

Tutorial 4
Xiaojian Xu
02/22/2019

ESE415 OPTIMIZATION

Guideline



HW3 RECITATION



HW2 RECAP



LECTURE RECAP

HW3 Recitation(P2)

Consider the problem of minimizing $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ by using the Newton's method with $\gamma = 1$.

a) $f(x) = \log(e^x + e^{-x})$, where \log is the natural logarithm.

- (i) What is the minimizer x^* of f ? Is it unique?
- (ii) Does the Newton's method converge to x^* for $x^0 = 1$?
- (iii) Does the Newton's method converge to x^* for $x^0 = 1.1$?
- (iv) Find an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x^0 \in I$, the Newton's method converges.

b) $g(x) = \sqrt[3]{x^4}$.

- (i) What is the minimizer x^* of g ? Is it unique?
- (ii) Does the Newton's method converge to x^* for $x^0 = 1$?
- (iii) Does the Newton's method converge to x^* for $x^0 = 0.1$?
- (iv) Find an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x^0 \in I$, the Newton's method converges.

Solution (P2)

a) We compute the first two derivatives of the function

$$f(x) = \log(e^x + e^{-x}) \Rightarrow f'(x) = \frac{e^{2x} - 1}{e^{2x} + 1} \Rightarrow f''(x) = \frac{4e^{2x}}{(e^{2x} + 1)^2} > 0.$$

The function is strictly convex. By solving $f'(x) = 0$, we get the unique solution $x^* = 0$.

The Newton's step for $\gamma = 1$ is given by

$$x^+ = x - [f''(x)]^{-1}(f'(x)) = x - \frac{1}{4} (e^{2x} - e^{-2x}) = x - \sinh(x) \cosh(x).$$

Consider

$$\delta(x) \triangleq \frac{|x^+ - x^*|}{|x - x^*|} = \left| \frac{x^+}{x} \right| = \left| 1 - \frac{\sinh(x) \cosh(x)}{x} \right|.$$

Note that for any $\delta(x) \in (0, 1)$, the method will bring the next iterate closer to the solution. On the other hand, for any $\delta(x) > 1$, the algorithm increases the distance of the iterate away from x^* . We have $\delta(1) \approx 0.8134$ and $\delta(1.1) \approx 1.0260$. Hence, in the first case the method converges and in the second it diverges. In particular, for any $|x| < 1.0886$, we have $\delta(x) < 1$.

b) Observe that $g(0) = 0$ and $g(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$. Hence $x^* = 0$ is the unique global minimizer. We compute the first two derivatives

$$g(x) = x^{4/3} \Rightarrow g'(x) = \frac{4}{3}x^{1/3} \Rightarrow g''(x) = \frac{4}{9}x^{-2/3}.$$

The Newton's step for $\gamma = 1$ is given by

$$x^+ = x - [f''(x)]^{-1}(f'(x)) = x - \left[\frac{9}{4}x^{2/3} \right] \cdot \frac{4}{3}x^{1/3} = -2x.$$

We consider

$$\delta(x) = \left| \frac{x^+}{x} \right| = 2,$$

which means that

$$|x^t| = 2^t |x^0|.$$

Hence, no matter the initial value $x^0 \neq 0$, the Newton's sequence diverges.

HW3 Recitation(P2)

Problem 2

Consider functions $f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) = (L/2)\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - f(\mathbf{x})$, as well as the following inequality

$$(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y}))^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \geq \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (*)$$

Show the following

(a) ∇f is Lipschitz continuous $\Rightarrow g$ is convex

(b) g is convex $\Rightarrow (*)$

(c) $(*) \Rightarrow \nabla f$ is Lipschitz continuous

(d) What can we conclude from (a)-(c) above?

Hints (P2)

- (a) Use the relationship between $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ to substitute $f(x)$ as $g(x)$
- (b) Define two convex functions and reuse the inequality in Problem 4 of Assignment #1**

Problem 4

Consider $f \in \mathcal{C}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its global minimizer $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Show that

$$\frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \leq (f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) \leq \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

How does this result relate to the *first-order necessary condition* in Lecture 3, Theorem 3?

- (c) Cauchy-Schwartz

Hints (P2.(b))

(b) Define two convex functions and reuse the inequality in Problem 4 of Assignment #1

(b) We define two convex functions for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_x(z) = f(z) - \nabla f(x)^T z \\ \varphi_y(z) = f(z) - \nabla f(y)^T z \end{cases}$$

Since f is convex, we know that the corresponding "g" function for $\varphi_x(z)$ and $\varphi_y(z)$ are convex:

$$\begin{cases} r_x(z) = \frac{L}{2} \|z\|^2 - \varphi_x(z) \\ r_y(z) = \frac{L}{2} \|z\|^2 - \varphi_y(z) \end{cases}, \text{ note that } \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \varphi_x(z) = 0 \Rightarrow z^* = x \\ \varphi_y(z) = 0 \Rightarrow z^* = y \end{cases}$$

Anal in (a) we proved that:

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) + \frac{L}{2} \|y-x\|^2 \Leftrightarrow g(y) \geq g(x) + \nabla g(x)^T (y-x)$$

which implies that the convexity of "g" function leads to the quadratic upper bound of the "f" function.

Therefore now we are able to use the conclusion in Assignment #4:

$$\frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \leq f(x) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{L}{2} \|x - x^*\|^2$$

and get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \varphi_x(y) - \varphi_x(x) &= f(y) - f(x) - \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla \varphi_x(y)\|^2 = \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\|^2 \quad \textcircled{1} \\ \varphi_y(x) - \varphi_y(y) &= f(x) - f(y) - \nabla f(y)^T (x-y) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla \varphi_y(x)\|^2 = \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2 \quad \textcircled{2} \end{aligned}$$

Combine \textcircled{1} and \textcircled{2} will lead us to the conclusion.

Lecture Recap

Properties of convex functions

1. The linear combination of convex functions are also convex functions.

Theorem 6. If f_1 and f_2 are $\mathcal{F}^1(C)$ and $a, b \geq 0$, then $f = af_1 + bf_2 \in \mathcal{F}^1(C)$.

2. The composite function of a convex function and a increasing convex function are still convex function.

Theorem 7. If $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a convex (respectively, strictly convex) function on a convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and if $\varphi(t)$ is an increasing (respectively, strictly increasing) convex function defined on the range of f in \mathbb{R} , then the composite function $g(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(f(\mathbf{x}))$ is convex (respectively, strictly convex) on C .

3. The gradient of the convex function is monotonically increasing:

$$f(x) \geq f(y) + \nabla f(y)^T(x - y)$$

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T(y - x)$$

Therefore we get $(\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x))^T(y - x) \geq 0$;

HW2 Recap(P4)

Problem 4

- (a) Comment on the convexity of

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \log(x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdots x_n), \quad \mathbf{A} \succ 0,$$

over the set $C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0\}$. The function $\log(\cdot)$ is the natural logarithm.

- (b) Comment on the convexity of

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_1 \exp(\mathbf{w}_1^\top \mathbf{x}) + \beta_2 \exp(\mathbf{w}_2^\top \mathbf{x}), \quad \beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 0$$

over \mathbb{R}^n .

- (c) Consider a convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and the function

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in C} \{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|\},$$

which measures the distance to the *farthest point* in C . Comment on the convexity of h over \mathbb{R}^n .

Solution (P4)

(c) Consider $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\theta\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{x}_2) &= \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in C} \{\|\theta\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}\|\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in C} \{\theta\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{y}\| + (1 - \theta)\|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}\|\} \\ &\leq \theta \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in C} \{\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{y}\|\} + (1 - \theta) \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in C} \{\|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}\|\} \\ &= \theta h(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \theta)h(\mathbf{x}_2), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that the norm is convex and that supremum gets only bigger if it is taken separately. As a side note, this relates to the *pointwise maximum property* of convex functions.

Thanks