

Literature Review: Ultrasound, Microbubbles, and the Blood-Spinal Cord Barrier

Rui Xu

MBP Rotation 1

1 Introduction

This project aims to use ultrasound and microbubbles to open the human Blood-Spinal Cord Barrier (BSCB). This work will build on previous work that used ultrasound and microbubbles to open the Blood Brain Barrier (BBB) in humans, as well as previous BSCB work in animal models .

2 The Blood Spinal Cord Barrier

In this section, I discuss the morphology and clinical implications of the BSCB.

Notes from Bartanusz [1].

The BBB and the BSCB share the same morphological building blocks, but the BSCB is generally considered to be a separate entity

The BSCB is constructed from nonfenestrated endothelial cells linked with tight junctions, and their accessory structures (basement membrane, pericytes, astrocytic end feet processes)

Differences between BBB and BSCB include glycogen deposits in the microvessels of the spinal cord [2] (function not known), BSCB permeability is different (more permeable? Yes for cytokines).

Increase in BSCB permeability possibly due to decreased expression of tight junction-associated proteins.

Dynamic Contrast-enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging is good for evaluating BSCB permeability.

BSCB and disease - most well studied is BSCB after traumatic spinal cord injury. Can result in Syringomyelia.

ALS - increased endothelial cell membrane permeability rather than disintegration of tight junctions?

Spinal Cord Ischemia - increases vascular permeability, but effects in BSCB not well known.

BSCB and disease - BSCB dysfunction can be primary cause (radiation-induced myelopathy, ALS, MS, NMO), or a secondary mechanism

Methods for drug delivery: Most obvious is local, intrathecal route, but highly invasive. Drug delivery systems include BDNF delivery, nanoparticle carriers with attached biomolecules, and ... ultrasound and microbubbles! (not mentioned in article).

3 The vertebral column

Bone anatomy and physiology review, from Seeley's Anatomy and physiology [3]. Bone matrix is generally 35% organic and 65% inorganic material, by weight. Organic material is primarily collagen and proteoglycans.

The inorganic material consists primarily of hydroxyapatite ($\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$). Bone tissue is classified as either woven or lamellar. In woven bone, the collagen fibers are randomly oriented, and later reformed into lamellar bone. Lamellar bone is mature bone organized into thin lamellae approximately $3\text{--}7\mu\text{m}$ thick. Bone can also be classified by the volume fraction of the bone. Spongy bone consists of interconnecting rods and plates called trabeculae, and the space between the trabeculae is filled with blood vessels and bone marrow in living organisms. Compact bone has more bone matrix than spongy bone.

The spine (vertebral column) is composed of irregular bones called vertebrae. Each vertebrae has a body, a centrum, and a vertebral (neural) arch. The body is composed of cancellous (trabecular) bone, and covered in cortical (compact) bone. There are thirty three vertebrae in the vertebral column - seven cervical, twelve thoracic, five lumbar, and five fused sacral vertebrae. Each vertebrae has a spinous process on the posterior side, and transverse processes $\pm\pi/4$ radians from the spinous process.

The body is disk shaped, and usually the largest part with superior and inferior flat surfaces. The body forms the anterior wall of the vertebral foramen, and vertebral disks are located between the bodies of two vertebrae.

Vertebral foramen: The hole in each vertebra through which the spinal cord passes. The vertebral canal is composed of adjacent vertebral foramen.

Vertebral arch: Forms the lateral and posterior walls of the vertebral foramen, with spinous and articular and transverse processes as well as multiple articular surfaces.

Pedicle: The foot of the vertebral arch, with one on each side of the arch.

Lamina: Posterior part of the arch, which forms the posterior wall of the vertebral foramen.

Transverse process: Process that projects laterally from the junction of the pedicle and lamina, and is a site for muscle attachment. Spinous process: Process that projects posteriorly from the junction of the two lamina,

and is also a site for muscle attachment, and also strengthens the vertebral column and allows for movement.

Articular processes: Each vertebrae has inferior and superior processes with facets where vertebrae articulate with one another. Also allows for movement. Intervertebral notches: Form intervertebral foramina between two adjacent vertebrae for spinal nerves to exit the vertebral foramen.

4 Ultrasound and the Spine

In this section I'll discuss current research with Ultrasound and the Spine.

Notes from Gene delivery to the spinal cord using MRI-g-FUS [4]. Ultrasound can be scattered by heterogeneous bone structures such as the vertebrae, adding complexity to FUS application in the spinal cord for BSCB

permeabilization. MRIgFUS used to mediate gene delivery administered intravenously, BSCB confirmation of permeabilization,

Notes from Longitudinal and shear mode ultrasound propagation in human skull bone [5].

Notes from Three-dimensional simulation of ultrasound propagation through trabecular bone structures measured by synchrotron microtomography [6]. Simulation of ultrasound propagation through trabecular bone samples measured using synchrotron microtomography, which gives high resolution mapping of the bone structures. Used in house simulation software called 'simsonic', a finite-difference time domain algorithm often used in geophysics. This algorithm is used because it is good for both solids and fluids [7]

Cancellous (trabecular) bone is anisotropic, heterogeneous, and has two phases - interconnected plates/rods that are immersed in marrow.

Properties include: attenuation varies linearly with frequency (three citations available here), sound velocity and frequency-attenuation relationship are highly correlated with bone volume fraction (porosity), velocity dispersion generally negative but occasionally positive, 2 compressional waves can propagate along a direction of a trabecular network (what does this mean?)

Bone samples from femurs, defatted, image pixels $10\mu\text{m}$ turned into a binary map for the simulation. Segmentation threshold " between two well separated pixel distributions corresponding to bone and air pores. Tissue properties for simulation: $\rho = 1.85\text{g/cm}^3$, compressional bulk velocity = 4000m/s , shear wave velocity = 1500m/s .

Notes from 'Feasibility of Transient Image-guided Blood-Spinal Cord Barrier Disruption' [8]. Rats were exposed to focused 1.08MHz ultrasound, power 0.5 and 1.0W , used ultrasound contrast agent 'Definity'. The Definity contrast agent is composed of lipid-coated microspheres filled with octafluoropropane gas, have a mean diameter of 1.1 to $3.3\mu\text{m}$, and are stable enough to pass through pulmonary capillaries. The microbubbles have lower acoustic impedance than blood.

Transducer: 1.08MHz , 7cm diameter, f-number = focal length / diameter = 0.8 , so focal length was $0.8 \times 7 = 5.6\text{cm}$. Bolus of ultrasound microbubbles (what is this, exactly?) administered before sonication. Measurement of BSCB opening is through signal enhancement, and demonstrated in paper as 'relative enhancement' over the baseline value, and were determined to be $29.1 \pm 21\%$ and $57.5 \pm 34\%$ at 0.5 and 1.0 watts respectively. Article concludes discussion section with 'The propagation of the acoustic wave is certainly affected by the presence of this bone (bony vertebrae), and so strategies to compensate for this effect are required.

5 Interstitial Devices

Notes from Interstitial ultrasound ablation of vertebral and paraspinal tumours: Parametric and patient-specific simulations [9].

This work investigates the theoretical and patient specific feasibility of treating tumours using thermal ultrasound. They used 3D patient specific models, and simulated various applicator configurations, frequencies (high frequency 3 and 7MHz, not trying to penetrate/traverse bony structures), placement trajectories (? not sure what this is), and gaseous insulation.

Bone has a high acoustic absorption coefficient (α_0) relative to soft tissue, generally between one and two orders of magnitude higher [10]. This causes heating at the interface of the bone with the soft tissue, and prevents ultrasound penetration into the bony structure [11]. \downarrow read paper in more detail

The interstitial US applicators were modelled as a linear array of 1-4 tubular ultrasound transducers, with 150 to 360 degree angular sectors(need to look up what this means exactly?)

The main goal of this work was the evaluate thermal energy deposition, so they implement the Pennes' Bioheat transfer equation for heterogeneous tissues [12]:

$$\rho c \frac{dT}{dt} = \nabla \cdot k \nabla - \omega c_b (T - T_b) + Q \quad (1)$$

where ρ is density (kg/m³), c is specific heat capacity (J/kg/°C), T is temperature (°C), k is thermal conductivity (W/m/°C), ω is blood perfusion (kg/m³/s), c_b is the specific heat capacity of blood, T_b is the temperature of blood, and Q is heat energy deposited by the ultrasound source.

This paper also describes the method they use to calculate thermal dose, according to the method devised by [13], as well as a method for calculating Q based on radial distance from the applicator, the transmission coefficient of the tissue (read [14] as well).

Generation of patient specific done by segmentation of CT image, surface reconstruction, FEM mesh generation, Temperature map.

See table 1 for material properties. Many of them are cited from [10]. There is little mention of the computer simulation in this paper, except that it uses COSMOL and Matlab

6 Cadaver Results

7 Acoustic Properties of Vertebral Bone

Acoustic properties of the human skull [15]

Notes from ‘The dependenc of ultrasonic properties on orientation in human vertebral bone’ [16]. The authors made measurements of the speed of sound and broad-band ultrasound attenuation in cubes of human trabecular bone from lumbar vertebrae along the cranio-caudal (CC) axis, the lateral (LT) axis, and the antero-posterior (AP) axis. The authors found that ν_s was approximately 500m/s greater along the CC axis than the LT and AP axes. The authors also found that the broad-band ultrasound attenuation was approximately 23dB/MHz/cm greater for the CC axis. The authors suggest that the anomalous CC axis results are due to a transient (transient what?) travelling ahead of the main wavefront, suggesting that ultrasound propagates directly through the trabecular framework as a bar wave, allowed by the trabecular structure which is primarily oriented along the direction of ultrasound propagation. This makes sense because vertebrae are primarily loaded in the vertical direction. The frequency rage used in this study was 0.2-0.6MHz. Results for speed of sound:

	Mean	SD	Max	Min
CC	1918	305	2469	1506
AP	1761	119	2027	1599
LT	1709	114	2065	1575
CCm	2249	124	2534	2024

Table 1: Speed of sound along various axes. CCm means modified results along the cranio-caudal axis, obtained by increasing the gain of the digitizer in order to repeatably be able to observe the transient preceding the main wave

Results for broad-band ultrasound attenuation:

	Mean	SD	Max	Min
CC	15.0	20.6	79.5	1.3
AP	12.4	7.5	37.1	5.0
LT	10.5	6.9	37.6	4.1
CCm	34.0	22.4	79.9	11.9

Table 2: Broad-band ultrasound attenuation along various axes. CCm means modified results along the cranio-caudal axis, obtained by increasing the gain of the digitizer in order to repeatably be able to observe the transient preceding the main wave

Density is independent of orientation, so in order to accurately model would we need some sort of ultrasound propagation tensor for each point?

8 Simulation

Notes from ‘The finite element method for micro-scale modeling of ultrasound propagation in cancellous bone’ [17]. The finite-difference time-domain method has two disadvantages for modelling ultrasound propagation in bone. The first is staircase sampling of cancellous bone by finite difference grids, which leads to wave artefacts at the solid-fluid interface within the bone. The second disadvantage is it can’t satisfy the perfect-slip condition at the interface, a condition that occurs at a solid-fluid interface if there is no interfacial friction. Methods and frameworks for US propagation in bone include: Biot’s theory, stratified or multilayer models, scattering model, and microscale models. Micro-scale models - based on virtual geometries of trabecular networks spatially sampled and served as discrete domains for numerical simulations. The geometry is generated from μ -CT images or imitations. This method has had relatively good success.

Notes from ‘The Contribution of Shear Wave Absorption to Ultrasound Heating in Bones: Coupled Elastic and Thermal Modeling’ [18] In this paper, by the creators of k-wave, the authors investigate the heating of bone by ultrasound using a coupled elastic wave model and bioheat transfer equation. The volume rate of heat deposition by compressional waves and shear waves are calculated separately by splitting acoustic particle velocity using a ‘k-space diadic’. At normal incidence, then heating is dominated by the absorption of compressional waves. At angles of incidence greater than ten degrees, then shear wave contribution becomes significant. Used four long-bone geometries in the experiment - 1. half space 2. solid bone layer 3. hollow bone layer, containing marrow 4. anthropomorphic bone layer derived from a segmented CT scan, also containing marrow. Sonication angles were from 0° to 60° . The material properties the authors used are included in the following table. The authors calculated volume rate of heat deposition Q using the steady state particle

	Soft Tissue	Bone	Marrow	Units
density ρ_0	1090	1900	928	kg/m ³
sound speed compression c_P	1580	2820	1430	m/s
sound speed shear c_S	N/A	1500	N/A	m/s
absorption compression α_P	0.57	9	0.6	dB/(MHz ² cm)
absorption shear α_S	N/A	20	N/A	dB/(MHz ² cm)
specific heat	3400	1300	2740	J/(kg.K)
thermal conductivity	0.5	0.3	0.22	W/(m.K)

Table 3: Acoustic properties of tissues used in [18], originally from [10]

velocity amplitude \mathbf{u} , where the heat deposition due to compressional waves Q_P is given by:

$$Q_P = \alpha_P \rho_0 c_P u_P^2 \quad (2)$$

and the heat deposition due to shear waves Q_S is given by:

$$Q_P = \alpha_S \rho_0 c_S u_S^2 \quad (3)$$

where the S and P subscripts denote shear and compressional waves respectively, α denotes the absorption coefficients, ρ_0 is density, c is the speed of sound, and u^s is $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}$

References

- [1] V. Bartanusz, D. Jezova, B. Alajajian, and M. Digicaylioglu, “The blood–spinal cord barrier: morphology and clinical implications,” *Annals of neurology*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 194–206, 2011.
- [2] H. S. Sharma, “Pathophysiology of blood-spinal cord barrier in traumatic injury and repair,” *Current pharmaceutical design*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 1353–1389, 2005.
- [3] C. VanPutte, *Seeley’s anatomy & physiology*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 2016.
- [4] D. Weber-Adrian, E. Thévenot, M. O’Reilly, W. Oakden, M. Akens, N. Ellens, K. Markham-Coultes, A. Burgess, J. Finkelstein, A. Yee, *et al.*, “Gene delivery to the spinal cord using mri-guided focused ultrasound,” *Gene therapy*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 568–577, 2015.
- [5] P. White, G. Clement, and K. Hynynen, “Longitudinal and shear mode ultrasound propagation in human skull bone,” *Ultrasound in medicine & biology*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 1085–1096, 2006.
- [6] E. Bossy, F. Padilla, F. Peyrin, and P. Laugier, “Three-dimensional simulation of ultrasound propagation through trabecular bone structures measured by synchrotron microtomography,” *Physics in medicine and biology*, vol. 50, no. 23, p. 5545, 2005.
- [7] R. W. Graves, “Simulating seismic wave propagation in 3d elastic media using staggered-grid finite differences,” *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 1091–1106, 1996.
- [8] J. Wachsmuth, R. Chopra, and K. Hynynen, “Feasibility of transient image-guided blood-spinal cord barrier disruption,” in *8th International Symposium on Therapeutic Ultrasound*, vol. 1113, pp. 256–259, AIP Publishing, 2009.
- [9] S. J. Scott, V. Salgaonkar, P. Prakash, E. C. Burdette, and C. J. Diederich, “Interstitial ultrasound ablation of vertebral and paraspinal tumours: Parametric and patient-specific simulations,” *International Journal of Hyperthermia*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 228–244, 2014.
- [10] F. Duck, “Physical properties of tissue: A comprehensive reference book (academic, london),” 1990.
- [11] M. Fujii, K. Sakamoto, Y. Toda, A. Negishi, and H. Kanai, “Study of the cause of the temperature rise at the muscle-bone interface during ultrasound hyperthermia,” *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 494–504, 1999.
- [12] H. H. Pennes, “Analysis of tissue and arterial blood temperatures in the resting human forearm,” *Journal of applied physiology*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 93–122, 1948.

- [13] S. A. Sapareto and W. C. Dewey, “Thermal dose determination in cancer therapy,” *International Journal of Radiation Oncology* Biology* Physics*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 787–800, 1984.
- [14] S. J. Scott, P. Prakash, V. Salgaonkar, P. D. Jones, R. N. Cam, M. Han, V. Rieke, E. C. Burdette, and C. J. Diederich, “Interstitial ultrasound ablation of tumors within or adjacent to bone: Contributions of preferential heating at the bone surface,” in *SPIE BiOS*, pp. 85840Z–85840Z, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2013.
- [15] F. Fry and J. Barger, “Acoustical properties of the human skull,” *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 1576–1590, 1978.
- [16] P. Nicholson, M. Haddaway, and M. Davie, “The dependence of ultrasonic properties on orientation in human vertebral bone,” *Physics in medicine and biology*, vol. 39, no. 6, p. 1013, 1994.
- [17] B. Vafaeian, M. El-Rich, T. El-Bialy, and S. Adeeb, “The finite element method for micro-scale modeling of ultrasound propagation in cancellous bone,” *Ultrasonics*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 1663–1676, 2014.
- [18] B. E. Treeby and T. Saratoon, “The contribution of shear wave absorption to ultrasound heating in bones: Coupled elastic and thermal modeling,” in *Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), 2015 IEEE International*, pp. 1–4, IEEE, 2015.