

**MATH 226, HOMEWORK 3, DUE NOV. 13, 2015**

For problems that include programming, please include the code and all outputted figures and tables. Please label these clearly and refer to them appropriately in your answers to the questions.

- (1) Show that Jacobi method converges for all 2 by 2 symmetric positive definite matrices.

Proof: Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$  Therefore:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & c \end{pmatrix}$$

;

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} & b \\ b & \end{pmatrix}$$

We know that if we want the method to be convergent,  $\rho(I_n - BA) < 1$ , which is  $\rho(I_n - D^{-1}A) < 1$ . Therefore, since:

$$I_n - D^{-1}A = D^{-1}(D - A) = -D^{-1}R$$

We have  $\rho(I_n - D^{-1}A) = \rho(-D^{-1}R) = \rho(D^{-1}R)$ . Hence, the method is convergent if  $\rho(D^{-1}R) < 1$ .

Since A is a symmetric positive definite matrix,  $ac - b^2 > 0$ . Thus  $0 < \frac{b^2}{ac} < 1$ . Now Let's compute  $D^{-1}R$ :

$$D^{-1}R = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & \\ & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} & b \\ b & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{b}{a} \\ \frac{b}{c} & \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we try to calculate the eigenvalues of  $D^{-1}R$  where  $|\lambda I_2 - D^{-1}R| = 0$  Thus  $\lambda^2 - \frac{b^2}{ac} < 1$ , which means the absolute value of every eigenvalue is less than 1. Hence,  $\rho(D^{-1}R) < 1$ , which means Jacobi method converges.

- (2) (a) Implement (all the programs should be functions that take matrix A, right hand side b, initial guess  $x^0$ , tolerance and maximal number of iterations as input arguments and output the approximate solution and number of iterations):
- (i) Richardson method
  - (ii) Jacobi method
  - (iii) Gauss-Seidel method
  - (iv) Successive Overrelaxation (SOR) method

(b) Consider the following  $n \times n$  system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $n = 2^l$ ,  $l = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ . Use the programs above to solve it until  $\|b - Ax^k\|_2 / \|b\|_2 < 10^{-6}$ . Make a table to report the number of iterations of each iterative method and discuss the results. For Richardson method and SOR, please try different values of  $\omega$  and discuss how the results depends on the choice of  $\omega$ .

**Table 2-1 Relationship between numbers of iteration and method**

Method	<i>Richardson</i>	<i>Jacobi</i>	<i>Gauss – seidel</i>	<i>SOR</i>
Times( $i = 4$ )	644	644	909	668
Times( $i = 5$ )	2217	2217	3090	2216
Times( $i = 6$ )	7741	7741	10666	7576
Times( $i = 7$ )	27033	27033	36780	26091
Times( $i = 8$ )	93463	93463	125163	89216

Where the  $w_{Richardson} = \frac{2}{\lambda_{min}(A) + \lambda_{max}(A)}$ ,  $w_{SOR} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho(I - D^{-1}A)^2}}$ .

From the table we can conclude that with the optional value of  $w_{Richardson}$  given in class, the method of Richardson iteration seem to be as efficient as Jacobi method. When we check their B matrixes, they are the same with this particular A matrix given. The Gauss-Seidel method seems to converge slowly in this example, and SOR method seems to converge a little more quickly than Jacobi and Richardson.

**Table 2-2 Relationship between numbers of iteration and w for Richardson**

w	$wR_1$	$wR_2$	$wR_3$
Times( $i = 4$ )	1161	1247	644
Times( $i = 5$ )	3971	4281	2217
Times( $i = 6$ )	13699	14888	7741
Times( $i = 7$ )	47050	51727	27033
Times( $i = 8$ )	159092	177648	93463

Where the  $wR_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{max}(A)}$ ,  $wR_2 = \frac{2}{\lambda_{min}(A) + 0.5\lambda_{max}(A)}$ ,  $wR_3 = \frac{2}{\lambda_{min}(A) + \lambda_{max}(A)}$

We do not spot a better convergence in the table than the given  $w = \frac{2}{\lambda_{min}(A) + \lambda_{max}(A)}$

in class. Also if we choose bigger w( $w = \frac{3}{\lambda_{max}(A)}$ ), the method may not converge.

**Table 2-3 Relationship between numbers of iteration and w for SOR**

w	$wSOR_1$	$wSOR_2$	$wSOR_3$	3
Times( $i = 4$ )	668	909	846	535
Times( $i = 5$ )	2216	3090	2974	1828
Times( $i = 6$ )	7576	10666	10460	6352
Times( $i = 7$ )	26091	36780	36422	22099
Times( $i = 8$ )	89216	125163	124563	76119

Where the  $wSOR_1 = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho(I - D^{-1}A)^2}}$ ,  $wSOR_2 = 1$ ,  $wR_3 = 1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho(I - D^{-1}A)^2}$

Still, We do not spot a better convergence in the table than the given  $w = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho(I - D^{-1}A)^2}}$  in class while obeying the Rule in PPT. However if we implement  $w = 3$  we will need less iteration but this may not convergent in other matrix. Also, we can see that when  $w = 1$ , SOR method is equals to Gauss-Seidel Method.

(3) Verify the Shern-Morrison-Woodbury formula. If  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{w}^T$ , then

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

Proof: First we construct the formula:

$$(I_n + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T) \left( I_n - \frac{\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T}{I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \right)$$

Then we verify this formulary equals to  $\mathbf{I}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T) \left( I_n - \frac{\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T}{I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \right) \\ &= I_n + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T - \frac{\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T}{I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} - \frac{(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T)^2}{I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \\ &= I_n + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T - \frac{\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} (I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{w}^T}{I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \\ &= I_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(I_n + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T)^{-1} = \left( I_n - \frac{\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T}{I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \right)$$

Note that  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} = \mathbf{A} \cdot (I_n + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T)$ , therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} &= (I_n + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} \\ &= \left( I_n - \frac{\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T}{I_n + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(4) Consider

$$\begin{aligned}x_1^2 + x_2^2 &= 1 \\(x_1 - 1)^2 + x_2^2 &= 1\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Implement Newton's Method and find all solutions.
- (b) Implement Broyden I Method and use  $B_0 = I$  to find all solutions.
- (c) Implement Broyden II Method and use  $H_0 = I$  to find all solutions.

**Table 4-1 Result and Iteration times when  $x_0 = (1, 1)^T$**

<i>Method</i>	<i>Newton</i>	<i>BroydenI</i>	<i>BroydenII</i>
$x_1$	0.5000000000000000	0.5000000000000000	0.5000000000000000
$x_2$	0.866025403784439	0.866025403784439	0.866025403784439
<i>times</i>	5	12	12

**Table 4-2 Result and Iteration times when  $x_0 = (1, -1)^T$**

<i>Method</i>	<i>Newton</i>	<i>BroydenI</i>	<i>BroydenII</i>
$x_1$	0.5000000000000000	0.5000000000000000	0.5000000000000000
$x_2$	-0.866025403784439	-0.866025403784439	-0.866025403784439
<i>times</i>	5	9	9

Also, when use  $x_0 = (0, 0)^T$  or  $x_0 = (1, 0)^T$ , there will be errors.