Dynamic sampling pointnet notes

xyz

Feb 2018

Contents

| 1 | Dee | p 3D Learning Notes 1 |
|---|------|--|
| | 1.1 | potential solutions for over fitting |
| | 1.2 | Important improvements |
| | 1.3 | Theory |
| | | 1.3.1 bidxmap |
| | | 1.3.2 group sampling configuration |
| | | 1.3.3 Sparse voxel 3DCNN |
| | | 1.3.4 Data Augmentation |
| | 1.4 | batch size |
| | | 1.4.1 bs=27 vs bs=81 |
| | 1.5 | feed elements |
| | 1.6 | model |
| | 1.7 | integration: matterport3d |
| | 1.8 | multi scales & mat 1083 |
| | 1.9 | multi scales & mat 21826 |
| | 1.10 | multi scales & scannet 12887 |
| | 1.11 | integration: scannet |
| | 1.12 | Semantic segmentation expamples |
| | | 1.12.1 good: 1083, train, 0.946 |
| | | 1.12.2 bad: 18737,eval 0.071 |
| | 1.13 | point++ |
| | | 1.13.1 scannet seg |
| | 1.14 | whole room global block & multi scales & scan 305 20 |
| | 1.15 | Sparse voxel net |
| | | 1.15.1 90000 |
| | | 1.15.2 30000 |
| | 1.16 | Charles Point++, fast distance sampling 24 |
| | | 1.16.1 MODELNET40 |
| | 1.17 | MODELNET40, My point++ |
| | | 1.17.1 3m |
| | | 1.17.2 4m |
| | | 1.17.3 5m |

1 Deep 3D Learning Notes

1.1 potential solutions for over fitting

- $\bullet\,$ data augmentation: rotation, different size scale
- data regulation: group norm
- dropout
- $\bullet\,$ combine Shape Net data

- more powerful network to learn more systematic information:
 - 1. use large global block size
 - 2. dynamic sampling
- smaller net

1.2 Important improvements

- Generate bxmh5 online. So the randomly missing part in each epoch is different. This maybe solve the info missing problem for sparse voxel 3d cnn, especially considering that block merging cannot be applied for voxel cnn. However, on line sampling can only solve missing problom of training, test missing still need some tricks to perform block merging.
- Check this: my usage of tf.gather_nd should cost a lot of memory, maybe too much!

1.3 Theory

1.3.1 bidxmap



1.3.2 group sampling configuration

$$steps = [0.1, 0.3, 0.9, 2.7] + [-6.3]$$

 $strides = [0.1, 0.2, 0.6, 1.8] + [-3.6]$
 $voxel\ size = [, 3, 4, 4, 3]$

principles:

(1) Alignment between different scales:

$$steps[i] = steps[i-1] + strides[i-1] * (k-1) (k = voxel \ size)$$

(2) Alignment between voxels on one scale:

$$strides[i]\%steps[i-1] == 0$$

Examples:

$$0.3 = 0.1 + 0.1 * 2 \Rightarrow voxel \; size = 3$$

$$0.2 = 0.1 * 2$$

$$0.9 = 0.3 + 0.2 * 3 \Rightarrow voxel \; size = 4$$

$$0.6 = 0.3 * 2$$

$$6.3 = 2.7 + 1.8 * 2$$
$$3.6 = 1.8 * 2$$

1.3.3 Sparse voxel 3DCNN

1.3.4 Data Augmentation

- (1.1) Rotate corrdinate reference: Rotate both point and voxel box Performed by rotating points after sampling and grouping. This should only be applied to point position (cascade 0). What if also to features (upper cascades).
- (1.2) Rotae point only, or rotate voxel box only.
 - a) It can be performed by rotating points before sampling and grouping.
 - b) If rotate angle is integral times of pi/2, it can be performed by rotating point indices inside the voxel.

Rotate voxel can be applied to all cascades.

- (2.1) Rotate the global block by the same angle
- (2.2) Rotate each voxel by seperate angle in each scale.

Since the features are calculated independently in each voxel, it should be fine to apply different rotatio angle for each voxel. It doesn't matter that the rotation center is voxel center or global block center. It alos doesn't matter that it rotates refference or only rotates voxel.

Sparse voxel 3D CNN



Two main obstacles for performing 3D convolution on point cloud are: (1) there are too many vacant points, (2) the position of points are not aligned. The key idea of sparse voxel is to perform 3DCONV on cascades from the second. Because the positions are actually almost aligned. At the same time, the vacant rate within a small block is acceptably large. Above all, it may be possible to do apply 3D-CONV within a small block.

Centres of blocks in cascades other than first one are actually aligned to the grid. So it is possible to perform 3d convolution directly. However, the average position of points inside these blocks are not aligned. Thus it is also maybe beneficial to utilise a transform net to align them.

On the other hand, there are many vacant points in the block. I am wondering if it is beneficial to set the features of vacant points by a T-net from around existing points.

Purpose of T-Net: fix number + align + till

There are some interesting problems for Transform net:

- Only depend on position or feature.
- Should be resolution invariant.
- If it should be constant for all channels.
- If it should be constant for all local aim blocks.

Reasons that we do not need the T-Net:

- 3d-conv can till features of the vacant points.
- If the base-points are not strictly aligned, add the position to feature map. Or get a special feature of positions within the block and then add of the main feature map.

Transform net:

$$(b, n, g_m, 3)$$

$$(b, n, g_m, c_1)$$

$$(b, n, g_m, c_2)$$

$$/$$
 (b, n, g_m, g_i) $/$

1.4 batch size

1.4.1 bs=27 vs bs=81

batch size: 9,27,81

data: xyz-color_1norm

model: 1AG

sampling & grouping: stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_2048_0d5_1_fmn1-160_32-160_0d1_step_0d1_st

 $32_12\text{-}0d2_0d6\text{-}0d2_0d6$

Figure 1: bs=9



Figure 2: bs=27



1.5 feed elements

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm epoch~num} = 100 \\ {\rm stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_2048_0d5_1_fmn1-160_32-32_12-0d2_0d6-0d2_0d6} \end{array}$

Figure 3: bs=81



| model | batch size | data elements | acc | loss |
|-------|------------|------------------|-------|-------|
| 1AG | 9 | xyz color | 0.890 | 0.356 |
| 1AG | 27 | xyz color | 0.920 | 0.240 |
| 3AG | 27 | xyz color | 0.912 | 0.273 |
| 2A | 27 | xyz color | 0.908 | 0.294 |
| 2AG | 27 | xyz color | 0.902 | 0.293 |
| 1A | 27 | xyz color | 0.883 | 0.351 |
| 1AG | 81 | xyz color | 0.978 | 0.072 |
| 1AG | 9 | xyz | 0.861 | 0.427 |
| 1AG | 27 | xyz | 0.907 | 0.257 |
| 1AG | 81 | xyz | 0.975 | 0.078 |
| 1A | 27 | xyzmid color | 0.889 | 0.357 |
| 3AG | 27 | xyzmid color | 0.933 | 0.193 |
| 2A | 27 | xyzmid color | 0.939 | 0.177 |
| 2AG | 27 | xyzmid color | 0.929 | 0.208 |
| 3AG | 27 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.924 | 0.230 |
| 2A | 27 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.898 | 0.317 |
| 2AG | 27 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.908 | 0.280 |
| 1A | 27 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.910 | 0.281 |
| 1AG | 27 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.944 | 0.163 |
| 1AG | 81 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.976 | 0.078 |
| 2A | 81 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.942 | 0.173 |
| 3AG | 81 | xyz xyzmid color | 0.949 | 0.147 |

- 1. large batch size is better
- 2. 1AG(0.92) > 3AG(0.912) > 2A(0.908) > 2AG(0.902) > 1A(883)

1AG is much better than 1A

1AG is a bit better than 3AG???

- 3. xyz-color is only a bit better than xyz
- 4. xyzmid-color is much better than xyz-color
- $5.\,$ xyzmid-color is normally much better than xyz-xyzmid-color ???

1.6 model

batch size: 50

data: xyz_midnorm_block-color_1norm

 $epoch_num = 600$

sampling & grouping: stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_12800_1d6_2_fmn3-600_64_24-60_16_12-0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_1d2

| model | acc | loss |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 3A | 0.909 | 0.248 |
| 3AG | 0.913 | 0.231 |
| 4AG | 0.912 | 0.232 |

batch size: 32

data: xyz_midnorm_block-color_1norm

sampling & grouping: stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_12800_1d6_2_fmn6-2048_256_64-32_32_16-0d2_0d6_1d2-0d1_0d3_0d6

matterport3d

feed_data_elements:['xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm']

feed_label_elements:['label_category', 'label_instance']

train data shape: [362 12800 6] test data shape: [384 12800 6]

 $\max \text{ epoch} = 500$

| model | acc | loss |
|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 1AG | 0.944/0.431 | 0.161/4.633 |
| 4AG | 0.835/0.401 | 0.520/3.644 |

1.7 integration: matterport3d

| $stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_12800_1d6_2_fmn3-512_64_24-48_16_12-0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_$ | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | $17D_1LX_1pX_29h_2az$ | | | | | | |
| model | batch size batch num shuffle | lr ds | data elements | epoch-acc mean-std train/eval | | | |

| 1aG | 30/60 | 0.005 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 250-0.981 |
|----------------|---------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1DSaG | 30/60 | 0.001-40 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | | 300-0.914-0.775 |
| 1DSaG | 30/60 | 0.001-40 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 300-0.914-0.775 |
| 1DSaG kp0.5 | 30/60 | 0.001-80 300-3e-4 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 300-0.942-0.842 |
| 1DSaG kp0.2 | 30/60 | 0.001-80 300-3e-4 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 300-0.928-0.797 |
| 1DSaG kp0.5 | 30/60 | 0.005-80 300-1.7e-3 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 300-0.970-0.916 |
| 1DSaG kp0.2 | 30/60 | 0.005-80 300-1.7e-3 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 300-0.966-0.924 |
| 1DSaG kp0.8 | 30/60 | 0.005-80 300-1.7e-3 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 300-0.976-0.933 500-0.984-0.954 |
| 1aG | 30/1083 | 0.003 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 200-0.947 |
| 1aG | 30/1083 | 0.01 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm' | 200-0.783 500-0.791 |
| 1aG | 30/1083 | 0.003/30 300-0.00012 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm' | 200-0.903 300-0.921 |
| 1bG | 25/1083 | 0.001-30 100-3e-4 300-4e-5 | 'xyz_midnorm_block' | 100-0.854 200-0.918 300-0.936 |
| 1bG | 25/1083 | 0.001-30 100-3e-4 300-4e-5 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 100-0.914 200-0.957 300-0.966 |
| 1bG | 25/1083 | 0.02 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm' | 200-0.655 300-0.718 |
| 1bG | 25/1083 | 0.02 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 200-0.772 300-0.823 |
| 1bG | 25/1083 | 0.001 | 'xyz' | 200-0.772 90-0.553-0.210 |

| 4bG | 25/1083 | 0.001-30 100-3e-4 200-1e-4 300-4e-5 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 100-0.752 200-0.816 300-0.832 |
|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 1DSaG | 30/1083 | 0.002-80 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 200-0.930-0.830/0.450 460-0.952-0.881/0.471 |
| 1aG | 30/19755 | 0.001-30 50-7e-4 100-3e-4 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm','nxnynz' | 50-0.752/0.580 100-0.843/0.574 (NoShuf) 102-0.806/0.570 (Shufle) |
| 1bG | 25/19755 | 0.001-30 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm','nxnynz' | 38-0.719/0.587 80-0.823/0.583 (NoShuf) 81-0.782/0.587 (Shufle) |
| 1aG | 30/19755 | 0.02 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm' | 56-0.562 |
| 1aG | 30/19755 | 0.02 127-0.00483 | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 87-0.616 127-0.686 |
| 1bG | 25/18737 | 0.001 N | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 24-0.682/0.509 70-0.858/0.509 |
| 1bG | 25/18737 | 0.001 Y | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 24-0.738/0.573 70-0.876/0.563 90-0.897 /0.561 |
| 4bG | 25/18737 | 0.001 Y | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'nxnynz' | 24-0.576/0.545 |
| 4bG | 25/18737 | 0.001 Y | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 24-0.594/0.569 |
| 1DSaG | 30/18737 | 0.002-80 Y | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 20-0.688-0.394/0.428-0.224 36-0.742/0.395 |
| 1DSaG | 30/18737 | 0.007-80 Y | 'xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz' | 20-0.725-0.453/0.435-0.206 38-0.783/0.396 |

- Conclusion:
 1: nxnynz helps a lot
 2: 1bG is much deeper than 1aG, why worse than 1aG
 3: learning rate is important, cannot be too large

1.8 multi scales & mat 1083

nh5: stride_0d1_step_0d1_pl_nh5-1d6_2/17D_1LX_1pX_29h_2az bxmh5: $0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_1d2-3A1$ model bs/bn lrelements loss epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval decay weight indrop4bG_111 20/1083 2-40 xyz_midnorm_blEck-110-0.898-0.763 color_1norm-160-0.931-0.827 nxnynz 300-0.967-0.915 4bG_444 15/1083 3-40 xyz_midnorm_blEck-60-0.729-0.614 color_1norm- $100 \hbox{-} 0.857 \hbox{-} 0.721$ nxnynz $160 \hbox{-} 0.920 \hbox{-} 0.834$ 260-0.952-0.890 300-0.958-0.913 4bG_444 15/1083 2-40 xyz_midnorm_blEck-60-0.778-0.608 color_1norm-100-0.878-0.758 nxnynz 160-0.930-0.838 260-0.957-0.901 300-0.964-0.912 4bG_144 18/1083 2-40 xyz_midnorm_blEck-60-0.786-0.637 color_1norm-100-0.876-0.767 nxnynz $160 \hbox{-} 0.926 \hbox{-} 0.820$ 260-0.959-0.885 300-0.962-0.906 20/1083 4bG_114 2-40 xyz_midnorm_blEck-60-0.772-0.611 color_1norm-100-0.874-0.764 nxnynz 160-0.926-0.851 260-0.958-0.893 /par 300-0.963-0.904 3aG_444 45/1083 2-40 xyz_midnorm_blEck-60-0.893-0.737 color_1norm-100-0.908-0.786 /par 160-0.934-0.833 nxnynz 260-0.950-0.868 /par 300-0.952-0.8822aG_144 30/1083 2-40 xyz_midnorm_blEck-60-0.890-0.754 color_1norm-100-0.922-0.820 /par 160-0.942-0.858: nxnynz 260-0.957-0.897 /par 300-0.960-0.911

1.9 multi scales & mat 21826

nh5: stride_0d1_step_0d1_pl_nh5-1d6_2/

 $0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_1d2-3A1\\ eval:\ 17D_1LX_1pX_29h_2az$

| model | bs/bn | lr- | elements | loss | epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval | |
|---------|---------|-------|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | decay | | weight | | |
| | | | | in drop | | |
| 4bG_114 | 20/1080 | 1-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 40-0.784-0.545/0.579-0.451 | |
| | | | color | N | 80-0.883-0.699/0.584-0.439 | |
| | | | nxnynz | | 140-0.925-0.795/0.575-0.429 | |
| 4bG_111 | 20/1080 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | E | 40-0.737-0.489/0.587-0.412 | |
| | | | color | N | 80-0.836-0.614/0.582-0.411 | |
| | | | nxnynz | | 95-0.867/0.588 | |
| 4bG_144 | 20/1200 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 40-0.761-0.543/0.601-0.416 | |
| | | | color | N | 80-0.864-0.693/0.602-0.426 | |
| | | | nxnynz | | 95-0.888/0.597 | |
| | | | | | | |

Conclusion:

⁽¹⁾ Nein 114 is better than 111

1.10 multi scales & scannet 12887

nh5: stride_0d1_step_0d1_pl_nh5-1d6_2/

 $0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_1d2-3A1$

eval: test

| C vai. ocse | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|-------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| model | bs/bn | lr- | elements | loss | epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval |
| | | decay | | weight | |
| | | | | in | |
| | | | | drop | |
| 2aG_144 | 30/420 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 40-0.833-0.546/0.686-0.89 |
| | | | | N | 100-0.926-0.727/0.683-0.326 |
| 3aG_144 | 48/260 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 40-0.841-0.530/0.668-0.346 |
| | | | | N | 100-0.924-0.709/0.673-0.327 |
| | | | | | 200-0.949-0.782/0.673-0.332 |
| | | | | | 300 - 0.955 - 0.802 / 0.671 - 0.330 |
| 4bG_111 | 22-580 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 60-0.738-0.434/0.706-0.344 |
| | | | | N | 100-0.796-0.506/0.699-0.315 |
| | | | | | 180-0.863-0.589/0.695-0.308 |
| 4bG_111 | 22-580 | 7-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 60-0.705-0.378/0.684-0.362 |
| | | | | N | |
| 4bG_144 | 18-700 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 40-0.714-0.470/0.6910.433 |
| | | | | N | 100-0.794-0.481/0.682-0.393 |
| | | | | | 160-0.849-0.582/0.676-0.362 |
| 4aG_1a4 | 55-220 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | CN | 40-0.775-0.482/0.654-0.304 |
| | | | | N | 100-0.877-0.637/0.661-0.298 |
| | | | | | 160-0.901-0.690/0.660-0.311 |
| | | | | | 220-0.908-0.707/0.655-0.334 |
| 4aG_1a4 | 55-220 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 40-0.819-0.527/0.684-0.333 |
| | | | | N | 100-0.923-0.706/0.681-0.304 |

Conclusion:

- (1) 3aG is much better than 4bG. Potential reasons:(a) 4bG is too wide and deep, so that needs more time to train. (b) The batch size of 4bG is too small
- (2) nein 144 seems is not better than 111
- (3)Learning rate 0.002 is better than 0.007
- (4)Loss weight CN does not help

1.11 integration: scannet

| $stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_12800_1d6_2_fmn3-256_48_16-56_8_8-0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_0d2_0d6_0d2_0d6_0d2_0d6_0d2_0d6_0d2_0d6_0d2_0d6_0d2_0d2_0d6_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2_0d2$ | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| scannet train | | | | | | | | |
| model | loss: E,N,C input drop (No) | batch size batch num shuffle | lr ds | data elements | epoch-point ac-class ac train/eval | | | |
| 1bG | Е | 25/12887 test Y | 0.001 40 | xyzmid | 23-0.732-0.326/0.664-0.260 25-0.746-0.340/0.669-0.273 | | | |
| 1bG | N | 25/12887 Y | 0.001 40 | xyzmid | 25-0.733-0.390/0.666-0.252 | | | |
| 1bG | С | 25/12887 Y | 0.001 40 | xyzmid | 25-0.703-0.356/0.655-0.252 | | | |
| 1bG | CN | 25/12887 Y | 0.001 40 | xyzmid | 25-0.681-0.366/0.611-0.237 | | | |
| 1DSaG | E idp9 | 30/12887 Y | 0.003 80 | xyzmid | 40-0.738-0.376/0.513-0.228 90-0.832/0.496 | | | |
| 1bG | Е | 25/13091 train_300 Y | 0.002 80 | xyzmid | 60-0.765-0.389/0.700-0.252 | | | |
| 1bG | Е | 25/13091 Y | 0.003 80 | xyzmid | 10-0.646/0.689 60-0.753-0.349/0.691-0.234 100-0.833-0.480/0.672-0.261 | | | |
| 1bG | CN | 25/13091 Y | 0.002 80 | xyzmid | 60-0.738-0.409/0.670-0.237 | | | |
| 1bG | E idp9 | 25/13091 Y | 0.003 80 | xyzmid | 10-0.641/0.585 16-0.646/0.633 | | | |
| 1DSaG | Е | 30/13091 Y | 0.003 80 | xyzmid | 40-0.794-0.456/0.420-0.154 100-0.872-0.602/0.417-0.153 | | | |
| Conclusion: | | | | | | | | |
| 4bG | CN | 25/2998- 3521 Y | 0.001 40 | xyzmid | 142-0.726-0.445/0.625-0.242 | | | |
| 4bG | Е | 25/2998- 3521 Y | 0.001 40 | xyzmid | 145-0.792-0.506/0.656-0.257 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

1.12 Semantic segmentation expamples

1.12.1 good: 1083, train, 0.946

```
log: log-model_1bG-gsbb_3B1-bs25-lr1-ds_30-xyz_midnorm_block-color_1norm-nxnynz-12800-mat_1083
    model: 1bG
    sampling & grouping:
    stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_12800_1d6_2_fmn3-512_64_24-48_16_12-0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_1d2
    batch size: 25
    learning rate: 0.001000
    decay_epoch_step: 30
    matterport3d
    feed_data_elements:['xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz']
    feed_label_elements:['label_category', 'label_instance']
    train data shape: [ 1083 12800 9]
```



 $Figure~4:~17DRP5sb8fy_1_2_a946$



Figure 5: $17DRP5sb8fy_0_25_a946$

1.12.2 bad: 18737,eval 0.071

model: 1bG

sampling & grouping: stride_0d1_step_0d1_bmap_nh5_12800_1d6_2_fmn3-512_64_24-48_16_12-0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_1d2

batch size: 25

learning rate: 0.001000 decay_epoch_step: 50

epoch 0 train IsShuffleIdx: True

epoch 0 train IsShuffleIdx: True matterport3d feed_data_elements:['xyz_midnorm_block', 'color_1norm', 'nxnynz'] feed_label_elements:['label_category', 'label_instance'] train data shape: [18737 12800 9]



 $Figure \ 6: \ qoi_r1Q_r47_rPc_rqf_2_3_a0d071 \ (raw,gt,pred,err,crt)$

1.13 point++

1.13.1 scannet seg

| | each room as a block, total 40 block | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| batch size batch num | lr ds | data elements | epoch-point ac-class ac train/eval/eval whole scene | | | | | |
| 30/40 | 0.001 | xyzmid | 200-0.675/0.757-0.54/0.799-0.52 | | | | | |
| 25 | 0.001 | xyzmid | 200-0.689/0.787-0.556/0.815-0.517i | | | | | |

1.14 $\,$ whole room global block & multi scales & scan 305

nh5: stride_0d1_step_0d1_pl_nh5-1d6_2/

0d2_0d6_1d2-0d2_0d6_1d2-3A1 eval: 17D 1LX 1pX 29h 2az

| eval: 17D_1LX_1pX_29h_2az | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|---------|----------------------------|--|--|
| model | bs/bn | lr- | elements | loss | epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval | | |
| | | decay | | weight | | | |
| | | | | in drop | | | |
| 5bG_114 | 6/40 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 100-0.805-0.645 | | |
| | | | color | N | 200-0.865-0.708 | | |
| | | | | | 300-0.880-0.773 | | |
| 5aG_114 | 2/140 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 100-0.802-0.619 | | |
| | | | color | N | 200-0.873-0.694 | | |
| | | | | | 300-0.895-0.784 | | |
| 5aG_114 | 2/140 | 2-30 | xyz midnorm | Е | 100-0.807-0.687 | | |
| | | | color | idp5 | 200-0.877-0.729 | | |
| | | | | | 300-0.895-0.778 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Conclusion:

⁽¹⁾ Nein 114 is better than 111

1.15 Sparse voxel net

1.15.1 90000

nh5: 90000_gs-3d6_-6d3/

bxmh5: 90000_gs-3d6_-6d3_fmn1444-6400_2400_320_32-32_16_32_48-0d1_0d3_0d9_2d7-

 $0d1_0d2_0d6_1d8-pd3-mbf-4A1$

eval: test

| model | bs/bn | lr- | elements | norm in- | loss | epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval |
|----------|--------|-------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| | | decay | | net | weight | |
| | | | | aug | in drop | |
| 5VaG_114 | 16/113 | 1-50 | xyz mid | No | Num lw | 20-0.764-0.556/0.645-0.362 |
| | | | | | dp:3N5 | 40-0.864-0.695/0.675-0.351 |
| | | | | | N shuffle | 100-0.935-0.842/0.682-0.380 |
| | | | | | | 200-0.961-0.897/0.671-0.374 |
| | | | | | | 300-0.969-0.920/0.676-0.360 |
| 5VaG_114 | 30/40 | 2-40 | xyz mid | No | Num lw | 20-0.605-0.443/0.577-0.381 |
| | | | color | | dp:466 | 40-0.668-0.490/0.544-0.372 |
| | | | | | Y shuffle | 100-0.795-0.581/0.653-0.384 |
| | | | | | | 120-0.805-0.594/0.676-0.377 |
| 5VaG_114 | 30/40 | 2-40 | xyz mid | No | Num lw | 20-0.677-0.520/0.607-0.373 |
| | , | | color | | dp:4N6 | 40-0.801-0.624/0.659-0.373 |
| | | | | | Y shuffle | 100-0.906-0.754/0.687-0.368 |
| | | | | | | 120-0.911-0.776/0.692-0.421 |
| 5VaG_114 | 30/40 | 2-40 | xyz mid | No | Num lw | 20-0.614-0.457/0.566-0.344 |
| | , | | color | | dp:N66 | 40-0.685-0.500/0.552-0.356 |
| | | | | | Y shuffle | 60-0.741-0.552/0.650-0.357 |
| | | | | | | 80-0.770-0.564/0.649-0.392 |
| | | | | | | 98-0.797-/0.675 |
| 5VaG_114 | 30/40 | 2-40 | xyz mid | Mid | Num lw | 100-0.746/0.649 |
| | | | color | | dp:555 | 300-0.823-0.608/0.682-0.377 |
| | | | | | Y shuffle | · |
| 5VaG_114 | 39/40 | 2-40 | xyz mid | Mid | Num lw | 40-0.839-0.649/0.656-0.353 |
| | , | | color | | dp:5N5 | 100-0.918-0.771/0.681-0.381 |
| | | | | | Y shuffle | , |
| 5VaG_114 | 36/40 | 1-40 | xyz mid | Mid | Num lw | 40-0.833-0.655/0.628-0.329 |
| | | | color | | dp:NN5 | 100-0.916-0.772/0.682-0.339 |
| | | | | | Y shuffle | 178-0.941/0.686 |
| 5VaG_114 | 7/240 | 2-40 | xyz mid | Mid | Num lw | 40-0.664/0.577 |
| | ' | | color | Group | dp:NN5 | 100-0.816-0.586 |
| | | | | Norm | Y shuffle | 150-0.869-0.592 |
| 5VaG_114 | 9 | 2-40 | xyz mid | Rotate | Num lw | 40-0.787-0.620/0.662-0.401 |
| | | | color | Ref | dp:NN5 | 100-0.907-0.755/0.699-0.410 |
| | | | | | Y shuffle | 200-0.939-0.816/0.6990.430 |
| | | | | | | 300-0.950-0.845/0.691-0.430 |
| - | | | | 1 | I. | |

Conclusion:

Mid norm in sub block seems worse than no.

The infuence of input drop seems not obvious.

Dropout of cnn (0.5) makes the net really hard to train. Seems no good for overfitting.

Group norm is poor.

1.15.2 30000

bxmh5: $0d1_0d2_0d6_1d2-pd3-mbf-4B1$ eval: test Void point id deleted model lrelements bs norm inloss epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval weight decay net in drop aug 5VaG_114 2-40xyz mid Rotate Num lw 20-0.749/0.645 color Ref dp:5N530-0.810/0.705 Y shuffle 40-0.870/0.744 80-0.917-0.737/0.7540.422 120 - 0.929 - 0.765200-0.953-0.774 300-0.962/0.774 train[200-280] t(d,c):[4.1 25.5 79.6] loss: 0.337 acc: 0.954-0.041 acc histgram: [0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 0.000e+00 3.084e-04 1.850e-03 8.973e-02 8.668e-01 4.009e-02] weighted class pre/rec/IOU: 0.962 0.954 0.918 N=97.290000M points ave/std: 0.954 0.041 class ave pre/rec/IOU: 0.825/ 0.948/ 0.799 class_pre: -0.000, 0.98, 0.98, 0.98, 0.98, 0.83, 0.91, 0.88, 0.88, 0.76, 0.81, 0.76, 0.79, 0.79, 0.77, 0.71, 0.80, 0.84, 0.57, 0.84, class_rec: -0.00, 0.90, 0.93, 0.96, 0.91, 0.94, 0.98, 0.98, 0.95, 0.92, 0.98, 0.96, 0.97, 0.94, 0.96, 0.94, 0.97, 0.96, 0.96, 0.94, class_IOU: -0.00, 0.89, 0.91, 0.90, 0.84, 0.81, 0.91, 0.88, 0.86, 0.72, 0.80, 0.76, 0.78, 0.76, 0.76, 0.69, 0.78, 0.82, 0.56, 0.80, number(K): 0.34786,31997, 5563, 4131, 1808, 2598, 1901, 3002, 278, 272, 223, 880, 631, 2163, 815, 113, 462, 432, 2761, classname: unann, wall,floor,chair,table, desk, bed,books, sofa, sink,batht,toile,curta,count, door,windo,showe,refri,pictu,cabin,o eval[200-79] t(d,c):[4.0 11.6 81.8] loss: 22.747 acc: 0.774-0.179 acc histgram: [0.007 0.007 0.011 0.015 0.038 0.064 0.141 0.207 eval[200-79] t(d,c):[4.0 11.6 81.8] loss: 22.747 acc: 0.772-0.178 acc histgram: [0.005 0.006 0.012 0.013 0.042 0.072 0.148 0.207 weighted class pre/rec/IOU: 0.822 0.772 0.703 N=28.290000M points ave/std: 0.772 0.178 class ave pre/rec/IOU: 0.429/ 0.344/ 0.278 class_pre: -0.00, 0.82, 0.94, 0.68, 0.58, 0.45, 0.60, 0.43, 0.57, 0.37, 0.44, 0.52, 0.11, 0.42, 0.20, 0.07, 0.28, 0.33, 0.03, 0.4 class_rec: -0.00, 0.88, 0.92, 0.68, 0.52, 0.30, 0.51, 0.38, 0.55, 0.20, 0.36, 0.38, 0.03, 0.30, 0.06, 0.00, 0.15, 0.16, 0.00, 0.3 class_IOU: -0.00, 0.74, 0.88, 0.54, 0.43, 0.24, 0.43, 0.27, 0.41, 0.16, 0.28, 0.30, 0.02, 0.22, 0.05, 0.00, 0.11, 0.13, 0.00, 0.2 number(K): 0,10626, 8669, 1584, 1231, 587, 725, 460, 888, 66, 47, 62, 222, 243, 675, 100, 16, 94, 54, 86 classname: unann, wall,floor,chair,table, desk, bed,books, sofa, sink,batht,toile,curta,count, door,windo,showe,refri,pictu,cabi Model saved in file: model.ckpt-200 Conclusion:

1.16 Charles Point++, fast distance sampling

1.16.1 MODELNET40

| config | epoch-train acc/eval acc-eval cls |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| | acc |
| batch_size=32, decay_rate=0.7, decay_step=200000, | 4-0.746/0.819-0.748 |
| learning_rate=0.001, log_dir='log', max_epoch=251, | 10-0.802/0.848-0.788 |
| model='pointnet2_cls_ssg', momentum=0.9, nor- | 40-0.886/0.875-0.858 |
| mal=False, num_gpus=2, num_point=1024, opti- | 60-0.916/0.892-0.859 |
| mizer='a dam | |
| aug=True, batch_size=32, decay_rate=0.7, de- | 10-0.806/0.853-0.817 |
| cay_step=200000, gpu=1, indrop=True, learn- | 60-0.939/0.8946/0.868 |
| ing_rate=0.001, log_dir='log', max_epoch=251, | 100-0.971/0.9036-0.883 |
| model='pointnet2_cls_ssg', momentum=0.9, nor- | |
| mal=True, num_point=8 192, optimizer='adam', | |
| shuffle=True | |

1.17 MODELNET40, My point++

After fix shuffle problem

1.17.1 3m

| No block | | nn1444-1024 teplicate red | | | _ | |
|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| model | bs | lr | elements | norm in- | loss | epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval |
| | | bn de- | group pos | net | weight | |
| | | cay | | aug | in drop | |
| $3\mathrm{m}$ | 36 | 1-30 | xyzg | Rotate | E, NN5 | 1-0.707/0.775 |
| | | 7-7 | bc | Ref | | 2-0.744/0.804 |
| | | | | | | 4-0.785/0.817 |
| | | | | | | 10-0.831/0.826 |
| | | | | | | 30-0.906/0.857 |
| | | | | | | 60-0.967/0.852 |
| 3m | 36 | 1-30 | xyzg | Rotate | E, NN5 | 1-0.704/0.754 |
| | | 7-7 | mean | Ref | | 2-0.747/0.781 |
| | | | | | | 4-0.783/0.810 |
| | | | | | | 10-0.839/0.835 |
| | | | | | | 60-0.969/0.865 |
| 3m | 36 | 1-30 | xyzrsg | Rotate | E, NN5 | 10-0.844/0.830 |
| | | 7-7 | mean | Ref | • | 60-0.978/0.868 |
| 3m | 36 | 1-30 | xyzrsg, | Rotate | E, NN5 | 10-0.887/0.881 |
| | | 7-7 | nxnynz | Ref | , - | 60-0.985/0.890 |
| | | | mean | | | , |
| bxmh5·40 | 096 os3 3 fm | nn 1444-1024 | 1 | d2_0d4-0d1_0 | d2-pd3-2M | 2 |
| | | deplicate red | | a2_0a1 0a1_0 | az pas zwi | 2 |
| 3m | 28 | 1-30 | xyzg | Rotate | E, NN5 | 1-0.698/0.795 |
| 5111 | 20 | 7-7 | mean | Ref | E, 11110 | 10-0.834/0.853 |
| | | ' ' | incan | | | 60-0.962/0.874 |
| 3m | 28 | 1-30 | xyzg | Rotate | E, NN5 | 1-0.703/0.786 |
| 0111 | 20 | 7-7 | bc | Ref | Д, 11110 | 10-0.832/0.847 |
| | | '-' | be | Tite! | | 60-0.957/0.867 |
| 3m | 28 | 1-30 | xyzrsg | Rotate | E, NN5 | 1-0.695/0.764 |
| 0111 | 20 | 7-7 | mean | Ref | Д, 11110 | 10-0.840/0.847 |
| | | '-' | Incan | | | 60-0.976/0.880 |
| 3m | 28 | 1-30 | xyzrsg, | Rotate | E, NN5 | 1-0.747/0.814 |
| 5111 | 20 | 7-7 | nxnynz | Ref | Д, 11110 | 10-0.882/0.879 |
| | | '-' | mean | 1001 | | 60-0.985/0.897 |
| | | | inean | | | 160-0.998/0.905 |
| 1 15 4 | 000 1 | 0 0 f 1 4 | 1 1004 0 | 10 1 40 07 16 | 0.010.014.0 | * |
| | บ96_mgs1_gs | 32_2_rmn14_n | nvp1-1024_24 | 10_1-48_27_16 | v-vaz_va4-(| $0d1_{-}0d2$ -pd3-mbf-neg- |
| 2M2p | | | | | | |
| 3Vm | 58 | 1-30 | WWW.C.C. | Rotate | E, NN5 | 1-0.549/0.635 |
| O A III | 100 | 5-5 | xyzrsg | Rotate | E, ININO | 10-0.852/0.812 |
| | | 9-9 | nxnynz | nei | | , |
| | | | mean | | | 30-0.961/0.840 |
| | | | | | | 60-0.984/0.837 |
| 27.7 | F0 | 1.00 | - | D / / | E ONE | 100-0.994/0.828 |
| 3Vm | 58 | 1-30 | xyzrsg | Rotate | E, 3N5 | 1-0.543/0.614 |
| | | 5-5 | $ \begin{array}{c} \text{nxnynz} \\ 26 \end{array} $ | Ref | | 10-0.852/0.798 |
| | | | mean | | | 20-0.926/0.825 |
| | | | | | | 27-0.955/0.800 |
| 0 | 52 | 1-30 | xyzrsg | RotateRef | E, NN5 | 10-9.763/0.803 |
| 3m | "- | | | | | |
| 3m | "- | 5-5 | nxnynz | | | 60-0.879-0.876 100-0.921/0.872 |

Conclusion:

⁽⁰⁾ The performance of pointnet++ based on farest distance sampling is better. The reason may be on line sampling, the lost part is each exact is different.

1.17.2 4m

 $bxmh5:4096_mgs1_gs2_2d2_fmn1444_mvp1-3200_1024_48_1-18_24_56_56-0d1_0d2_0d6-0d0_0d1_0d4-pd3-mbf-neg-3M1$

| model | bs | lr | elements | aug | loss | epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval |
|-------|----|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------------------------|
| | | bn de- | group pos | | weight | |
| | | cay | | | in drop | |
| 4m | 16 | 1-30 | xyzrsg- | RotateRef | Е | 10-0.688/0.755 |
| | | | nxnynz | | NN5 | 60-0.845/0.859 |
| | | | | | | 100-0.873/0.875 |
| | | | | | | 200-0.914/0.880 |
| 4m | 16 | 1-30 | xyzg | RotateRef | E | 10-0.635/0.680 |
| | | | | | NN5 | 60-0.784/0.807 |
| | | | | | | 120-0.837/0.830 |
| 4m | 16 | 1-30 | xyzrsg- | RotateRef | E | 10-0.623/0.671 |
| | | | nxnynz | | 3N5 | 60-0.766/0.793 |
| | | | | | | 120-0.821/0.810 |
| 4Vm | 56 | 1-30 | xyzg- | RotateRef | E | 10-0.894/0.817 |
| | | | nxnynz | | NN5 | 60-0.993/0.834 |
| | | | - | | | 79-0.997/0.842 |

 $bxmh5:4096_mgs1_gs2_2d2_fmn1444_mvp1-3200_1024_48_1-18_24_56_56-0d1_0d2_0d6-0d0_0d1_0d4-pd3-neg-3M1$

No Block Merging

| | 0 0 | | | | | |
|-----|-----|------|--------|-----------|-----|-----------------|
| 4Vm | 30 | 1-30 | xyzg- | RotateRef | E | 10-0.890/0.830 |
| | | | nxnynz | | NN5 | 51-0.992/0.841 |
| | | | | | | 100-0.999/0.847 |
| | | | | | | 113-0.999/0.853 |
| | | | | | | 129-0.999/0.847 |

Conclusion:

- (1) Input drop out increase overfitting here. This is not reasonable!
- (2) Learns much slower than 3m?
- (3) The variance is greater, maybe because of small bacth size.

1.17.3 5m

| | | 5_fmn1444_n 3-mbf-neg-41 | | 024_80_16_1-2 | 4_32_48_27_ | 48-0d0_0d2_0d5_1d1- |
|---------------|-----|------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| model | bs | lr | elements | aug | loss | epoch-pacc-cacc train/eval |
| | | bn de- | group pos | | weight | |
| | | cay | | | in drop | |
| 5m1 | 32 | 1-30 | xyzg | RotateRef | E | 60-0.956/0.843 |
| | | | | | | 200-0.998/0.845 |
| $5\mathrm{m}$ | 48 | 1-30 | xyzrsg- | RotateRef | E | 60-0.852/0.837 |
| | | | nxnyznz | | | 100-0.894/0.866 |
| 5Vm | 32 | 1-30 | xyzr | N | E | 10-0.853/0.802 |
| | | | | | | 60-0.985/0.829 |
| | | | | | | 160-0.998/0.831 |
| Conclusio | on: | 1 | 1 | | | |
| (0) | | | | | | |