} |_{ee} = \sum_{2 \neq 1} [(I - f_1) P_{11,22} f_2 - (I - f_2) P_{22,11} f_1],$$

using the facts that $P_{11,22}$ is real and “2 = 1” term is zero. $P_{11,22} = 2 \sum_{34} (1 - f_3) |\mathcal{A}_{1324}|^2 f_4$. We can also separate P to two - the direct and exchange parts:

$$P_{1122}^d = \sum_{34} (1 - f_3) |A_{1324}|^2 f_4,$$

$$P_{1122}^{ex} = \sum_{34} (1 - f_3) A_{1324} A_{1342}^* f_4.$$

Note that for **two degenerate bands without spin-orbital coupling**, for $P_{1122}^{ex} = \sum_{34} (1 - f_3) A_{1324} A_{1342}^* f_4$, it is required that states 1, 2, 3 and 4 must have the same spin, while for $P_{1122}^d = \sum_{34} (1 - f_3) |A_{1324}|^2 f_4$, it is required that 1 and 2 have the same spin and 3 and 4 have the same spin. Therefore, if sum does not run on spin, the prefactor of P^d will be double of the prefactor of P^{ex} .

B. ImΣ

Suppose the occupation of state “1” is perturbed from its equilibrium value by δf_1 , i.e., $f_1 = f_1^{eq} + \delta f_1$, insert this into the above equation and linearize it,

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} = -\frac{2\pi}{N_k \hbar} \sum_{2 \neq 1} [P_{11,22} f_2 + P_{22,11} (1 - f_2)] \delta f_1.$$

Notice that $\delta P_{11,22} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_3 (1 - f_3) |\mathcal{A}_{1321}|^2 \delta f_1 - \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_3 |\mathcal{A}_{1123}|^2 f_3 \delta f_1$. Considering momentum conservation, k_3 will be fixed by k_1 and k_2 , so that there will be only one k point contribute to $\delta P_{11,22}$. Therefore, $\delta P_{11,22}$ is actually zero if N_k goes to infinite. Therefore, $\delta P_{11,22}$ terms do not contribute to $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t}$.

Define carrier relaxation time τ_1 by $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta f_1}{\tau_1}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\tau_1} = \frac{2\pi}{N_k \hbar} \sum_{2 \neq 1} [P_{11,22} f_2 + P_{22,11} (1 - f_2)]. \quad (2)$$

In my implementation, I have define $Q_{2211}^{k_1 k_2} = P_{2211}^{k_2 k_1}$ with f being replaced by $1 - f$. Considering that the contribution of k pair (k_2, k_1) with $ik_2 > ik_1$ can be expressed by the quantities of (k_1, k_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau_2} &= \frac{2\pi}{N_k \hbar} \sum_{ik_1 < ik_2} \left[P_{2211}^{k_2 k_1} f_1 + Q_{1122}^{k_2 k_1} (1 - f_1) \right] \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{N_k \hbar} \sum_{ik_1 < ik_2} \left[Q_{2211}^{k_1 k_2} f_1 + P_{1122}^{k_1 k_2} (1 - f_1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

C. Connection to ImΣ from finite temperature GW

Neglecting the exchange part, insert P^d into Eq. 2,

$$\frac{1}{\tau_1} = \frac{2\pi}{N_k \hbar} \sum_{2 \neq 1, 34} |A_{1324}|^2 [f_2 f_4 (1 - f_3) + (1 - f_2) f_3 (1 - f_4)].$$

Considering the energy conservation $\omega = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_3$, we have the relations $n(\omega) = \frac{f_1(1-f_2)}{f_2-f_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2 f_4 (1 - f_3) &= f_2 n(\omega) (f_3 - f_4) \\
&= f_2 \frac{f_1 (1 - f_2)}{f_2 - f_1} (f_3 - f_4) \\
&= (n_1 + 1 - f_2) f_1 (f_3 - f_4), \\
(1 - f_2) f_3 (1 - f_4) &= (1 - f_2) n(-\omega) (f_4 - f_3) \\
&= (1 - f_2) \frac{f_2 (1 - f_1)}{f_1 - f_2} (f_4 - f_3) \\
&= (n_1 + 1 - f_2) (1 - f_1) (f_3 - f_4).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\tau_1} = \frac{2\pi}{N_k \hbar} \sum_{2 \neq 1, 3, 4} |A_{1324}|^2 (n_1 + 1 - f_2) (f_3 - f_4).$$

The above formula is equivalent to $\text{Im}\Sigma$ given in Eq. 8 in PRB 66, 085116 (2002) based on finite-temperature GW at least in the limit $G = G' = 0$.