- 1. The performance drop versus an ideal pipeline with CPI of 1.0 for the two questions.
 - a. For Q1, CPI(new) = 1.6642, %performance drop = (1.6642 – 1.0) / 1.0 = 66.42%
 - b. For Q2, CPI(new) = 1.3903 %performance drop = (1.3903 – 1.0) / 1.0 = 39.03%
- 2. Explain how your microbenchmark collected statistics validate the correctness of your code for the first problem statement.

The microbenchmark is run 1000000 times in a loop and the content in a loop is translated to the following assembly:

```
$L2
       lw
              $2,16($fp)
              $3,15
       lui
              $3,$3,16959 // 2-cycle-stall
       ori
       slt
              $2,$3,$2
                           // 2-cycle-stall
              $2,$0,$L5 // 2-cycle-stall
       beq
$L5: addi
              $1, $0, 1
       addi
              $2, $0, 2
              $3, $0, 3
       addi
                            // 1-cycle-stall
       add
              $3, $1, $2
       lw
              $4, 2($3)
                            // 2-cycle-stall
              $5, $4, $3
                            // 2-cycle-stall
       add
              $2, $1, $3
       add
              $2, $5, $4
                            // 1-cycle-stall
       add
              $3, $1, $4
       add
               $3,16($fp)
       lw
              $2,$3,1
                            // 2-cycle-stall
       addu
       move $3,$2
                            // 2-cycle-stall
              $3,16($fp) // 2-cycle-stall
       SW
              $L2
```

From the assembly code above, we can calculate **the expected CPI**:

%#2-cycle-stall = **8/19**, %#1-cycle-stall=**2/19**

```
CPI = 1 + (\#2\text{-cycle-stall} * 2 / \#insn + \#1\text{-cycle-stall} / \#insn) = 1 + (2*8/19 + 2/19) = 1.9474
```

We compiled the program using O0 optimization and we ran "sim-safe mbq1" to get the following statistics:

```
sim_num_insn 19006312 # total number of instructions executed
sim_num_refs 4003722 # total number of loads and stores executed
sim_elapsed_time 3 # total simulation time in seconds
sim_inst_rate 6335437.3333 # simulation speed (in insts/sec)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_ql 10000933 # total number of RAW hazards (q1)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_sl_ql 2000079 # total number of 1-cycle-stall RAW hazards (q1)
sim_num_RAW_hazard_sl_ql 8000854 # total number of 2-cycle-stall RAW hazards (q2)
CPI from RAW hazard q1 1.9471 # CPI from RAW hazard (q1)
```

The percentage of 1-cycle stall instruction = 2000079/19006312 = 2/19

The percentage of 2-cycle stall instruction = 8000854/19006312 = 8/19

The CPI reported by sim-safe for part1 is **1.9471**

All the statistics match with what we expect.